IPSWICH 7428.0 Depo - Alexander Mulholland

ROME ALL DE LE PROPERTY DE

Reporting

October 18, 2011

William H. Sheehan, III, Esq. MacLean Holloway Doherty Ardiff & Morse 8 Essex Center Drive Peabody, MA 01960

Re:

Mulholland vs. Attorney General of Massachusetts

Deposition of Alexander Mulholland, Jr.

Dear Attorney Sheehan,

Enclosed please find your copy of the deposition of Alexander Mulholland, Jr. taken October 11, 2011 in the above-reference matter. Kindly have the witness read the transcript, noting any corrections on the errata/signature sheet enclosed herewith. No corrections should be made on the transcript itself.

Once this has been completed, please return the executed signature page to Stephen M. Perry, Esq. at the address noted on the appearance page of the transcript.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact our office at 617-451-8900.

Sincerely,

Jones Reporting Company

cc: Stephen M. Perry, Esq.

Jones Reporting Company, Inc.

Invoice

Please visit our website at www.jonesreporters.com

Two Oliver Street, Suite 804

Boston, MA 02109

Phone: (617) 451-8900

Invoice Date

Invoice#

Wednesday, October 19, 2011

32488FR

Stephen M. Perry Casner & Edwards 303 Congress Street Boston, MA 02210

Phone:

Fax:

Fax: (617) 451-3535

Witness: Alexander Mulholiand, pp 1-202 Mulholland vs. Attorney General of MA Case: Venue: Case #: Date: 10/11/2011 **Start Time:** 10:00 AM **End Time:** 3:52 PM Reporter: *Cynthia Craig

Claim #:

File #:

28881FR

	Description	Each	Quan	Total
OE	Original Transcript	\$3.50	202	\$707.00
CTCA	Condensed Transcript/Media Package	\$0.00	1	\$0.00
DE	Delivery	\$12.00	1	\$12.00
		Sub Total		\$719.00
		Payments		\$0.00
		Balance Due		\$719.00

CASNER & EDWARDS, LLP

REBILLABLE CLIENT EXPENDITURES

Client/Matter No. 7428 - 0	Client Name Ipswich			
Requesting Person's Initials <u>SMP</u>	Expense Code <u>60</u>			
Amount \$ <u>719.00</u>	Cash ☐ Check ☐ Invoice ☒			
Payable To: Jones Reporting Company, Inc.				
Billing Description (REQUIRED): deposition transcrip	ot of Alexander Mulholland taken on 10/11/11			
* * * Please check expense category	and enter expense code number above * * *			
Codes	<u>Codes</u>			
□ 02 Photocopying − in house □ 03 Telephone □ 04 Postage □ 05 Closing Adjustment □ 42 Fax Transmissions □ 43 Federal Express □ 45 Rush Messenger Delivery □ 46 UPS Delivery □ 48 Medical Records □ 49 CSC (f/k/a Prentice-Hall) □ 51 PACER on-line search □ 52 Certs. of good Standing/Legal Exister □ 53 West CD_ROM Legal Research □ 54 Mediation □ 55 Filing Fees □ 56 Recording Fees □ 57 Secretarial Overtime □ 58 Delivery/Messenger Service □ 59 Office Manager □ 60 Stenographer/Transcript □ 61 Bond □ 62 CT Corporation □ 63 Computax □ 64 Corporate Seal □ 65 Newspaper Advertising	66 Patent			
TO BE COMPLETED	D BY BOOKKEEPING DEPT.			
Bookkeener Initials	Date Check No.			

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

ESSEX, SS.	PROBATE & FAMILY COURT NO. ES09E0094QC
ALEXANDER B.C. MULHOLLAND, JR, et als., as they are THE FEOFFEES OF THE GRAMMAR SCHOOL IN THE TOWN OF IPSWICH))))
Plaintiffs,))
v.)
ATTORNEY GENERAL of the	ý)
Commonwealth of Massachusetts;	j
IPSWICH SCHOOL COMMITTEE;)
and RICHARD KORB, as he is)
Superintendent of Schools in the	
Town of Ipswich)
Defendants))

NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

TO: William H. Sheehan, Esq.
MacLean, Holloway, Doherty, Ardiff & Morse, P.C.
8 Essex Center Drive
Peabody, MA 01960

Johanna Soris, Esq.
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Office of the Attorney General
Public Charities Division
One Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 5, 2011 at 10:00 a.m., Counsel for Defendant, Ipswich School Committee will take the deposition of **Alexander Mulholland**, before a Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, or before some other officer authorized by law to administer oaths. The deposition will take place at the offices of Casner & Edwards, LLP, 303 Congress St., Boston, MA 02210.

You are invited to attend and cross-examine. The deposition will continue from day to day until completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Ipswich School Committee By its attorneys,

Richard C. Allen, BBO # 015720 Stephen M. Perry, BBO # 395955 Donna M. Brewer, BBO #545254 Andrew T. Imbriglio, BBO #676049

CASNER & EDWARDS, LLP

303 Congress Street Boston, MA 02210

Phone: 617-426-5900

<u>allen@casneredwards.com</u> <u>perry@casneredwards.com</u> <u>brewer@casneredwards.com</u>

imbriglio@casneredwards.com

Dated: September 2, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served upon counsel for all parties by first class mail, postage pre-paid on September 2, 2011.

Andrew T. Imbriglio

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr.

,	
	1
1	VOLUME: I
2	PAGES: 1-202
3	EXHIBITS: 0
4	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
5	ESSEX, SS. PROBATE & FAMILY COURT
6	
7	ALEXANDER B.C. MULHOLLAND,
8	JR., et al
9	Plaintiffs, Docket No.
10	vs.
11	ATTORNEY GENERAL of the ES09E0094QC
12	Commonwealth of Massachusetts,
13	et al,
14	Defendants.
15	
16	
17	DEPOSITION OF
18	ALEXANDER BRIMNER CLARK MULHOLLAND, JR.
19	October 11, 2011
20	10:07 a.m 3:52 p.m.
21	Casner & Edwards, LLP
22	303 Congress Street
23	Boston, Massachusetts
24	Court Reporter: Cynthia F. Stutz

	2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WILLIAM H. SHEEHAN, III, ESQ.
4	MacLean Holloway Doherty Ardiff & Morse, P.C.
5	8 Essex Center Drive
6	Peabody, Massachusetts 01960
7	978-774-7123
8	wsheehan@mhdpc.com
9	on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Feoffees of
10	the Grammar School of Ipswich and the
11	Town of Ipswich
12	
13	STEPHEN M. PERRY, ESQ.
14	Casner & Edwards, LLP
15	303 Congress Street
16	Boston, Massachusetts 02210
17	617-426-5900
18	perry@casneredwards.com
19	on behalf of the Defendants, School
20	Committee of the Town of Ipswich and
21	Richard Korb, Superintendant
22	
23	
24	

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr.

	INDEX	
XAMINATION OF	:	PAGE
lexander Mulh	olland	•
By Mr. Perry)		4
XHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
ONE		

PROCEEDINGS

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

Whereupon:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED BY AND BETWEEN COUNSEL that the reading and signing of the deposition by the deponent shall be done within thirty days the filing of the deposition be waived; and that all objections, except as to form, and motions to strike be reserved for the time of trial.

ALEXANDER BRIMNER CLARK MULHOLLAND, JR., having been satisfactorily identified and duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PERRY:

- Q. Could you state your name, please?
- Α. Alexander Brimner Clark Mulholland, Jr.
- Q. What was --
- A. B-r-i-m-n-e-r.
- Q. Jr.?
- A. Right.
- Is your father living? Q.
- A. No, no.

	6			
1	20% of the time is in Vermont. Ski season.			
2	Q. Who do you reside at those places with?			
3	A. My wife, Judith.			
4	Q. Okay. Your children, do you have			
5	children?			
6	A. Yes, I do. I have two children.			
7	Q. They're grown?			
8	A. They're grown. They're in their forties.			
9	Q. Which leads me to ask you, what is your			
10	date of birth?			
11	A. 11/10/1942.			
12	Q. Big one. Have you ever owned a residence			
13	on Little Neck?			
14	A. No.			
15	Q. Have you ever stayed there?			
16	A. Yes.			
17	Q. Under what circumstances did you stay on			
18	Little Neck?			
19	A. I was loaned a cottage by my uncle.			
20	Q. Who is your uncle?			
21	A. Harry E. Munro.			
22	Q. How do you spell Munro?			
23	A. M-u-n-r-o.			
24	Q. And is he living?			

A. No, deceased.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. What was the address of the cottage that he owned?
- A. I don't know.
 - Q. Which road was it on?
- A. It was on River Road.
 - Q. River Road?
 - A. River Road up near the pier.
 - Q. During the time you have been a Feoffee have any members of your family, any relatives other than Harry Munro owned any of the cottages?
- 12 A. No.
 - Q. During what period of time did Harry Munro own a cottage at Little Neck?
 - A. I don't know when it began. It was, it started out belonging to his wife's aunt and she passed away. I guess she inherited it. All I know is I was a little boy then, probably six. So you can guess when they were down there. I'm going to be 69, so probably 63 years would be a rough guess.
 - Q. So your uncle and aunt inherited this cottage in around the late forties?
- A. Yeah, yes.
- Q. And continued to own it until when?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 8 Until his wife was deceased, who passed 1 Α. 2 away after him. 3 0. And then what happened to the cottage? 4 Α. It was sold to, to an individual party. 5 Nobody in Ipswich. 6 Q. Sold to a third party? 7 Α. Yeah.
 - When did the, when did the cottage get Q. sold, approximately?
 - A. I don't remember exactly when my aunt passed away. Actually, it was sold before she passed away because she went into assisted living. It, it was probably around 1985.
 - 0. Quite a long time ago?
 - Α. Quite a long time ago, yes.
 - What's your educational background? Q.
 - Α. B.A. in physics and mathematics from Northeastern University.
 - When did you obtain that? Q.
 - Α. 1965. Some study towards a Master's degree, which I stopped.
 - 0. Where did you do that?
 - Α. Northeastern, as well.
 - Q. Have you ever served in the armed

services?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. No, I haven't.
- Q. Could you describe for me what your occupational history has been after graduating from Northeastern?
- A. Sure. In 1966 my father passed away suddenly and I took over a business that he had started in the forties, which was basically what you call an excavating business, excavation for homes or industrial buildings, water lines, sewer lines, things like that, which I worked at while I was in high school and a little in college and so I didn't feel uncomfortable taking it over. My intent was to help my mother dispose of the business. I wound up staying there ten years.
 - Q. You stayed there ten years?
- 17 A. Yeah, before I disposed of the business.
 - Q. So the first ten years after --
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. College from roughly '65 to --
- 21 A. Yeah, '66 to probably '76.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Off the record for a
- 23 minute.
- 24 (Discussion off the record.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. From roughly 1966 to 1976 you were working in what had been your father's excavation business, right?
 - A. Uh-hum, yes.
 - Q. And then it was sold?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. What did you do after that?
- A. I went to work for Sanders Associates in Nashua, New Hampshire for two years.
 - Q. What is that?
- A. Sanders Associates built electronic warfare systems for the government.
 - Q. What did you do after Sanders Associates?
 - A. After Sanders Associates I worked one year for Raytheon Semiconductor in Boston. They were located in California, the main plant.
 - Q. What did you do next?
 - A. I went to work for Advanced Micro Devices.
- Q. The chip company?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. That's the major competitor to Intel?
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. How long were you at Advanced Micro
- 24 Devices?

- A. Twenty years.
- Q. From?

- A. Let's see, I believe it was late '79 for about twenty years.
- Q. Could you very briefly sketch your history there as far as what your position was when you began and what it ended up being when you left?
- A. Sure, sure. I went to work, position was a district sales manager, which was really more salesman than anything else. I became regional sales manager in charge of some of the people in the office and then I became director of sales for digital equipment worldwide. And my last position was senior regional sales manager in the Boston office.
- Q. What were the circumstances of your leaving Advanced Micro Devices?
- A. The largest piece of business that I had, they decided not to represent themselves directly with a direct sales force and gave it to a rep is what they did. So that took a \$30 million chunk out of probably \$40 million of my business and they kind of left me with -- I didn't know where I'm going to go and what I'm going to do and I wound up

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

resigning.

- Q. What did you do next?
- I, I didn't do anything for a little A. while, a year. Well, during the period after Advanced Micro Devices I looked into real estate. got licensed in Massachusetts. I worked for a local realtor. That was about a period of two years total, after which I decided I didn't like real estate. It seemed natural living in the town all vour life. So I went looking to see what was available in semiconductor business. Spoke to a couple of friends and they told me about an opportunity with Taiwan Semi Conductor and a brand new business is what it was and they wound up hiring me.
- Q. How long did you work for Taiwan Semi Conductors?
 - A. Four years.
 - Q. What was your position when you left?
- A. Account manager.
 - Q. Have you been employed since then?
 - A. I worked part time for Ipswich Outboard.
 - Q. Ipswich?
 - A. Ipswich Outboard was the name of the

1 company.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And what did you do there?
- A. I was really I'd call it a technician,

 partly a mechanic. Partly I installed marine

 electronics or repaired things like that that were

 simple.
 - Q. Anything after that?
 - A. No. You're getting up to current time.

 That was probably two years ago I stopped doing that. And since then I've been trying to figure out how to reinvent myself again. I actually, actually, I invested some money in a little company, really, an individual to start a competitive business to this and I'll probably wind up -- The only reason why I really invested a small amount of money is that I'd like some work, you know, and he said,

 Sure. I said, I just want to get you started.
 - Q. What real estate company or individual were you affiliated with when you got your license?
 - A. Century 21 Ipswich Bay Associates.
- Q. Who's the principal of that office?
- 22 A. Dorothy Levesque.
- Q. How do you spell Levesque?
- A. I think it's L-e-v-e-s-g-u-e.

- Q. How many people worked in that office as sales people or brokers at the time you were affiliated with Century 21 Ipswich?
 - A. Six.
 - Q. Including yourself?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what years were you with that real estate agency?
- A. I can't remember what the years were, to be honest with you. I'd have to sit down and figure it out.
- Q. Sometime in the early 2000's? I think you said you left -- Let's see. You said you left
 Advanced Micro Devices after two years in the late nineties?
 - A. Yeah, yeah, early 2000.
 - Q. Early 2000's?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Dorothy Levesque, is she somebody who's had a lot of involvement with sales of Little Neck cottages?
 - A. I would say yes.
- Q. Who were the leading real estate brokers for purchases and sales of the Little Neck cottages?

A. She was.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

- Q. When you were working at that office did
 you get involved in the purchases or sales of any of
 the cottages?
 - A. I remember showing one. I don't remember whether or not the sale went through through me or not. The person did buy it. I don't remember going to the closing.
 - Q. Which cottage was that?
 - A. It probably was -- Well, if you go up the hill from the ball field, it would be the first street on your left, house right in front of you that was on the corner of that road and Hilltop Road.
 - O. Is that Middle Street?
- A. No, no. Hilltop goes up over the top of the road. I guess it's Hilltop, Hilltop.
 - Q. Hilltop goes up past the ball field?
- A. Yeah. And roads go down over to the left, typically, and I don't know what the name of that road was. They used to be numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.
 - Q. Did you get a commission for that sale?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Did you ever have a discussion with Ms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Levesque about rentals at Little Neck?

- A. No.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you were at least generally familiar when you were a real estate broker with the prices at which cottages were being sold at Little Neck?
 - A. Some awareness, yeah.
- Q. But you had an awareness about the sale prices of cottages at Little Neck both before and after you were a real estate broker?
 - A. Some after. I didn't tend to track it.
- Q. When did you become a Feoffee, somewhere around 1978?
- A. It's when I went to work for Raytheon. If you dated that time, that's when it was.
 - Q. Sometime before 1979?
 - A. Yes, so, yeah, '78 was a reasonable date.
- Q. When you were appointed as a Feoffee who were the other life Feoffees?
- A. Jerome Richardson, Donald Whiston. I think it was George Hayes.
- Q. What is Mr. Whiston's background professionally?
 - A. In his earliest years he was a stockbroker

or partner in Burgess and Leith in Boston. While he lived across the street I didn't pay a lot of attention. I know at some time he went to work for State Street Bank running an organization there and then I believe he came from there to the First National Bank of Ipswich as president.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. When did Mr. Whiston become president of the First National Bank of Ipswich, to the best of your recollection? Just give me an estimation, and understanding you may be wrong.
- MR. SHEEHAN: But don't guess. If
 you don't know, you don't know. If you can give him
 an estimate, please do so.
- A. Well, my estimate, okay, would be around 15 1985.
 - Q. We won't hold you to it, okay?
 - A. I borrowed money from him in 1988, so I know he was there then. And he was there a little bit before that, so that's the reason.
 - Q. Okay. You said Jerome Richardson was one of the life Feoffees. What was Mr. Richardson's background?
- A. All that I know is he was a wool broker, wool as in lamb wool broker.

		Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr.
	18	
1	Q.	And you said the other one was Mr. George
2	Hayes?	
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	What was his professional background?
5	A.	A lawyer.
6	Q.	Where did he practice law?
7	A.	He took over his father's law firm and it
8	was in Ip	eswich, Mass.
9	Q.	What discussions did you have with any of
10	the other	life Feoffees before they appointed you
11	and you a	greed to an appointment as a Feoffee?
12	A.	Very little, other than casual
13	conversat	ion with my uncle because he was a Feoffee.
14	Q.	So your uncle had been a Feoffee?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Which uncle was that?
17	A.	Harry E. Munro.
18	Q.	The uncle that had owned a cottage had
19	been a Fe	offee?
20	A.	Uh-hum, yes.
21	Q.	And what period of time was your uncle a
22	Feoffee?	

I don't know.

I couldn't guess.

And what conversations did you have with

23

24

A.

Q.

1 your uncle about becoming a Feoffee, about your 2 becoming a Feoffee? 3 Just, he thought my background was, you Α. 4 know, could contribute something to it in terms of, you know, what I knew about the land and my family 5 had leased land close to Little Neck. If there were 6 any difficulties, you know, mechanical difficulties 7 in water, sewer, things like that, I have 8 9 familiarity with it. They also -- He also thought that I would be a good person to take care of a lot 10 of the issues around the pier with regard to kids 11 12 and things like that. 13 0. Who were you replacing as a Feoffee? Him. 14 Α. 15 So --0.

A. Yes.

uncle, Mr. Munro?

Q. Who was also a cottage owner?

He resigned.

22 A. Yes.

Α.

0.

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. And then he resigned and the other three appointed you?

Mr. Richardson, Mr. Hayes, Mr. Whiston and your

So what happened was the Feoffees had been

- A. Yes.
 - Q. You mentioned that part of the background that would allow you to contribute was that your family had leased land. Was that land in Great Neck or elsewhere?
 - A. Great Neck, just Great Neck.
 - Q. Was there a period that Great Neck was land lease before it became fee ownership?
 - A. Yes, it was, until, I think, the mid-fifties.
 - Q. Who had owned -- Was it the Proprietors of Great Neck had owned it?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And how did that get converted from leased land to fee ownership?
 - A. Sale of the lots. I guess the directors decided they could make more money if they sold the land rather than lease the land. I think it's as simple as that.
 - Q. Do the directors still exist at this time or is it, it's all owned in fee simple?
 - A. What's left is owned as fee simple. The, all those directors deceased. That would have been my father and his four sisters. And since, it's

1 been taken over by myself and my cousins, just moved 2 down a generation in the family. And we've been 3 trying to, basically, dispose of it. We sold a significant piece to the Town of Ipswich for open 5 space. 6 Okav. So when Great Neck was owned in Ο. 7 corporate form that was owned within a corporation controlled by your family, right? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. And so they then just sold it off 11 for profit? 12 Α. Yeah, yes. 13 Some of the land wasn't sold at that time Q. and stayed in the corporation? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Still in your family's control, but the 17 next generation? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. When those lots at Great Neck were sold 20 was each lot individually sold to a purchaser? 21 A. Yes. 22 And was each lot sold at what was Q. 23 considered to be a fair market value?

24

Α.

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. For that lot?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were there cottages or houses on the lots?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And so the individuals -- Were the sales made mostly to those who had owned those cottages?
 - A. I believe, yes.
- Q. Were there situations where the sales were made to parties other than those who owned the cottages?
- A. It could have been, but I'm not aware of any of those details.
- Q. Do you know what kind of rents were being paid at Great Neck?
 - A. Pardon?
- Q. What kind of rents were being assessed there or was this too long ago?
 - A. I don't ever remember hearing the number.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Or a number.
- Q. How would you compare Great Neck to Little Neck in terms of desirability of the location?
- A. I would say Great Neck was considerably more desirable because of the space around cottages.

Even the oldest lots, which were the smallest, they were 80 by 80. They probably meant to look something like Little Neck. And then the town, as they passed zoning, they kept increasing the sizes until now it's three acres. And having, just having more space around your property, from my point of view, is good. The time that I spent at Little Neck I did not like because everybody was on top of everybody.

- Q. Is there more of a community feel at Little Neck than Great Neck?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So it's a different experience?
- 14 A. Yes.

- Q. When did the utilities at Little Neck get placed underground?
 - A. I believe it was the 1930's, WPA days that there was WPA personnel that dug the trenches that buried the electric cables and so on. Somebody found a document that showed the agreement that they got labor to do that.
 - Q. So that's one kind of thing that's kind of notable about Little Neck, that there's no wires leading into any of the cottages and that really

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

compared to Great Neck, it's a nice amenity, wouldn't you agree?

- A. It is a nice amenity.
- Q. And that's existed really the whole time?
- A. Basically, yes.
- Q. Was was the name -- You said George Hayes was in a law firm in Ipswich?
- A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and down.)
- Q. What was the name of his firm? Just law office?
 - A. I think he just went under his own name.
- Q. What was your understanding before you became a Feoffee of what your obligations and role would be as you became one?
- A. My understanding, the duties and obligations would probably be pretty minimal, was to attend an annual meeting of --
 - Q. Of the Feoffees?
- A. Of the Feoffees. Occasionally a discussion may come up about somebody doing work on their home. It was a time when there really weren't any problems down there.
 - Q. What was your understanding when you

- became a Feoffee about what the purpose of the trust
 was? Did you understand that a Feoffee was a term
 for trustee?

 A. Yeah, yes, oh, yeah.

 Q. And did you understand who the beneficiary
 - of the trust was?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was your understanding?
 - A. That it was the schools of Ipswich.
 - Q. And did you have discussions with any of the other Feoffees on becoming a Feoffee concerning what the goal was in terms of providing money to the Town of Ipswich for the schools?
- 14 A. No.

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Was there any effort made in at the time
 you were joining as a Feoffee to maximize the amount
 for the schools?
- 18 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. What kind of rent was being charged when you became a Feoffee?
- A. I can't remember that, to be honest.
- Q. But it was low?
- A. It was low, it was very low.
- Q. Did you have any discussions with any of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the other Feoffees about why the rent was kept low?

- A. No.
- Q. Did you have an understanding as to why the rent was so low?
- A. Without discussion, my personal understanding was it was probably similar to other rents of summer cottages in other similar areas, like a rental on Great Neck or Conomo Point in Essex or something like that.
- Q. Did Jerome Richardson have a cottage at Little Neck?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did anybody in his family?
 - A. No, not that I know of.
 - Q. What about Don Whiston?
 - A. His father did.
- Q. What happened, how long was his father a tenant at Little Neck?
- A. A long time. He was one of those that went way back, I believe.
 - Q. But he must have died quite a while ago?
- A. He did. My understanding was he left it to his son, Don, and his sister. I don't believe they really wanted it. They gave it back to the

1 father is what my understanding is, and the father 2 sold it. So your understanding is that that cottage 3 0. 4 was sold during the lifetime of Don Whiston's 5 father? 6 Yes, that's what I, that's what I 7 understand. 8 Q. Has anybody else in Don Whiston's family 9 been a cottage owner? 10 Yes, he has, yes. A. 11 Q. Who else? 12 A. Daughter. 13 Q. What's his daughter's name? 14 Kim. A. 15 What's her last name? 0. 16 Α. I don't know. Her husband's name is 17 David. I don't know their last name. 18 Kim and David? Q. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Do they still own a cottage? 21 Α. Yes, they do. 22 Do you know which one? Q. 23 A. Yes, I do. It's on Cliff Road.

Anybody else in Mr. Whiston's family who,

24

Q.

28 1 to your knowledge, owned a cottage? 2 A. No. 3 0. Did George Hayes own a cottage? 4 A. No. Did anybody in his family? 5 Q. 6 A. No. 7 Q. I understand that at one point you were 8 chairman of the Feoffees? 9 A. Yes. 10 And I think you testified previously that you were paid something like \$5,000 for that role a 11 12 vear? 13 Not the correct amount. Α. 14 Q. What's the correct amount? 15 A. Correct amount was \$1,000 a month. 16 Q. Okay. I apologize. 17 A. No. 18 Q. I may have gotten it wrong. I was going 19 from memory. So you were paid \$1,000 a month while 20 you were the chair? 21 Α. Yes. 22 How long were you the chair? Q. 23 I'm still the chair. I don't know when A. 24 it's -- It started at the beginning of the sewer

1	project.	

- Q. You have been the chair from the beginning of the sewer project?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Sometime in the mid 2000's?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Through the present?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So during that time you have received 10 \$1,000 a month?
- A. Not all the time.
- Q. Apart from receiving up to \$1,000 per month, have you received any other compensation?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. From being a Feoffee?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Alex. You have to wait until he's through with the question.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- MR. PERRY: Off the record.
- 20 (Discussion off the record.)
- Q. So there were some changes in the
 composition of the Feoffees after you joined in 1978
 between then and the present, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 And currently the Feoffees are you and Mr. 0. 2 Whiston and Peter Foote and Jim Foley? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 Have there been any other changes of 5 Feoffees other than replacing Mr. Richardson and Mr. 6 Hayes and having Mr. Foley and Mr. Foote come on 7 board? 8 Α. No. 9 So one of those two, Foote or Foley Q. 10 replaced Richardson and the other replaced Hayes? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Which of the Feoffees resigned or ceased 0. to be a Feoffee first as between Mr. Richardson and 13 Mr. Hayes or did it happen close in time? 14 15 A. I just don't remember. It would only be a 16 I don't remember. quess. 17 Q. Sometime after you joined, Mr. Richardson ceased to be a Feoffee at some point? 18
- 19 A. Yes.

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did he resign or did something else happen?
 - A. I believe he resigned.
- Q. At some point after you joined Mr. Hayes ceased to be a Feoffee. Do you recall --

31 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. What led to that event? He moved permanently out of state. 3 A. Okav. And you have no memory of whether 4 Q. 5 Mr. Hayes moved before Mr. Richardson resigned or 6 Mr. Richardson resigned before Mr. Hayes moved, 7 right? 8 No, I don't recall. 9 Q. When did the two of them cease to be 10 Feoffees, roughly? 11 Α. Richardson and Hayes? 12 0. Yes. 13 Richardson, I don't know. I really don't Α. 14 know. Mr. Hayes was, I'm thinking about how old he 15 was when he moved and how old he was when he died. 16 That's 22 years ago, so what's that, 2001? 17 Q. What's the year he died, 22 years ago? 18 No, let me think. Α. No. It will be 19 24 years ago. He's been dead two years. 20 Q. Okay. 21 He's been dead two years. 22 Q. He's been dead two years and based on your estimate of his age, there was 22 years before that 23

that he ceased to be a Feoffee?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A. He turned 50 years old and that's when he left was when he turned 50.
- Q. So he ceased to be a Feoffee by your calculation somewhere around 1987?
 - A. '9, I think, closer. 24 from 2003.
 - Q. Well, he died two years ago?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. That would be 2009?
- A. And he would have been, he would have been
- 10 72.
- Q. Right.
- A. If he was alive now, he'd be 74.
- Q. So take back 22 years?
- A. So go back to 22 years. I think that must have been --
- 16 O. It's '87?
- 17 A. '87, okay.
- Q. Who came on first between Foote and Foley?
- 19 A. I believe it was Mr. Foote.
- Q. And how much time passed between Mr. Foote becoming a Feoffee and Mr. Foley becoming a Feoffee?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. So you and Mr. Whiston and one other
 Feoffee voted to install Mr. Foote as a Feoffee,

	33
1	right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And that was to replace either Hayes or?
4	A. I think it was Richardson.
5	Q. You think it was Richardson, okay. So
6	your best memory is that Richardson left first
7	before Hayes?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Sometime before 1987?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What was Mr. Foote's occupational
12	background?
13	A. He was a police officer, sergeant.
14	Q. In what town?
15	A. Ipswich. I don't know his educational
16	background, but he was a police officer and I
17	believe he was a police officer in military prior.
18	Q. What was your thinking as to why Mr.
19	Foote, who was a police sergeant in Ipswich, would
20	be an appropriate person to serve as a Feoffee?
21	A. Well, at least one of the reasons was that
22	regarding security, we had difficulty keeping
23	undesirable people out of there on weekends in times

when there may be a party and his nature, personal

	34
1	nature was a strong personality.
2	Q. Was he put in charge of security when he
3	became a Feoffee?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Did he personally go out to Little Neck to
6	stand at the entrance and try to keep order?
7	A. Not that I know of.
8	Q. Where did Mr. Foote reside?
9	A. Ipswich.
10	Q. Did he ever have an interest in any of the
11	cottages?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Did any of his family members?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Did Mr. Foote's background as a police
16	officer in fact Strike that.
17	In what way did Mr. Foote carry out
18	this function of improving security, if at all?
19	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You may
20	answer.
21	THE WITNESS: Hum?
22	MR. SHEEHAN: You may answer.
23	Q. Let me rephrase the question, okay?
24	A. Okay.

1 0. Did Mr. Foote do anything after becoming a 2 Feoffee to improve the security of Little Neck with 3 respect to the parties you have described? I would say it was minimal. 5 Q. During the time you have been a Feoffee has Little Neck always been officially closed to the 6 7 public? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And what is the rule as to the public, members of the public going up to Little Neck and 10 11 being on its roads? 12 Α. They're not supposed to be. 13 There's no, the property's not marked with Q. 14 a No Trespassing sign, correct? Α. 15 I don't believe so. 16 0. It's got Private Property signs? 17 A. Yes, yeah. 18 If you were a resident of Little Neck are 0. you allowed to traipse around on other people's lots 19 20 or is each person, is that part of what they lease is the right to the exclusive use of the lot, if you 21 22 know? 23 Α. They're allowed to go outside what they

might perceive as their lot.

- Q. Can they, can somebody -- Would it be considered a violation of the rights of one of your neighbors if you just simply walked across their, quote, "front lawn"?

 A. No.

 Q. Have the Feoffees ever enforced the
- Q. Have the Feoffees ever enforced the private nature of the property to prevent visitors from going up to Little Neck?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How have the Feoffees enforced that?
 - A. Police detail at the gate.
 - Q. During the peak seasons?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what would the police at the gate do?
- A. Originally they had a clip board of all the residents and telephone numbers. And if somebody came along and said, I'm going to Mr. Sheehan's house tonight to visit, he would call and say, Are you expecting Mr. Sheehan? Say yes, go ahead in. I don't know who Mr. Sheehan is, out.
- Q. I think you have indicated that to the best of your recollection Mr. Richardson left before Mr. Hayes and was replaced by Mr. Foote.
 - A. Yes.

	37
1	Q. And not too long after that, Mr. Hayes
2	left and was replaced by Mr. Foley?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So if that is correct, the vote to appoint
5	Mr. Foley as a Feoffee was made by you and Don
6	Whiston and Peter Foote?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Do you recall whose idea it was to make
9	Mr. Foley a Feoffee?
10	A. Mr. Whiston.
11	Q. And what was his reasoning?
12	A. A lengthy experience of knowing the people
13	down there, with his parents having owned a place
14	down there. He grew up as a little boy down there.
15	Q. What you're saying is Jim Foley's parents
16	had owned a cottage?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Jim had grown up there as a little boy?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Summers or year round?
21	A. Just summers.
22	Q. And the cottage that you're talking about
23	continued to be in Jim Foley's family while he was a
24	Feoffee up until around 2001, correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I don't know the date. But it continued, continued to be in his family until his father died and I don't know, I believe they kept it for a year or two and then he sold it.
- Q. And when Mr. Foote was made a Feoffee, whose idea was that?
 - A. It was proposed by Mr. Whiston.
- Q. Mr. Whiston really took a leadership role with the Feoffees?
 - A. Yes, he did.
 - Q. Was he chair throughout the period?
 - A. Yes, yes, he was chair.
 - Q. Until you became chair?
 - A. Until I became chair.
 - Q. In mid 2000's?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. Mr. Foley at some point was a selectman in the Town of Ipswich?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was he, was that a position he was elected to before or after he became a Feoffee?
 - A. I believe after.
 - Q. What was Mr. Foley's occupational
- 24 background?

1 The only detail that I know is that he was Α. 2 a police officer. 3 0. In what town? 4 A. Wenham, Mass. 5 Q. Wenham and not Hamilton, Wenham? 6 A. Wenham. Thought of, it's almost one and the same and I'm just trying to think of the 7 8 building. No, it's Wenham. 9 Q. All right. What was the reasoning for 10 making Mr. Foley, as a police officer in the Town of 11 Wenham -- Maybe you already told me this -- Strike 12 that. 13 You already told me the reason for 14 Mr. Foley becoming a Feoffee was because he was a 15 known person who had grown up in Little Neck and his 16 family owned a cottage there, right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 So at the time he became a Feoffee two of 0. 19 the life Feoffees had family members owning cottages, right? 20 21 No. When he owned the cottage Mr. Whiston 22 did not own the cottage. 23 Q. Did his daughter?

His daughter, I'm sorry, yes, his daughter

24

Α.

	40
1	did.
2	Q. So at the time Mr. Foley became a Feoffee
3	there was a cottage in Mr. Foley's family and there
4	was a cottage in Mr. Whiston's family, to your
5	knowledge?
6	A. I need to correct that. I don't believe
7	his daughter owned it then. His daughter hasn't
8	owned it that long.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. And I can't tell you when she bought it.
11	Q. All right, fair enough.
12	A. But I'm positive they weren't concurrent.
13	Q. Okay. You have lived in Ipswich all your
14	life?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. The other three Feoffees, are they all
17	life-long residents of Ipswich?
18	A. No, not Mr. Foley.
19	Q. Where
20	A. Peter Foote is. Don Whiston, no. He grew
21	up in Stoneham.
22	Q. Okay. At the time that you were, at the
23	time that Mr. Foley became Strike that.
24	Was Mr. Whiston a long-time resident

I think it's always been small.

24

Α.

No.

- Q. Okay. And you say it's a very small percentage, but you can't hazard a guess as to what that percentage is?
- A. I'm just thinking of people that I know and the percentage would be, could be as low as 2%, 3%. Very low.
- Q. Have you, during the time you have been a Feoffee, have you had any close personal friends who own cottages?
 - A. No.
- Q. And you have a personal acquaintance with, do you have a personal acquaintance with any of the cottage owners?
 - A. No.
- Q. How about the other Feoffees, to your knowledge, do any of the other life Feoffees have personal, close personal friendships with any cottage owners?
- A. I would say no, but the qualification there, Mr. Whiston had been there as a young man a long time.
 - Q. Right.
- A. And people, because of his respective jobs, I think, looked to him and communicated with

- 1 I would not call them close friends. 2 0. Are you familiar with an individual named 3 Don Greenough? Α. Yes. 5 Has he at various times served as counsel ο. 6 to the Feoffees? 7 Α. Yes. When did he first start to serve as the 8 0. 9 Feoffees' lawyer? 10 Α. When George Hayes moved to, moved out of town permanently. 11 12 Q. Who selected Don Greenough to be the 13 lawyer? 14 All the Feoffees agreed upon that based on Α. 15 the fact that he came from the same office and 16 occasionally handled some of the documents for Mr. 17 Hayes. 18 Q. You say Mr. Greenough came from the same 19 office?
- 20 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and 21 down.)

23

- Q. So he had been affiliated in his practice, to your understanding, with Mr. Hayes?
- A. Had he been have affiliated, you said?

- Q. I don't know what you meant by he came from the same office.
- A. He worked in the same office. His expertise was not the same.
- Q. Were they in business together, Mr. Hayes and Mr. Greenough? Did they have a partnership?
- A. No. I know that he hired Mr. Greenough when he was a young man is all I know, only because I heard the story.
- Q. Okay. So Mr. Hayes was a lawyer in Ipswich and he had hired Mr. Greenough to work for him?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And Mr. Greenough had then started doing work for the Feoffees while Mr. Hayes was still a Feoffee, as you said that he came and did paperwork?
- A. Well, he could have. I can't say that definitively. He certainly could have in a small office like that.
- Q. Was Mr. Hayes performing legal work for the Feoffees?
- A. Not, not paid legal work. He may as an attorney look over documents or something like that.
 - Q. Was Mr. Greenough performing paid legal

- work for the Feoffees when Mr. Greenough worked for Mr. Haves?
 - A. No.

- Q. What was Mr. Greenough's area of specialty, as you understood it?
- A. His area of specialty was really trusts and trusts, wills, things of that nature. He was not a trial attorney. He occasionally represented landowners in the town before various boards. So he had a good working knowledge of the town boards, which made him a more valuable person in that sense. Mr. Hayes had done the same thing. He had been on various boards.
- Q. How long did Mr. Greenough continue to provide legal services to the Feoffees?
- A. Well, it stopped when Mr. Sheehan took over and Mr. Sheehan took over because of the lawsuit with the tenants.
- 19 Q. Right.
 - A. And you'd have to look up the date of the lawsuit. Mr. Greenough said, I'm not a trial attorney. We need more expertise. I happened to have known Mr. Sheehan from another legal issue and I was very pleased with the idea of having him.

- Q. That brings me back to another issue I wanted to ask you about. So apart from being on the board of the Feoffees, what other trusts, boards, associations have you served on?
- A. For the Town of Ipswich just two, the School Building Needs Committee. I'd be hard pressed to give you the dates.
 - Q. That's okay.
 - A. And Industrial Development Committee.
 - Q. Okay. Outside of the town?
 - A. No, in the town.
- Q. Apart from serving on town boards what other boards, trusts and charities have you served for?
 - A. None that I recall.
- Q. Have you served on any other, have you served with any other groups or boards that have been subject to oversight by the Attorney General's office?
 - A. No.
- Q. You mentioned that there had been a legal issue before that Mr. Sheehan was involved in. Without telling me anything confidential, what was the nature of that legal issue?

1 Α. It was a person, one of my cousins in our 2 family, proprietors of Great Neck that -- The issue 3 is complicated, but anyway, she decided -- I believe she sued the corporation or sued us. A family squabble? 5 Q. Family squabble. 6 Over how that organization was being run 7 Q. and who was getting money and that kind of thing? 8 9 Yeah, exactly. Α. 10 Q. And that went to court? 11 A. No. 12 It was just --Q. It was settled out of court. 13 A. 14 Q. Was it actually a lawsuit or just some 15 sort of correspondence about her being disgruntled? 16 Α. I can't remember. I think of it as a 17 lawsuit, but it may not have been. 18 Q. Okay. When did that happen? 19 It was quite a while ago. Trying to think 20 of something I can pin it to. I believe it was 21 sometime in the 1980's. 22 Q. Quite a while? 23 Α. Yeah.

So you have never served, for example, on

24

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the board of any hospitals or anything like that?

- A. No.
- Q. Did you ever use Mr. Greenough yourself as an attorney?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What types of things?
 - A. Trusts, will, living will.
- Q. You mentioned Mr. Whiston serving as president of the First National Bank of Ipswich and you forgive me for not remembering which bank is which up there, but which is the bank in Ipswich that's done most of the financing of the cottages?
- A. It's now called the Institution for Savings. It used to be the Ipswich Cooperative Bank.
 - Q. And that's a separate bank?
 - A. That's a separate bank entirely.
- Q. Did the Feoffees ever do any business with the First National Bank of Ipswich?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What business did they do with the First National Bank of Ipswich?
- A. Well, for one thing, I believe we had a checking account there and then Mr. Whiston, if he

- had money to hold for the schools or for a project or something like that, he divided it up amongst the banks so he wasn't favoring any one bank. He tried to be very careful about that.
- Q. Was any money ever borrowed by the Feoffees from the First National Bank of Ipswich?
- A. I believe so, but I can't give the details.
- Q. The large loans that were made in connection with the sewers, the wastewater facility, was that First National Bank of Ipswich or was that a different bank?
- A. They were going to participate and ultimately couldn't, in the final analysis. They were going to participate with the Ipswich Cooperative Bank and when they couldn't participate, we asked the cooperative bank, who was very willing, to find another partner.
 - Q. Do you know Dan Clasby?
- 20 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21 Q. Has he played a role for the Feoffees?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What role has he played?
- 24 A. He's audited the books and provided the

5	0	
£	:	_

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

financial statements as required annually that we submit to the town.

- Q. How long has Mr. Clasby provided services to the Feoffees?
- A. I don't know. I can't remember his predecessor even.
 - Q. How old is Mr. Clasby, would you say?
 - A. Fifty.
 - Q. Does he actually, does he do audits of --
- A. Yes.
 - Q. How long has he been auditing the books and records of the Feoffees?
 - A. I don't know the number of years.
 - Q. Who selected Mr. Clasby to be the accountant for the Feoffees?
 - A. It was through a recommendation, largely, and agreed to by the Feoffees.
 - Q. Who recommended him?
- 19 A. Hum?
 - Q. Who made the recommendation?
 - A. I'm guessing, but fairly positive it was Mr. Greenough.
 - Q. Now, out at Little Neck, apart from the cottages, there's various improvements that have

	<u>σ</u>	
		51
1	been made	to the land, correct?
2	A.	Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
3	down.)	
4	Q.	So could you tell me what those Let me
5	list some	things and see if we get a list of what
6	those imp	rovements are.
7	A.	Sure.
8	Q.	There's roads there?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Community center?
11	A.	Community center, yes.
12	Q.	A ball field?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	There's a private beach?
15	A.	Yes, but that's natural, not created.
16	Q.	Right. There's a wastewater system?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	There's these underground utilities?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Is there cable for cable television?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Is there town water?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	How long has there been town water, a long

time?

- A. Say, twenty years, good guess.
- Q. Who paid for that?
- A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure whether there was an assessment made to the people out there because it was a public safety issue to be able to have fire hydrants on the property and it also allowed them to come to their cottage and turn the water on and turn the water off when they left because they weren't year round residents.
- Q. Apart from what I've listed, so we have roads, community center, ball field, wastewater system, underground utilities, any other improvements?
- A. Replacement of the dock after the blizzard of '78. On River Road up near the dock there was a lot of rock put on that face to try and mitigate erosion, I should say. In the 1950's there was a significant job done out on the steep front part of the hill on the quarter over towards Crane's Beach. If you are looking out to sea and you looked at the middle of the hill, and all the way around to the right was all done with a stone riprap by a professional contractor, you know, because it

- required cranes to lift stone in. You can't see it 1 now because it's covered with brush, but I've seen pictures -- Well, I saw it when it was done, but I have occasionally come across a picture that showed the bare stone before things grew in. That was a 5 rather significant job.
 - Q. All right. When did the roads get constructed, if you know?
 - I don't know. Α.
 - Are these roads entirely the private Q. responsibility of the Feoffees at this time?
- 12 A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

- 13 0. The town doesn't do anything for that 14 roads?
- 15 Α. No.
- 16 Q. Is there waste pick-up in Little Neck?
- 17 Yes. Α.
- 18 Q. Does the town provide that as a town 19 service?
- 20 Yes. Α.
- 21 Do the trucks go right up to the houses? Q.
- 22 Yes, in the sense they go down the Α. 23 streets.
- 24 Q. Right, as opposed to --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 A. Yeah, a centralized area, yeah.
 - Q. Who maintains the ball field?
 - A. We do, the Feoffees do.
 - Q. How do you do that?
 - A. We hire a landscape contractor to mow that area and mow around our office.
 - Q. With regard to the wastewater system, I understand there's a limited liability company that was formed?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was the name of that company, LN something?
 - A. Little Neck Wastewater? I'm not sure. It was kind of a transparent organization in the sense that money came in, money went out and I'm not -- I honestly don't know why it was formed.
 - Q. I was going to ask you whose idea was it to form that organization?
 - A. Don Greenough's.
 - Q. And you don't have an understanding of what the reasons are for it?
 - A. No, I really don't.
 - Q. Is it a for profit company?
- 24 A. No.

	55
1	Q. Well, what I mean by that, Mr. Mulholland,
2	I don't mean does it make money. I mean, was it
3	formed as an LLC, was it formed It wasn't formed
4	as a non-profit, right? It was formed as a regular
5	
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. LLC?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Does it file tax returns?
10	A. I don't know.
11	Q. Who are the So if it's a limited
12	liability company, that means that the owners are
13	called members, okay?
14	A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
15	down.)
16	Q. Do you know who the members are of the
17	LLC?
18	A. I believe it was the four life Feoffees.
19	Q. Do you get some kind of tax reporting
20	every year related to that company?
21	A. No.
22	Q. For your personal tax returns?
23	A. No.
24	Q. And so what you're saying, it's your

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr. 56 1 understanding that the LLC takes money in every year 2 from fees and pays out an equal amount? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Is that exact or is it more or less equal? It's more or less equal. 5 Α. 6 Who does the books for the Little Neck Q. 7 wastewater? 8 A. Peter Foote. 9 Q. Not Clasby? 10 A. Oh, I'm sorry. With regard to things like that, as an accountant, yes, Clasby. 11 12 0. Peter Foote keeps the books, but does Clasby review them? 13 14 Α. Oh, yes. Peter Foote collects the money. 15 0. Does the LLC own anything? 16 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 17 So the LLC does not own the wastewater Q. 18 system? 19 No. A. 20 Q. It's simply -- What does it do? 21 Α. I don't believe I can explain that to you. But in, since it didn't have any significant role 22 23 and I don't know why we formed it, at the suggestion

of Mr. Clasby that we just combine the two, because

- they worked hand in hand and report them as one. So it doesn't, my understanding is it doesn't really exist any more.
- Q. You're familiar with the cottages out at Little Neck?
 - A. Reasonably. I don't know whose is whose, but yeah, I drive around there.
 - Q. What percentage of the cottages would you say have full basement?
- 10 A. 10 to 15%.

7

8

- Q. And what percentage of the cottages would you say are heated? How many have any kind of heating system?
- 14 A. Suitable for year-round living?
- 15 Q. Well --
- 16 A. Has some kind of heating?
- 17 Q. Yeah.
- 18 A. I'd say they all do.
- Q. Let's break that down. Are there cottages that have oil heat?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. How many of them would you estimate have heat through deliveries to an oil tank and a
- 24 furnace?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. I don't know. And it would be very difficult to guess, because I've almost never seen an oil truck out there.
- Q. And for those that do have oil heat, where would the banks be?
 - A. They should be abutting their house.
 - Q. Outside?
- A. Outside. Well, no, they could be inside, I guess, and still be in code.
- Q. So is it your understanding that most of the cottages do not have oil heat or you don't know?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. What other forms of heat are you aware of that are used at Little Neck?
 - A. LP gas, propane.
- Q. And are those done with an exterior propane tank?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Have you seen such tanks out at the cottages?
 - A. I've seen tanks.
- Q. What other forms of heat do the cottages use?
 - A. None that I'm aware of, with one possible

59 1 exception, a little wood in a fireplace. 2 What about electric heat? 0. 3 Α. I don't know. 0. Could be? 4 5 Could be. Α. Now, you said that you think virtually all 6 Q. 7 of them have some form of heat? 8 Α. Yes. 9 0. And then you started to answer a question 10 about what form of heat that would be suitable for 11 the winter. Do you know the answer to that? No, I really don't. 12 Α. 13 And that would raise questions of both Q. 14 heat and insulation, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 0. Under the proposed sale of the cottages to 17 the tenants and lessees, they're not going to be 18 restricted to seasonal use? 19 That's my understanding, yes. Α. 20 Is it your expectation that as a result, 0. 21 steps will be taken in the cottages to, in many 22 cases, improve the insulation and upgrade the heat? 23 I'm sure some of that will happen. Α. I

don't know what, I wouldn't dare guess to what

	60
1	degree.
2	Q. For example, Little Neck might in the
3	context of a sale, be attractive to empty nesters as
4	a retirement home, right?
5	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You may
6	answer.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Do you know, currently there's
9	approximately 167 cottages?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Is it exactly 167?
12	A. I believe so.
13	Q. How long has that been the number or how
14	has that number changed in your lifetime?
15	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Just one
16	question. You put two questions.
17	Q. Why don't you answer whichever one you
18	want. I want to know what the
19	A. I don't believe there's been any change
20	I have to take that back. There's, I believe, been
21	two removed during my tenure.
22	Q. As a Feoffee?
23	A. As a Feoffee.
24	Q. So during the time you have been a Feoffee

- it's always been around 167?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Before you were a Feoffee was it also 167?
- A. It may have been 169, only because I'm aware of two that are gone.
- Q. How far back have there been in the range of 167 plus or minus cottages at Little Neck, to your knowledge?
- 9 A. I don't know. I can only say that when I
 10 was very young and lived on Great Neck in the
 11 summertime, that Little Neck always at a distance
 12 looked the same.
- Q. Okay. So that was back in the forties?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any sense of how old the cottages are?
- 17 A. No. They vary greatly.
- 18 O. Some are new?
- 19 A. Some are new.
- Q. How old are the older ones?
- A. I don't know. I only know that by looking at them, they're quite old summer cottage.
- 23 Q. Is it --
- 24 A. Turn of the century, I guess.

- Q. The best of your understanding is that they got built, some of them, in the early twentieth century, right?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. Are you aware that there was a recent foreclosure sale out at Little Neck?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And which property was that?
 - A. I can't tell you specifically, but I was there.
- Q. You were there. Do you know who bought it?
 - A. I can't remember his name. I only know he has, I believe, two other places down there.
 - Q. And the person who bought it, was it your understanding that he was planning to knock down the improvements and rebuild?
 - A. No, no.
- Q. What is your understanding as to what his intent is?
 - A. Allow his daughter to live there.
- Q. After you became a Feoffee in the late seventies could you describe what your role entailed? I think you have told me already what you

expected it to be, so if you could just summarize for me what your role as a Feoffee entailed since you became a Feoffee in 1978. And if you want, we could break that down into two periods, between 1978 and the mid 2000's when you became chairman what was your role? In other words, until you became chairman what was your role as a Feoffee?

- Α. It was very minimal. It was discussions with Don Whiston or meetings with Don Whiston and the rest of the Feoffees. Usually it was regarding somebody's house, an addition, whether it was to be approved or not. There really weren't any other difficulties that I got involved with. And when I became -- Well, somewhere along there I became vice chairman and the only time I acted as chairman was once when Don forgot a meeting. And when I became chairman, I became chairman really at the beginning of the sewer project and that was because Don was very uncomfortable with it, was also getting older and he thought I would be much better suited to take that over. So I agreed to do it.
- Q. I'll come to your tenure as chairman, but you have now answered what your role was?
- 24 A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

	64
1	Q. Up to that point?
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. Which you have described as fairly
4	minimal?
5	A. Yeah, yes.
6	MR. SHEEHAN: Steve, is this a good
7	time to take a break?
8	MR. PERRY: Yeah, either that or
9	within a couple seconds.
10	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay.
11	MR. PERRY: Let me just Let's
12	take a break.
13	(Brief recess 11:33 to 11:40 a.m.)
14	Q. At the time you became a Feoffee did you
15	review any documents about the Feoffees? Did you
16	review the will that set them up, anything like
17	that?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Did you know when you joined the Feoffees
20	that there were supposed to be seven of them?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. How did you find that out?
23	A. I was just told what the makeup was
24	supposed to be.

	63
1	Q. And that makeup, to your understanding,
2	consisted of what?
3	A. Four life Feoffees and the four senior
4	members of the board of selectmen.
5	Q. Did you say four? You meant three?
6	A. Four life members.
7	Q. Did he say three selectmen?
8	MR. SHEEHAN: Four.
9	A. What I meant to say, four life members,
10	three senior members of the board of selectmen. Did
11	I say four? I'm sorry, I misspoke.
12	Q. Right. No problem. Did the three senior
13	members of the board of selectmen in fact
14	participate in the affairs of the Feoffees between
15	the time you joined and the time that you became
16	chairman?
17	A. Not day to day.
18	Q. Did they participate at all between 1978
19	and the year 2000?
20	A. Only at the annual meeting.
21	Q. How did they participate at the annual
22	meeting?
23	A. It was, it was probably just a little
24	discussion. They didn't really contribute anything.

- Q. Did the three senior selectmen in fact go to the annual meetings of the Feoffees between 1978 and 2000?
- A. Not all the time. At least one did. Usually two. It could be three. I think they looked at it casually.
- Q. Did you -- You're currently aware that there's a provision in the will under which the Feoffees were established that says that Little Neck should not be sold?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When did you first learn that there was any kind of restriction in a will on the sale of Little Neck?
- A. Significant time ago. I mean, not last year, not ten years, you know.
 - Q. You have known that?
 - A. I basically know that, yeah.
- Q. How did you become aware of that restriction?
 - A. Pardon?
 - Q. How did you become aware that there was such a restriction?
 - A. Discussing it with other Feoffees.

	67
1	Q. What other Feoffees did you discuss it
2	with?
3	A. Well, Jerome, probably my uncle, Harry
4	Munro.
5	Q. And you told me that the Feoffees have an
6	annual meeting every year after you became a
7	Feoffee, right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Were there other regular meetings of the
10	Feoffees in between the annual meetings between 1978
11	and 2000?
12	A. No.
13	Q. You said you would also have issues that
14	came up from time to time about an addition or the
15	like?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Would those be handled at meetings or
18	would those be handled some other way?
19	A. Some other way.
20	Q. So really, there were just, at the time
21	there was only one meeting a year?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Were minutes kept of those meetings?
24	A. Yes.

	Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr.
	68
1	Q. Were minutes keep of those meetings each
2	and every year?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Who kept the minutes?
5	A. The secretary, who gave them to the
6	chairman.
7	Q. Who served as secretary during the years
8	you were a Feoffee?
9	A. George Hayes was the secretary.
10	Q. After he left?
11	A. I think Jim Foley was the secretary.
12	Peter Foote was a secretary. I can't give you the
13	years. Peter Foote still is.
14	Q. Who kept the financial records of the
15	Feoffees after you joined?
16	A. Can you clarify what you mean by financial
17	records?
18	Q. The Feoffees were taking in rents during
19	the time you were a Feoffee?
20	A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
21	down.)
22	Q. And they were incurring expenses?

24

A.

down.)

Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and

	69
1	Q. So there needed to be books and records of
2	the Feoffees showing what was coming in and what was
3	going out, right?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Who kept those books and records?
6	A. Day to day, Peter Foote.
7	Q. After Peter Foote became a Feoffee he kept
8	the day to day financial records?
9	A. No. Clarification. After he became a
10	Feoffee, Donald Whiston was still chairman, manager,
11	treasurer, and so he had those financial, he had
12	those records.
13	Q. So when you joined, it was Donald Whiston
14	who was keeping the books and records of the
15	Feoffees?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And at some point he ceased to do so and
18	Peter Foote began to do so?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And when was that, roughly?
21	A. Ten years ago, approximately.
22	Q. Part of the records that have been
23	produced to us in this case include bills of sale
24	when cottages are transferred. Was it the practice

of the Feoffees to require that in the case of every transfer of ownership of a cottage the Feoffees be informed?

- A. Could you repeat that? I just didn't hear you at the end.
- Q. Did the Feoffees have an understanding with the tenants that the Feoffees were to be informed of any transfer of ownership of a cottage?
 - A. I believed that that was the case.
- Q. And in fact, you told us that there were lists of cottage owners?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And the lease details?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So it was important --
- A. Yes.
- Q. That the Feoffees know who the cottage owners were? Let me finish the question before you answer.

MR. SHEEHAN: You can not speak while Steve is asking the question. I know you wanted to be helpful, but it's --

Q. It was important that the Feoffees be aware of who the cottage owners were?

A. Yes.

- Q. And the cottage owners needed to cooperate in that, because otherwise, for example, they might not be able to enter the premises if there were a police detail there?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Some of the bills of sale show prices for the cottages and others show consideration of \$1.

 Are you aware, are you familiar with that?
 - A. No, I'm not familiar with that.
- Q. And in some of the cases of the \$1 transfers, these are presumably inter-family transfers from, to a trust or something like that?
 - A. I would assume that's probably the case.
- Q. But there seem to be some others where it just says a dollar and the consideration and it seems to be third parties. Are there any records that would show what was actually paid for the sale of a cottage if the only record, if the record on the bill of sale says a dollar?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Could you tell me what records the Feoffees currently have and how far back they go?
- A. The records that are kept day to day are

	72	
1	the collec	ction of the rents, the collection of the
2	taxes, the	e collection of the wastewater fee. I
3	think that	t's pretty much it.
4	Q.	Well, you keep the bills of sale?
5	A.	Yeah.
6	Q.	What about expenditures?
7	A.	Well, yes, I'm sorry.
8	Q.	The records of expenditure?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	How far back do those go?
11	A.	I don't know.
12	Q.	Are the records kept at this time on a
13	computer,	QuickBooks, or something like that?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	By Mr. Foote?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Does he use QuickBooks?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	How long have the records of Little Neck
20	been kept	on, in a computerized fashion?
21	A.	My estimate, three to four years.
22	Q.	Before that were they kept by hand?
23	A.	By hand.
24	Q.	Are there records of the Are the

	73
	_
1	minutes kept?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. How far back do the minutes go?
4	A. I don't know. I would expect forever to
5	the beginning.
6	Q. Who has those records?
7	A. I believe most of the records are in Bill
8	Sheehan's possession.
9	Q. Who had them before Mr. Sheehan got them?
10	A. Donald Whiston.
11	Q. What was your understanding when you
12	joined the Feoffees concerning the tax status of the
13	Feoffees, if you had one?
14	A. My understanding, it was basically a tax
15	exempt charitable trust going to, proceeds going to
16	the Town of Ipswich schools.
17	Q. Did it ever come to your attention as a
18	Feoffee that perhaps the Feoffees hadn't done
19	everything that needed to be done to insure that
20	they had that tax status?
21	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You may
22	answer.
23	A. Yes, but I don't know the details.
24	Q. When did you first become aware that there

could be such an issue?

- A. Ten years ago, approximately.
- Q. Has there been any change in the manner in which the Feoffees have conducted themselves in connection with meeting any reporting requirements?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What changes have taken place?
- A. There's a form, I believe, that needs to be filed with the state regarding a charitable organization. I don't know the form number, but I know that it was, it has been filed and past records have been filed.
- Q. When did the Feoffees begin filing the annual form that's required to be filed with the Attorney General's office?
- A. Again, my best guess would be five years ago.
- Q. And you say when those forms were filed, they also filed some past --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Year forms?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Who served as the accountant for the Feoffees when those filings were made?

1 Dan Clasby. A. Who served as the accountant for the 2 Q. Feoffees more than five years ago when the filings 3 were not being made? 4 5 A. I really can't remember. Was it also Dan Clasby? 6 Q. 7 I don't know who preceded Dan Clasby. A. 8 Q. How long has Dan Clasby been doing this 9 work? 10 I can't -- I don't know. Α. 11 Did any information ever come to your 0. 12 attention indicating that Dan Clasby had had any 13 professional issues? 14 Α. No. 15 You're not aware of him ever having 0. 16 received any sanctions by any organizations? 17 Not that I'm aware of. Α. Do the Feoffees have to file federal 18 Q. 19 income tax returns? 20 I don't believe so, no. A. And it doesn't file? 21 Q. 22 A. No. Could you give me a history, please, of 23 Q.

how the rents being charged have changed over the

time that you have been a Feoffee?

- A. Well, I'll give you the best summary that I can. In the earliest days the rents were, I thought, comparable to other rents for summer cottages in the area. I never tried to validate it, but that was my opinion. And basically, that stayed at that level until, until real estate really began to increase in value and we got pressure from, the particular person was Mr. Weatherall. I don't know whether he was on the school committee at the time or not, to get up to current market rents.
- Q. And this was occurring in the late nineties?
 - A. Probably, yeah.
- Q. And at the time that you were being pressured to increase rents were they in the range of 600, \$800 a year?
 - A. I think so, yeah, yes.
 - Q. Okay. If you could continue then, please?
- A. We agreed that we should try and get to market value. We had no qualms about trying to do that, so we enlisted LandVest for some professional guidance about land values, rent prices and things like that. And they provided us with various data

points. And we looked at where we wanted to go, which was, I think, to probably a 5,000 level and from where we were and spread it over five years. In which case, Mr. Weatherall and perhaps his associates were pleased that we took that action. It was also the same time that we had run-away inflation in the housing market.

We very quickly realized when we got to the end of the five years we weren't going to be where we thought we were going to be and we weren't sure what to do about it other than consult with LandVest again.

- Q. Right. And what happened with regard to rents after you got to the end of the five years, which I believe was in around 2003?
- A. Well, I can't, I can't give you the exact timing of events, but based on recommendations from LandVest as to what rents could be, that we could be charging as much as 9,000 or \$10,000 a year, and but they qualified that and said given the uncertainty of the DEP issue, which was very significant, that we should charge less until that was entirely resolved. And I think we kept the rents basically where they were for that time and then tried to deal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

more with the DEP issues. The landowners were aware somehow they were going to have to contribute to that, so --

- Q. I'm sorry?
- A. No.
- Q. Who was aware they had to contribute?
- A. The landowner or, I'm sorry.
- Q. The cottage owner?
- A. The tenants.
- O. The tenants?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. And cottage owners?
 - A. Yes, and cottage owners.
- Q. Understood that they were going to have to contribute toward the cost of constructing the wastewater facility that benefited them?
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. Go ahead.
- A. With the cost being now fixed, that in the ground, we consulted with LandVest and looked at the fact that we could be charging, say, \$10,000 a year. I don't think that's the number we used. I think it was 9 something. In which case we got, basically, a revolution.

Right. 1 Q. 2 They weren't going to pay. And we also 3 had offered them a lease and they just, they just balked at everything, basically. 4 Who were you using as your lawyer for 5 Q. purposes of negotiating or determining the terms of 6 7 that lease? Who drafted it? 8 Α. Donald Greenough. 9 And that pretty much sums up what the 0. history of the rents has been? 10 11 I think so, yeah. Α. 12 And during, during the pendency of the Q. 13 litigation the tenants were paying for seasonal 14 occupancy of roughly \$5,200 a year and putting some 15 additional money in escrow? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And year round occupancy being \$6,000 and Q. 18 putting some money in escrow?

22 A. Yes.

leases?

A.

Q.

Yes.

19

20

21

Q. And those who signed leases were paying \$9,700 for seasonal use?

And that was those who refused to sign

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr. 80 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Or \$10,800 per year for year round? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. Has there been any change made to any of the rents being charged to the lessees or are we 5 6 still at 9,700 and 10,800? 7 Α. No, no. 8 0. No changes? 9 I'm certain that they're still the same 10 right now. 11 Have any of the lessees been told that they're going to face a rent increase prior to the 12 13 resolution of the case that we have right now? They have not been told, but they have 14 A. 15 asked. 16 0.

- Q. And there's different forms of leases out there, right?
- A. Well, no, I think there's only -- Well, there's really two.
 - Q. Okay. So there's one from 2006?
 - A. A real lease.
 - Q. Right.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. And now there's tenants at will.
- Q. But the leases that have actually been

- 1 signed, were you aware that there was more than one form of lease depending on when they were signed? 2 3 Α. No, not really. Is there any intent to raise the rents 4 0. 5 under the existing leases while the probate court 6 litigation is going on? 7 Α. No. 8 0. And you have received inquiries about that 9 from lessees? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Who's received those inquiries, you? Q. 12 Yes. Α. 13 Q. What have you said? 14 Minimal amount. That we'd like to see the Α. 15 court case resolved first. 16 Q. Yeah. 17 Α. Their concern was the lease apparently 18 says that there could be a significant increase.
 - Q. Right.

Could be.

19

- A. Okay. There isn't a guarantee that that's going to happen. The lease also, to encourage them to sign the lease, has an out clause.
- Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

- A. If they want to get out of the lease, you know, which made it more palatable to sign the lease.
- Q. Right. Have rents always been charged to tenants during the period that you have been a Feoffee?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And were they always paid on an every six month basis?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Was that the practice in recent history?
- A. I thought, based on now, calling this recent history is that they were paid quarterly.
- Q. Okay. At the time prior to the litigation with the tenants were they paying quarterly or every six months?
 - A. I don't remember.
 - Q. One or the other?
- A. Yeah, yes.
- Q. How were those rents set? Were the rents set every year?
 - A. Historically, yes.
 - Q. And when you became a Feoffee, the rents were at a certain amount?

	83
1	A. Yeah.
2	Q. Fairly small, few hundred dollars a year,
3	right?
4	A. When I became a Feoffee, yeah, right.
5	Q. Were the rents reviewed every year at a
6	meeting or were they just left in place for years?
7	A. They were reviewed at a meeting.
8	Q. The annual meeting?
9	A. Sometimes prior to the annual meeting.
10	Q. Did each year's minutes reflect what the
11	rent would be for the coming year?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Was it a calendar year or some kind of
14	fiscal year?
15	A. Fiscal year, I believe.
16	Q. The rents would run from, would be set for
17	a period from July 1 through June 30?
18	A. Yes, I believe that's correct.
19	Q. And you talked about a process of trying
20	to raise the rents over a five year period. Do you
21	recall that that five year period was from 1998 to
22	2003?
23	A. It sounds correct, yeah, yes.

And you had become aware by no later than

24

Q.

1998 that the rents that were being charged were well below what fair market rent would be?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you were aware that they had, for some period of time before 1998, been below fair market value?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But you can't put an exact date on how long the rents have been below fair market value?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Sometime at least in the nineties?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What did you consider fair market rent to mean?
- A. When we were looking to accomplish that I'm not sure I looked at it the way that I've looked at it in most recent years, which was more of a return of investment type of look at the property. Relied heavily on recommendation and documentation from LandVest with regard to things like that.
- Q. Are you familiar generally with the value of the cottage improvements at Little Neck?
 - A. In general.
 - Q. Do you have a sense of what it would cost

85 1 to build improvements to replicate those cottages? 2 In other words, the replacement cost of those 3 cottages, do you have a sense of that? 4 On the average, I'd say 300,000, 350,000 to replicate them. 5 6 Do you have a sense of what the value of 0. 7 the improvements is from the standpoint of 8 replacement cost less depreciation? 9 No, other than that I would think that depreciation would be basically zero at this time 10 11 for a lot of them. 12 For the new ones? For the newer ones, you Q. 13 mean? A. 14 No, not for the newer ones, for the old 15 ones. You're saying the depreciation wouldn't be 16 17 zero, you're saying that the value would be fully 18 depreciated? 19 Α. Right. 20 Have you ever looked at the assessment for 21 the improvements? 22 Α. Occasionally, yes. 23 And those assessments are based on some 0.

notion of replacement cost less depreciation?

- A. I don't know, because the assessment is done by the town.
- Q. Were you, did you become aware during the time you have been a Feoffee that cottages were selling for more than the value of the cottages themselves?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When did you become aware of that?
 - A. I believe fifteen or twenty years ago.
- Q. And was it your understanding that if tenants were charged a fair market rent, the cottages would then be expected to sell for an amount closer to their actual value?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You may answer.

- A. Would you repeat that again?
- Q. Did you understand that there should be a relationship between the rent on the one hand and what the cottages sell for on the other?

MR. SHEEHAN: Note my objection. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Pardon?

MR. SHEEHAN: I'm just objecting for

the record, but go ahead.

1 A. I'm just thinking. No, I would say. Other things equal, if you start raising 2 Q. 3 the rent that the tenants have to pay, doesn't that tend to have an effect of lowering what people would 4 pay for the right to occupy, to own a cottage? 5 MR. SHEEHAN: 6 Objection. 7 A. In general, yes. 8 Q. So when you saw cottages selling for 9 substantially more than the cottages themselves were 10 worth, did that indicate that there might be room to 11 raise the rent? 12 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 13 A. No. 14 You mentioned that a consultant was 0. 15 retained to assist the Feoffees with regard to these 16 issues of rent? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And you said it was a company called Q. 19 LandVest? 20 LandVest. Α. 21 Q. Who made the, who selected LandVest to be the consultant? 22 It was recommended by Donald Greenough. 23 Α. 24 What did you do as a Feoffee to determine

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

whether the consultant from LandVest was, had the best qualifications to take on that role?

- A. I guess I looked at it from a reputation point of view. They're a respected company with regard to land sales, assessments, things like that.
- Q. Do you know whether any of the individuals at LandVest are qualified? Do you know anything about the qualifications they have to appraise real property?
 - A. No.
- Q. Was there a particular individual at LandVest that you worked with?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who was that? Jim Monahan?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. I don't want to embarrass you.
 - A. That's okay.
 - Q. No, I mean, you know, obviously, I'm sure if I gave you enough time you'd come up with a name.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Jim Monahan was the one that was mostly working on this assignment?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I saw a report by a fellow by the name of

89 1 Slater Anderson. Do you know who that is? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Do you know anything about Jim Monahan's 4 credentials as an appraiser? 5 Α. No. Did you read his reports and try to 6 Q. 7 understand his methodology? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. When did you do that? 10 Soon after the report was presented to us. Α. 11 And so you read the report that he did in Q. 12 1998 and subsequent reports over the years? 13 A. Yes. 14 And did you in fact understand his Q. 15 methodology? 16 Α. I felt reasonably well that I did. 17 How did Mr Monahan determine what the fair 0. market value of lots at Little Neck was? 18 19 I don't really remember how he did it. Α. 20 Let's take his most recent appraisal, the 21 one he did in 2010. And you may recall that he went 22 through a process where he set values for each lot and then did an analysis of what a third party would 23

pay to buy the land in bulk and sell them off to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tenants, right? Do you remember that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. I want to start with the first part of that, which is how Mr Monahan went about trying to determine what the individual lot values was. Do you have an understanding of what he did?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Did you read his report?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware that there's been sales of cottages at Little Neck over the past five years?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know what kinds of prices the cottages sold at at Little Neck over the past five years?
- A. It varied. Some were very high in relation to the market and some were probably very low. I believe those were two auctioned places.
- Q. So there were sales of the cottages themselves?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. That ranged from something below 200,000 to in excess of 500,000?
 - A. Close to 500,000, if not in excess, yeah.

- Q. Did you think that those sales of cottages could tell you what the land underneath the cottages was worth?
 - A. No.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Why not?
- Because with the demographics changed and Α. wealthy people coming into the picture, some people will pay in excess of fair market value to get what they want or what they think they want, which skews So I think it's dangerous to that kind of thinking. just rely on the price paid as a way to determine real value. If they paid \$500,000 for a cottage that probably is worth less than 100,000 as a building, has no basement or anything else, they're buying it for another reason. They want to be close to the water. Maybe they can see the water. In one case, they couldn't -- Well, they could see the water a little bit.
 - Q. And they still paid --
- A. And the question was why, why would you pay that? So using that to determine values is, to say the least, extremely difficult.
- Q. Right. Well, let me add an additional difficulty to the problem. When people bought

cottages in the past five years for these amounts, they were also required to sign leases with the Feoffees, weren't they?

- A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and down.)
- Q. And these leases said they'd have to pay 9,700 a year for seasonal use or 10,800 for year reasoned?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that all correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So when people were paying \$500,000 for a cottage worth under \$100,000, they were also agreeing to pay rent in addition to that of 9,700 a year, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that would be additional value that goes to the land, wouldn't it?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- A. I don't know that I would qualify it quite that way.
 - Q. Well, in other words, the amount that they paid for the cottage in excess of the cottage's value doesn't tell you the full picture of what the

- 1 land is worth, right?
 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Because they're also going to have to pay rent?
- A. Yes.

7

8

9

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

Q. So you'd have to capture what that rental is worth and add it, capitalize it in some way to get a value, wouldn't you?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- 10 A. I believe so, but I never looked at it 11 that way.
 - Q. And do you know why -- You don't know what the methodology Mr. Monahan used was in that regard, do you?
- 15 A. No, I don't.
- Q. You were relying on him being an expert?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Were you aware that Mr. Monahan in fact based his values at Little Neck in his most recent appraisal on these sales that took place over the past five or six years?
- 22 A. I'm not aware of that.
- Q. Prior to 1998 when Mr. Weatherall was getting involved and perhaps he was -- Let me

withdraw that. I don't know exactly when he was getting involved, but --

Prior to 1998 when there was a determined effort to raise the rents to get them toward fair market value, had there been any disagreement before that among the Feoffees as to what the rent should be?

- A. I would have to say no.
- Q. And after 1998 do you recall any internal dissent among the Feoffees concerning what the rent should be?
- A. No, because we were relying on expert data and we didn't disagree with it.
- Q. Were there any, was it publicly announced at any time to the tenants that rents were going to be moved toward fair market value?
- A. The only time I remember it being talked about going to fair market value was when we determined it was going to go up over a period of five years to fair market value and then, unfortunately, in five years it got terribly skewed.
- Q. Right, but in 1998 when the Feoffees decided that they were going to move the rent to, so that in 2003 tenants were paying what the fair

- market value had been determined to be in 1998, was that announced to the tenants?
- A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. When was an announcement made to the tenants that rents were going up over a five year period, if you recall?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. It wasn't kept secret, right?
- 9 A. No.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

- Q. So those tenants who bought their cottages after 1998 knew that the good old days of low rents were not going to be in existence any more, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did Mr. Whiston have any, did he express
 any concerns or objections to raising the rents at
 Little Neck so that they would reach fair market
 value?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Did Mr. Whiston ever, did you ever hear
 Mr. Whiston say that rents at Little Neck were going
 to be kept low so that they'd just basically covered
 operating costs?
- A. No, I never heard that. I never heard him say that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are you aware of some of the statements that have been attributed to him by tenant leaders?
 - A. By tenant, pardon?
 - O. The tenant leaders.
 - A. Tenant leaders. Yes.
 - Q. For example -- How are you aware of those statements that have been attributed to him?
 - A. I guess I'm aware through statements they've made differentiating fair market rent with the term fair rent, which they're differentiating and I wouldn't. I --
 - Q. Well, for example, the other day we had depositions on separate days of DiSalvo and Gottlieb and they gave some extensive testimony about various conversations they say they had had with Mr. Whiston. Have you heard about those conversations
 - A. No, no.
 - Q. So I presume you may have heard about that from Mr. Sheehan?
 - A. No.
 - Q. After the testimony, but --

before they testified about them?

- A. Yeah, he, yes.
 - Q. So you hadn't heard about those particular

	97
1	claims before then?
2	A. No.
3	Q. And you don't know personally what Mr.
4	Whiston may have said to tenants at any given
5	meeting?
6	A. No.
7	Q. During the time that you were a Feoffee
8	was he authorized to make promises on behalf of the
9	Feoffees about what rents would be in the future?
10	A. No.
11	Q. And that wasn't within the purview of the
12	chairman's role, was it?
13	A. No, that should be the entire board.
14	Q. And the practice was that the rents would
15	be determined each year?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. You mention that Mr. Weatherall had a
18	concern about the rent levels, right?
19	A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
20	down.)
21	Q. Yes?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Did anybody else outside of the Feoffees
24	express concerns that the rents were too low?

- A. I think when it was first brought up it was Mr. Weatherall and Mr. Traverso together.
- Q. Was Mr. Traverso a public official when he first raised these concerns?
 - A. I do not remember.
- Q. At some point he began to serve on the school committee?
 - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. And at some point there was a town report concerning the Feoffees, do you remember that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You have never --
 - A. Excuse me.
 - Q. Yes, go ahead.
- A. I'm wrong in what I just said, because when you said town report, I was thinking of the town report that comes out every spring. It was not in there. Yes, there was a report generated that looked at the Feoffees, the structure, and various things that we did and so on. Yeah, I'm aware of that report, yes, I am.
- Q. Has there been any time since 1990 that you're aware of when in fact the tenants have paid fair market rent for their real estate at Little

1 Neck?

2

- A. Not in my opinion.
- Q. When was the wastewater system completed such that tenants could hook up to it?
- A. I'm hard pressed to give you the date, you know, the actual date.
 - Q. Sometime prior to the litigation?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Prior to?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- Q. Before you sent the --
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Leases to the tenants?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And said you should sign them?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Alex, wait until the question is complete.
- Q. And was it completed sometime soon before these new rents were set and the leases were sent out to the tenants?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- Q. In order for a tenant to take advantage of a wastewater system, they had to be connected to it,

100 1 right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And was that part of the wastewater 4 project, to connect each of the tenants? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Was any consideration given to requiring 7 the tenants to sign a document taking responsibility for payments in order to be hooked up to the 8 9 wastewater system? 10 I don't believe so. Α. 11 Was that a mistake, in retrospect, not to 12 have done that? 13 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 14 A. Since this is the first time anybody has 15 ever said that, I would say probably, yes. Because you could have at that time said, 16 0. 17 Look, if you want to be part of the wastewater system, we need to have your commitment to pay for 18 19 it in some fashion, either through rent or a special 20 charge, right? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. And of course, you couldn't continue them

to, you wouldn't have been allowed to let them just

keep on discharging water into their cesspools if

23

- 1 they didn't sign, right?
- A. Yes.

7

8

13

16

17

18

- Q. But if you didn't allow them to occupy
 with an illegal system, you could have put that
 choice to them, either sign or else, right?
 - A. Possibly. Because we had the DEP looking over us to clean up the entire area. So --
 - Q. Right.
- A. I'm not sure the DEP -- I'm just thinking
 a little bit out loud, which I'm not supposed to.
 The DEP insisted that we clean up the entire area,
 not just part of it.
 - Q. Right.
- A. So I think differentiating, as you suggested, may have been impossible.
 - Q. Unless the arrangement with the DEP was that those that weren't hooked up wouldn't be allowed to occupy, and therefore there would be no issue?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. But you weren't expecting the tenants to rebel?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. You thought the relationships were good?

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr. 102 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. How much of the problem do you attribute 3 to individuals who asserted leadership for the 4 tenants? 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 6 Α. Would you ask that again, please? 7 Q. Well, when you assess the situation in 8 your own mind, do you believe that the rebellion 9 that took place was largely festered by a few 10 disgruntled individuals? 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. And who are those, is that Mr. Gottlieb, 13 Mr. DiSalvo? 14 A. Yes. 15 When the Feoffees set rents at the rate of Q. 9,700 seasonal and 10,800 year round were you 16 satisfied that these were fair market value rents? 17 18 Α. Yes, at the time. 19 0. Have you changed your mind? 20 Α. The problem is how do you determine No. 21 the rent as time goes on? What do you tie it to? 22 Q. Right.

As an index.

23

24

Α.

- appropriate for 2006 when they were imposed?

 A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. By the way, you would agree that the fair market rent for lots at Little Neck technically varies pretty markedly between lots?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And yet, the rents have been charged historically on a flat basis for all tenants?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And is it fair to say that that's because tenants have strongly advocated for that kind of uniformity?
- A. Yes, actually.
- Q. And even now, in some of the new leases
 there's some stratification built into the rents, is
 that right?
 - A. I'm not sure.
- Q. But if you were to stratify the rents
 based on fair market value of the underlying lots,
 the stratification would be quite severe, wouldn't
 it?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 23 Q. I can --
- 24 A. Yes.

Q. It wouldn't be just a matter of Tenant A pays 8% more than Tenant B. There would be a pretty large difference, right?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- A. That, yes, that's what I would say.
- Q. And again, the reason that that hasn't been done historically is because of the tenants' expressed desire, at least the majority of them, to have the rents be fairly flat?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Among all of the tenants?
 - A. Yes, that's our understanding.
- Q. Now, if the Feoffees were able to collect and the tenants were paying rents at what you described as fair market rates at least as of 2006, would there be any problem with operating Little Neck in a manner that was profitable and allowed substantial sums to go to the schools?

- A. No, nothing that's obvious.
- Q. And you would --
- A. At this point in time.
- Q. And you would expect the rents, as you have described, to actually increase over time,

1 | wouldn't you?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- A. Possibly. I would have always up until a year or so ago and then I'm not sure any more where the values of things are going.
- Q. Well, if there's increases generally in the cost of living and inflation, you'd expect the rents to keep up with that, wouldn't you?
 - A. Yes, in general.
- Q. And then you may or may not have additional appreciation on top of inflation, depending on the market for oceanfront land, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So the existing investment in Little Neck on a long-term basis you would expect to at least keep up with inflation, wouldn't you, on the basis of rents?
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. Under the proposed sale of Little Neck, the tenants will be allowed to use their cottages on a year round basis, right?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. If you were trying to compare a rental analysis to a sale analysis, would it be fair to

106 look at year round rental for all of the properties, 1 2 as well? 3 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 4 A. I don't know. 5 MR. PERRY: Let's go off the record 6 a second. 7 (Discussion off the record.) 8 (Lunch recess 12:37 to 1:30 p.m.) 9 10 11 12 13 AFTERNOON SESSION 14 You had testified earlier that at Great 0. 15 Neck where you had been part of the corporation that 16 owned the property, ultimately those lots were sold 17 off lot by lot? 18 Α. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and 19 down.) 20 Q. To either those who owned the cottages or 21 others, right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And in each of those instances your family 24 company attempted to sell the land for a fair market

Peterson LaChance?

- A. No.
- Q. For the tenants?
- A. No.

Q. Have you reviewed the appraisal done by Steve Foster of Lincoln Properties?

A. No.

recall that?

Q. In the appraisal done by LandVest in 2010 do you recall that it was a two-part process, as we've previously discussed, in which he valued the individual lots, came to an aggregate figure, but

then did a discounted cash flow analysis, do you

- A. No, I don't really recall it.
- Q. Do you have an understanding of what that methodology was where?
 - A. Yes, I've heard of it before, yeah, yes.
- Q. In your own words what do you understand that methodology to be?
- A. Well, I only say that because on a piece of land that we owned, he, a large piece of land, LandVest appraised it by agreement between us and the town and he wanted to discount the value because it was a large acreage not subdivided, so on. And he just said that was standard operating practice.

- We didn't accept it, but -- So, yeah, I'm not familiar with that, but I am sort of familiar with what you're saying.
 - Q. All right. Well, do you have an understanding that there's a difference between the value that LandVest says is the fair market value of the property as a whole compared to the value of each individual lot when you add them up?
- A. Yeah, I have some understanding of that, certainly.
- Q. And what is the price of the sale to the tenants based upon? Is it based upon what the individual lots the tenants are getting or is it based upon the land viewed in the aggregate?
- A. I don't know what the original basis was to start coming up with that value.
- Q. At the end of the sales transaction with the tenants if it were to be approved, each tenant will end up owning an individual lot, correct?
 - A. Yes, as a condo lot.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Note my objection.
- Q. As part of a condominium?
- A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. They'll own a cottage and they'll have

rights to use the common areas with others?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- Q. Is that right?
- A. I would assume.
- Q. Do you believe that each individual tenant should have to pay to the Feoffees the fair market value of the individual lot being purchased?

 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
 - A. No, no.
 - Q. And why not?
- A. Well, we negotiated a value separately than that kind of methodology.
- Q. And under the value you negotiated are the tenants on average paying for their individual lots a fair market value figure for that lot?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Have you, are you aware that according to the various appraisals the value of the individual lots when aggregated comes to something between, in the range of 38 to 42 million?
- A. I'm trying to think of how to answer. I guess I'm aware of the number. I don't think it has any validity.

- 1 And why do those numbers of 38 to 42 0. million as the value of the individual lots 2 3 aggregated have no validity? Because that's with it all subdivided and 4 Α. 5 a condo creation. 6 Q. Right. 7 That isn't what's being sold. Α. 8 Q. Now, if the sale goes through at a price 9 of, stated price of \$29 million before one accounts 10 for any rebates and so forth, the tenants will 11 receive at the end of the day subdivided condominium 12 type units, right? 13 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 14 Yes, I believe so. Α. 15 So at the end of the sale process what 0.
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tenants own?

A. Well, it should be 29 million minus whatever the other things that are taken away, debt, costs of surveying, things like that.

will be the aggregate value of all the lots that the

Q. Well, once the transaction takes place a tenant would be able to sell his condominium unit, both the interest in the land and the interest in

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr.			
	112		
1	the cottage at fair market value, right?		
2	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.		
3	A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and		
4	down.)		
5	Q. Do you have an understanding of what those		
6	fair market values will look like immediately after		
7	the sale?		
8	A. No, I don't.		
9	Q. If you add up all the fair market values		
10	of the condominium units immediately after the sale		
11	will they be closer to 40 million or 29 million?		
12	A. I don't know.		
13	Q. Do you think that's a relevant question to		
14	ask?		
15	A. Relevant for you, yes.		
16	Q. Not relevant for you?		
17	A. Well, I don't know what the market will		
18	determine.		
19	Q. If in fact the value of all the		
20	condominium units when you add them up after the		
21	sale is in the range of 40 million, do you still		
22	think that a sale at the stated price of 29 million		
23	is a fair deal for the Feoffees?		
24	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.		

- A. Yes, yes, I do.
- Q. Why is that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

A. Well, we have a situation where 80% of the tenants down there basically refuse to pay the rent that we set out, sued us and -- Let's see, I think I'm getting off track.

Actually, I'm not sure how to rationalize it. I'm not sure I want to sit here and think about it and try and give it to you. I may try and answer it later as I think about it while you're talking.

- Q. All right. You mention that the tenants had sued you, sued the Feoffees?
- A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and down.)
- 16 Q. Yes?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it your understanding that any of the tenants were seeking to go after you, after your personal assets?
- A. The legal documents said that. I don't really believe that's the case.
- Q. Did the Feoffees ever have any insurance to protect them against claims made against the

21

22

23

24

- - Α. No.
- 0. Were you concerned about potential personal liability from the tenants' lawsuit?
 - Α. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- Well, the relationship between the Feoffees and the tenants was, you might say, a kind of benign relationship over the long period. It was only at this end that the sewer and the substantial increase in rent that, you know, tension was created, and not only tension with us, but tension amongst the people down there. So the quiet place that existed that you'd never worry about has changed. It has.
 - 0. Right. So --
 - Α. As I said, the demographics have changed.
- So I guess what I'm asking is why wouldn't Q. you have been concerned, then, with the demographics changing and having been sued in this lawsuit, with possible potential personal liability?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

Α. I don't think we expected to be sued. obviously, when we were sued, any insurance wouldn't

- cover the suit anyway.
- Q. So let me clarify the question I'm asking you. Once you were sued --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Not having any insurance --
- A. Yes.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

22

23

- Q. Was that a concern to you, that you might be personally liable?
- A. I'm trying to figure out how to answer.

 The answer is no, on some other suit in the future,

 although it has crossed our mind.
 - Q. I really meant on the --
- 13 A. Existing?
- Q. On the existing lawsuit after it was filed against you, did that concern you that tenants were making claims that you understood to be against you, personally?
- A. Well, yes, sure.
 - Q. Okay. And why were you concerned?
- A. The possibility was that we could be individually liable.
 - Q. Is part of the settlement with the tenants, if approved by the court, that you deal with that personal liability by getting any claims

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr. 116 1 released? 2 Α. I believe so, yes. 3 0. Is that part of the reason why you entered into the settlement? 4 5 Α. No. 6 Q. You mentioned in your testimony that 7 you're not aware of any period of time since the nineties when the tenants have paid an amount that 8 9 you believe to be a fair market rent, do you recall testifying to that? 10 11 A. Yes. 12 If the settlement agreement is approved will the Feoffees end up collecting fair market 13 14 rents for the period from 2007 through the date of 15 sale? Not in addition to the 29 million. 16 Α. 17 million, I believe, includes that. 18 Right. So if we're referring to it as the Q. \$29 million purchase price, then there's a few 19 20 million dollars in rents that will not have been 21 collected at what you think is fair market value, 22 right?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

23

24

Q. Or you could call it \$26,000,000 purchase

1 price and say you're collecting the rents, is that 2 basically right? 3 I look at it that it really is a 4 \$26,000,000 sale that was agreed upon twice by the 5 school committee and that the differential 6 accommodates back rent and things like that. 7 Q. Back rent and the rebates to the lessees? 8 Α. Yeah, yes. 9 Things along that -- and things like that? 0. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Will you agree with me that since there's 12 been no history of collecting fair market rents from 13 the tenants at Little Neck, we have no history showing what contributions can be made to the 14 15 schools based on the collection of fair market 16 rents? 17 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 18

- Would you please repeat that? A.
- You have testified that there's no history 0. of having collected fair market rents by the Feoffees that you know of, correct?
 - Α. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

By the same token, therefore, there's no Q. history of contributions to the schools based on the

collection of fair market rents, right?

- A. Well, yes.
- Q. Which of the lots at Little Neck do you think are the most valuable ones?
- A. My opinion is the one, are the ones out on the bluff that look out onto Ipswich Bay.
 - Q. Are those on River Street, do you know?
- A. Some are on Cliff Road and some are on, I believe that piece of road is River Road.
- Q. River Road. And will you agree that the value of those individual lots on River Road and Cliff Road are substantially higher than \$200,000 each?

- A. My estimate is that they would be.
- Q. They may be worth on a stand alone basis 400,000 or 500,000 or more?
- A. I've seen people pay that kind of money for small pieces of land, but they seem to have no regard for what they're buying -- I mean, what they're paying. I mean, if you got tens of millions of dollars in the bank and you decide you want that piece of land, then you got to pay whatever it is.
 - Q. And fair market value is whatever somebody

is willing to pay to own the property in question, right?

A. That's right.

Q. So in the case of the lots on River Road and Cliff Road, would you agree that the fair market value of those lots is very substantially higher than the amount to be paid for them under the settlement agreement?

- A. I'm just thinking about the settlement agreement itself. Well, you're taking pieces out of the aggregate settlement agreement. Do I think those are worth more as separated like that where they'd be able to be sold? Yes.
- Q. Well, once the transaction, if the transaction were approved, the owners of those lots could turn around and sell them the next day, right?

 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- Q. Well, if the transaction were approved and the condominium were formed and the sales under the settlement agreement to the tenants take place, right?
- A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and down.)

Q. As soon as those sales take place, the unit owners would be able to turn around and sell the lots the next day, right?

- A. I believe so.
- Q. And in the case of those who are buying at River Road and Cliff Road, they could immediately derive substantial profits?
 - A. That's possible, yes.
- Q. Well, I want to ask you about this issue of whether we should be looking at the value of the property in the aggregate versus the value of individual lots. The transaction under the settlement agreement calls for a conversion to condominiums and then virtually all of the cottage owners have agreed to buy individual lots, right, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. There's not going to be any sell-off over a period of years, right?
 - A. No.
- Q. In fact, instead, what will happen is that after the condominium is formed, shortly thereafter virtually all of the lots will be owned by current

cottage owners, right?

- A. I believe so, yeah.
- Q. So why wouldn't it be appropriate in looking at the price to be paid to evaluate what each individual lot owner will get in terms of his own lot and adding those up together for the sale price?
- A. Well, that isn't how it's being sold.

 It's being sold in its entirety, willing buyer, willing seller.
- Q. So you're pricing the entire property on what some hypothetical third party would pay for the right to come in, buy the entire property and over a period of years sell it off to the tenants, right?
 - A. I think that's correct, yeah.
- Q. And why is that a better way to value it when the tenants are all going to end up with 167 individual units the day after the sale?
- A. Well, the valuation was, you know, based on -- the valuation that we have is based on the appraisals that were done and the methodologies used, all of which I can't give you, most of which are very close.
 - Q. You tell me you had become chair around

the time the wastewater system problems were accelerating, right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that was in the 2000's?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Could you explain what your role was with regard to the wastewater system project, addressing those problems?
- A. Well, the role will certainly widely vary from meeting with the DEP and/or their representatives in the field, to reviewing the development of the construction drawings and how this could be done, especially with regard to the other underground utilities that were there, and finally, the bidding process and then the construction, which I spent a great deal of time over there.
- Q. So the beginning of the process was that there were meetings with DEP?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you give me a rough time frame of when these meetings were occurring?
- A. The first of the meetings began with Don Whiston, so I can't tell you that. I can't tell you

- the beginning of when DEP stepped in. I only know that it got to a point where they said fix it or close it.
 - Q. Okay. And am I correct that the underlying problem was that people were disposing of their waste to, essentially, cesspools?
 - A. Probably. Well, certainly not Title V compliant systems.
 - Q. Right. And people didn't have even septic tanks, right? They simply had, they discharged into the ground, weren't they?
- A. Could be. I don't know. I can't really
 answer that. It's probably very widely varied what
 they were using.
 - Q. Regardless what they were using, the conclusion by DEP was that there was waste from Little Neck ending up in rivers and so forth, right?
- 18 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

- 19 Q. And they wanted that to stop?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And they said this can't go on, it must stop?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So then there were meetings with DEP about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

how to resolve the issue?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Some of which Don Whiston attended and later in the process you were involved in?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. At some point there was a first agreement with DEP for the installation of tight tanks by the tenants, is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were you part of that agreement?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Is that Don Whiston?
 - A. I'd say yes.
- Q. And the tenants have complained that that was all done without their involvement. Do you know if that's true or not?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Have you heard that complaint?
 - A. No, I haven't heard it as a complaint, no.
- Q. Okay. When the agreement was made that tight tanks would have to be installed, whose obligation was it going to be to install the tight tanks? Was that going to the to be the cottage owners' obligation?

- A. That would basically be the cottage owner.
- Q. Everybody understood that, as far as you know?
 - A. As far as I know, yes.
- Q. Did you receive feedback from the tenants, the cottage owners that they did not like this tight tank solution?
- 8 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

- Q. And who communicated that feedback to you?
- A. There were several people. Some were communicated -- I can't recite who they were. Some was recited to me and some to the other Feoffees, and discussion ensued with the engineer with regard to that.
- Q. Was there an engineer who you were using at that time?
 - A. I believe P.L. Lombardo was involved at that time, yes.
- Q. How did that engineer get selected,
 Lombardo?
- A. He got selected by recommendations for his qualifications to work out septic systems in unusual situations.
- 24 0. And who made the recommendation?

- A. I don't remember.
 - Q. Was Don Greenough involved in that recommendation?
 - A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. What were the complaints that you heard voiced by, directly or indirectly, by tenants concerning the proposed tight tank solution?
 - A. The concern was a public safety concern.

 It was in regard to the, basically, the random need to pump the tanks and in an environment which typically had a large number of children, that that would be a safety issue.
 - Q. So you'd have safety issues with the pumping, right, that was a tenant concern?
 - A. Yes, in the context of pumping it out of the tank, yes.
 - Q. Were there issues about the smelliness of the pumping?
 - A. That wasn't brought up, that I remember.
 - Q. And just the idea of having these septic tanks going up and down the streets periodically?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What were your reaction to these complaints? Did you think it was reasonable to try

- to find another solution?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Was there an understanding with the tenants as to whether the alternative solution might cost more money?
 - A. I don't know. I don't remember.
 - Q. All right. So after -- What happened after this agreement was reached with DEP for the installation of tight tanks and the tenants gave feedback saying they didn't want to do it?
 - A. We went back to the DEP with the idea of a collection system.
- Q. Was there also some attempt in between to try to do a sewer hook-up?
 - A. Yes. You mean to the municipal sewer?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And what was the outcome of that?
- 19 A. That was very negative by the town.
- Q. Because it would have involved town cost?
- A. No. Because it would have passed in front of some people who just didn't want it to go there and were very, very vocal.
- 24 Q. Which part of town would it have passed

by, Great Neck?

- A. Passed by Great Neck, out of Eagle Hill, Island Park.
- Q. Was the issue having the sewer pass by those places or was it having trenches dug and sewer installed?
- A. I'm not sure, because the objections weren't valid. It was a pressure main. You can't tie into a pressure main. Nobody was trying to assess an abutter for a pressure main going by. But people seemed to think that if it's called sewer going by, I'm going to have to tie into it. You can't.
- Q. So it was passing by places that didn't themselves have town sewer?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And people there were under the impression that if the sewer were going by their houses, they would be forced in the future to hook up into it?
- A. There was a lot of people that had that opinion. It felt like the general opinion kind of category.
- Q. Who was going to pay for this sewer line if it was going to be put in?

- A. That would have been the Feoffees.
- Q. And what would the cost of the sewer solution have been compared to some of these other solutions?
 - A. I don't remember.

- Q. Do you know if the tenants had to pay for the tight tanks under that solution, but the Feoffees were going to pay for a sewer solution, why would they do that?
- A. We expected to get the money out of the tenants either by assessment or if assessment became something that wasn't legally acceptable, by charging the appropriate rent.
- Q. Did you have, did you investigate whether assessment was a legal way to do it?
 - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Did you assess whether, did you determine whether an assessment was a lawful way to recover for the cost of the wastewater system?
- A. We determined that it may not be and we didn't need to have a not be.
- Q. When did you learn that you might not be able to recover the cost of the wastewater system through an assessment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Sometime during the construction period.
- Q. So no effort was made to make a determination as to how the cost would be recovered before you started construction?
 - A. We intended to do it by betterment or --
- Q. Okay. When did construction start? Was it 2004? Do you know the date?
- A. I don't. I would have said 2003, but it may be 2004.
 - Q. It may be 2003, as well.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. So before construction started in or around 2003, at the time construction started in or around 2003 your expectation was that there would be an assessment to tenants in the form of betterment?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what was your basis for that assumption? Was that something that was discussed among the Feoffees?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was it discussed with any professionals?
- A. Our attorney at the time.
 - Q. That was?
 - A. Don Greenough.

- Q. And after you had discussed it internally and consulted with Mr. Greenough, your plan was to recapture the sum through betterment?
 - A. Originally, yes.
- Q. And the betterment would be that you would simply charge the tenants pro rata their share of whatever was spent?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And they would either pay it all in a lump sum or perhaps over time?
- 11 A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. When did the idea of recapturing these payments to a betterment get changed? Sometime during construction?
- 15 A. Sometime during construction, yes.
- Q. Before the lawsuit?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And what brought about -- When did -- Was
 that because sometime during the construction you
 learned that you might not be able to charge the
 tenants through a betterment?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you learn that -- Did you again
 have advice of counsel in arriving at that

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr. 132 conclusion? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And what counsel did you have advice from? Q. Α. I believe it was Don Greenough. 4 5 Q. And Mr. Greenough had done some further 6 work on the issue? 7 Α. Bill may have been just getting involved 8 I don't remember. at that point. 9 Q. Bill Sheehan, you mean? 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. Had the tenants communicated at some time between the decision to try to do it by way of a 12 betterment and the determination that you couldn't 13 do that, had the tenants communicated on this issue? 14 15 Α. No. 16 Q. So once you thought that you might have a 17 problem recapturing the money through betterment, 18 the plan was to try to recapture it through rent? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. But that was not necessarily as good a 21 solution, true? 22

Α. Say that again, please?

23

24

Q. Capturing it through rent was not necessarily as good a solution as capturing it

- through betterment, right?
- 2 A. That's true.
- Q. Because to capture it through rent you had to have a rent that was within fair market, perhaps?
 - A. Yes.

5

- Q. Or perceived that way?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Whereas the history of the improvement was that the tenants were going to have to put in tight tanks at their own expense unless you did something for them, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you had reached agreement with the tenants ahead of time that they would pay for it, you would have been in a better position?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And that was a mistake that was made in retrospect, true?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. The tenants complain that the cost of the wastewater system kept going up?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And I've looked at some reports from
 Lombardo and it looks like the project costs did

keep going up, right? Is that fair to say that they did go up repeatedly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Could you explain what happened?
- A. We investigated different ways to dispose of the sewerage. One was to pump it along the road, the route we just talked about to the sewerage treatment plant. The second one was the consideration of tunnelling under the river and going to a different point where we would go into the gravity system at the end of Newmarch Street.

Now, I have to admit, I'm not current on directional drilling technology. I am very knowledgeable about the soils down in the area and I couldn't imagine having a problem with drilling something a distance that it would go, if you got in trouble under the river, we've got to incur involving engineers and everybody all over us. I felt very uncomfortable. That investigation I wanted stopped. It cost money to investigate it, okay? Every investigation costs money.

And the tenants wanted to have the sewer pick-up out at the gate and not near the ball field. And we investigated that, which required

test boring, the DEP, the conservation commission getting involved and all that design effort costs money. Tried several other things in terms of picking up the sewerage with turn-arounds up near the ball field. None of it, in my opinion, made sense.

agreement on, I guess from the DEP, is that if we pick it up at the ball field with appropriate precautions, that the vehicles should have two people in them, one a driver and one to watch out for pedestrians and that's the way it's been ever since. And in hindsight -- Well, DEP was obviously concerned about public safety.

- Q. Yeah.
- A. As a state board.
- 17 | Q. So --

- A. So I think if you took the tight tanks to a, to its fruition, I think that you're looking at a public safety issue. We felt it was. The tenants felt it was. I think an administrative organization would feel the same way. It got designed that way.
- Q. Okay. So I think what you're saying is, one, given as much attention is being paid to how

these delivery trucks were going to get the sewerage out of Little Neck, certainly you even in hindsight think that having a bunch of septic trucks there at Little Neck would have been a problem?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. But what I was asking you was about how the costs escalated for this project, and I just want to go back over some of what you told me and then ask some follow-up.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Okay. You said that a major issue for this project was if you had some kind of a -- Strike that.

What got built was some kind of centralized holding tank, right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so how large is that holding tank?
- A. There's four holding tanks total of, I think, 130,000 gallons.
- Q. And the technology is that you hooked up individual cottages so that their sewage would flow into these holding tanks?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then as the tanks come full, somebody

- 1 comes in and --
- A. Yes.

5

8

12

13

14

19

20

21

- Q. What, pumps out these tanks?
- A. Pumps them out, right.
 - Q. To a truck that holds sewage?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. And drive them all out.
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Wait for a question.
- 9 Q. Do all four tanks get emptied at once or 10 one at a time?
- 11 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. So a major issue with the design of this centralized holding tank is one there was a big issue about where the holding tanks would go, right?
- A. There was an issue, but -- There was an issue.
- Q. You felt the best solution was under the ball field?
 - A. I think it was the only solution.
 - Q. But when the Feoffees were proposing that the tanks go under the ball field, there was opposition from some people, true?
- A. I believe so, but I don't recall anything serious.

1	3	8

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

- Q. And so as a result, you had to go and investigate whether you could have the holding tanks out at the entry gate, you said?
- A. No. I said that the sewer pick-up, a line coming from the tanks going out to the gate, they could pick the sewerage up at the gate rather than out by the ball field.
- Q. So the holding tanks would be there anyway?
 - A. They'd be there anyway.
- Q. But you could have a pipe that would take the sewerage?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Somewhere else for the pick-up?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Ultimately, that wasn't done?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Ultimately, the pick-ups were at the ball field?
- A. That's right.
 - Q. Okay. But it costs money to investigate the installation of the pipe elsewhere?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. But there was also consideration given to

- not having the sewerage picked up at Little Neck at all, but tunnelling it somewhere?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

23

- Q. Either by putting it on lines that might run where the sewerage lines would have gone?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Or by having it go under the river?
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. And it costs money to investigate those?
- 10 A. Yes.
 - Q. And there was also some controversy about how the sewerage could be picked up at Little Neck at the ball field without causing public safety problems?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. Those, the items you have just mentioned are not the reason why this project went from an initial budget of a few million to \$7 million, are they?
- A. Well, it was, I don't think it was ever just a few million dollars. I think that's a misstatement. It was more than that.
 - Q. What was the original budget for this project?

	140
1	A. I don't recall what it was, to be honest
2	with you.
3	Q. It was lower than it ended up being?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Do you know how much lower?
6	A. No.
7	Q. What were the reasons why the original
8	costs went up so much?
9	A. I believe the increase in costs were more
10	due to engineering than construction.
11	Q. Meaning figuring out the design?
12	A. Yes. There were several design iterations
13	to the end of the project.
14	Q. Well, was Lombardo required to submit any
15	kind of a bid for the work?
16	A. No, not at that point.
17	Q. Was there any competition, was there any
18	competitive bidding process by which Lombardo got
19	the work?
20	A. Not that I remember.
21	Q. Did you ever see the contract with
22	Lombardo? Was there a contract?
23	A. Yes. I don't remember looking at it in

detail.

- Q. Who among the Feoffees took charge of negotiating the arrangement with Lombardo or is was it --
 - A. It started with Don Whiston.
- Q. Was a contract -- Who executed the contract between the Feoffees and Lombardo?
- A. The original contract was probably executed by Don Whiston. Some of the subsequent contracts were authorized by me where we investigated different things.
 - O. Yeah.

- A. And that's when I became concerned that we were just spending money that shouldn't be spent.
- Q. When you had these contractual arrangements with Lombardo were you getting the work done on a fixed fee basis or was it some kind of cost plus basis or some other arrangement?
- A. No. It was really, I'd have to say it was more like cost plus, because he admitted in order to meet the requirements of the DEP, the timing of the DEP, that he would have to subcontract out some of the design work.
- Q. You didn't have a fixed price from Lombardo for the work?

	142
1	A. I don't believe so.
2	Q. And in addition, he was subcontracting out
3	some of the design work?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Do you know who he subcontracted the
6	design work to?
7	A. I do not.
8	Q. Was there a competitive bidding process
9	for those subcontracts?
10	A. I don't know.
11	Q. Do you know how much of the overall
12	payments that were made for the wastewater system
13	were for engineering and how much were for
14	construction?
15	A. I don't know. I never looked at the
16	total, total numbers. I never broke the numbers
17	down.
18	Q. Eventually a design was agreed upon,
19	right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And approved by DEP?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And was it at that point that the
24	construction process started?

- A. At that point we had to put it out to bid.
- Q. Okay. So there was a bidding process for the construction work?
 - A. Yes, there was.
 - O. Who coordinated that?
- A. He did.
 - Q. Lombardo?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

- 9 Q. And did anybody from the Feoffees oversee 10 that bidding process?
- 11 A. Oversaw the replies to it.
- Q. Who was selected as a result of the bidding process?
- A. Strangely, I can't remember their name.
- 15 Q. Was it one firm, a contracting firm?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. What kind of firm would that be that does this kind of work?
- A. The expert excavating contractor who was highly experienced in sewer work from the Boston area.
- Q. Was that company that got the contract the
- 23 lowest bidder?
- 24 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you know was that a fixed price contract?
- A. I believe most of it was fixed. There may have been materials in there that were, say, brought in per cubic yard. I mean, that's typically the way contracts like that go.
- Q. Did the construction contract end up costing a lot more than was expected when the bid came in?
- A. I didn't think so. I didn't go through the whole review process.
 - Q. Did anybody?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Who among the Feoffees is most knowledgeable about the costs of the wastewater project?
- A. Well, the one who was chairman at the end was Jim Foley. Jim was very analytical. He may very well have some of that information.
- Q. Have you heard the tenants make allegations that the wastewater project was mismanaged?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Are you aware of any allegations that the

- wastewater project cost more than it should have?
- 2 A. Yes.

5

6

- Q. And that's an allegation the tenants make in their lawsuit, right?
 - A. Uh-hum, yes.
 - Q. And don't they claim that it was mismanaged?
- A. I didn't see that in there, but it may say that.
- Q. Do you believe there's any merit in that allegation?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. When you mentioned certain costs that you feel were incurred that should not have been because it was just wasting money, who was forcing those alternatives to be addressed?
- 17 A. The tenants down there.
- 18 Q. When you were first -- strike that.
- Move to a different subject. During
 the time you were a Feoffee was there discussion
 from time to time about the possibility of one day
 selling Little Neck to the tenants?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And what's the first you recall of any

such discussion?

- A. It's probably at least fifteen years ago, fifteen to twenty years ago.
- Q. Who brought up the idea, to your recollection?
- A. The tenants brought up the idea -- No. I was just trying to think of one key person who was wealthy and had, in that sense had something behind him if you're speaking about money, that he knew what he was talking about. The problem was that they didn't, they never came up with the kind of money that we would have even considered.
- Q. Now, at the time that the tenants were talking about a sale of Little Neck was there anything standing in the way of continuing to rent it?
 - A. No.
- Q. Were there any Feoffees who wanted to pursue the idea of selling Little Neck even though nothing stood in the way of continuing to rent it?
- A. It was widely discussed amongst the life Feoffees. There were different thoughts, some very strong in terms of opposition, some were positive about it and felt it was the proper thing to do. I

- personally had mixed feelings.
 - Q. All right. Well, there were four life Feoffees during the 1990's, right?
 - A. Uh-hum, yes.
 - Q. And those are the same four life Feoffees that are currently serving?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So would you tell me where each of the four life Feoffees stood in the earlier days in the 1990's on this subject of a possible sale of Little Neck?
 - A. I can give you my best estimate.
- 13 Q. Yes.

- A. The one who was most positive to sell it was Don Whiston. The one that was most opposed was, I believe, Peter Foote. I honestly can't remember how Jim Foley felt, although I don't think he was particularly in favor of it. And in general, I was not in favor of it, but I was willing to look at the various ramifications of doing something like that and given that it didn't seem to have any serious merit in the beginning.
- Q. And what was Don Whiston's reasoning for strongly favoring a sale at a time when it was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

feasible to continue renting?

- A. Don't remember.
- Q. Was there ever any discussion among the Feoffees after you joined in 1978 and before the mid 2000 range when the wastewater system was built about entering into long-term leases with the tenants?
- A. There was discussion. I can't give you much detail.
 - Q. Was there any --
- A. And that was because the tenants would like to have had that.
- Q. And was there a reason why the Feoffees didn't want to have that?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. At the time the wastewater system was built was there, was it necessary to borrow money for the trust because of the expense?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How much was borrowed?
- A. What I recall originally it was about \$5.3 million.
 - Q. Was that the final figure or did it go up?
 - A. I believe it went up a little from that,

- but I don't recall what the final figure was.
- Q. Did you even, at some point, lend money to the Feoffees?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. How much did you lend?
 - A. \$1 million.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- Q. And you had a million dollars available to you that you could --
 - A. I had assets that I could mortgage.
- Q. So you mortgaged some assets for \$1 million, provided that money to the Feoffees and then required that the Feoffees repay you the?
- A. When they, actually, when they got their loan. See, they didn't have their loan. The contractor wasn't getting paid. The work would have stopped and DEP would have been very unhappy.
- Q. It was somewhat of a bridge loan, then?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. And when you got paid back did you get made whole for any interest you had incurred?
- A. No. Just passed through, basically. No, I got nothing out of it.
- Q. Right. In other words, you were made whole?

- A. Yeah, I was made whole.
 - Q. So whatever interest you paid you got paid back by the Feoffees?
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. But you didn't make any profit?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. When the Feoffees were trying to get bank financing did they learn that it would be easier and cheaper to do so if it had, if there were leases in effect with the tenants that could be pledged?
 - A. I don't remember that playing into it. It probably would be true, but I don't remember having any of that discussion.
 - Q. And it could be true today, as well, that if you had sufficient leases, long-term leases with tenants, that's something that could be pledged to a bank to obtain financing at perhaps lower cost than you would otherwise pay?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. Have there been any attempts to determine what financing might be available to the Feoffees if there's no sale to replace the current debt that's at a fairly high rate of interest?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And tell me what has been done in that regard?
- Well, the only bank that had interest was Α. the Cambridge Savings Bank and we worked with them. They had favorable interest rate. The problem became that they wanted an agreement from all the tenants that they would basically pledge their rental income to the bank the same way it was done for the Institution for Savings. That wasn't done on an individual basis. We pledged it. We pledged the income. Cambridge Savings Bank did not want it done that way. They really wanted a deed. That would be their first request, needless to say. we asked if they would do it similarly to the bank loan we had. They considered it and I believe their attorneys said we need a little bit more than what Institution for Savings has. It was one of those things that would be impossible to get.
- Q. And the tenants wouldn't, at that stage the tenants weren't going to give you what was needed by the bank?
- A. I think getting 167 people to agree to all of that would probably be a monumental task.

	152
1	
2	pre
3	
4	
5	pay
6	
7	
8	the
9	wer
10	
11	
12	for
13	
14	
15	abo

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, how many leases do you have at present?
 - A. Around thirty.
- Q. And those thirty leases are all at payments of at least 9,700 per year?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you make any efforts to see whether the bank would accept pledges of those amounts that were being paid under the lease as a security?
 - A. I don't know.

MR. PERRY: I need to take a break for a few minutes.

(Brief recess 2:32 - 2:45 p.m.)

- Q. I want to talk to you for a few moments about the litigation with the tenants that occurred. We've discussed it briefly. Am I correct that in 2006, after the Feoffees had sent out notices to the tenants that they were, that tenancies were being terminated, that the tenants filed suit?
 - A. I think that's correct, yes.
- Q. And just to back up a little bit, am I correct that the Feoffees developed a lease that they wanted all the tenants to sign?
 - A. Yes.

1 Q. They sent out to the tenants a notice of 2 termination of the at-will tenancies, right? 3 Α. Yes. Along with the lease that they wanted 4 Q. 5 tenants to consider, right? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And in response, many tenants not only Q. declined to sign these leases, but didn't they also 8 9 stop paying taxes? 10 There was problem collecting money. don't remember whether it was taxes or rent. 11 There 12 were a few deadbeats anyway. 13 0. So when tenants stop paying either rent or 14 taxes, as the case may be, the Feoffees went further 15 and sent notices to quit to those tenants, correct? 16 I believe so, if we're thinking of the Α. 17 same thing. 18 And after that happened, the tenants Q. 19 filed, two of the tenants filed a lawsuit against the Feoffees, correct? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Which they claimed was a class action? 23 Α. I don't think it ever got qualified as a 24 class action.

5

Q. And that was never brought before the court, because the case got resolved without that

- Q. Right. It was just brought by the two tenants as purported representatives of a class?
 - A. I think you're correct.
- Q. And you became educated a little bit on class actions, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you were aware that there were serious issues as to whether this case could properly be a class action, because there was not commonality among all the tenants with regard to their claims, true?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Because tenants were claiming in the purported class action there were allegations about representations that had been made by the Feoffees concerning rent, right?
- A. I don't remember reading through the document.
- Q. But you knew there was a serious issue as to whether this even was a valid case to become a class action, right?
 - A. Yes.

- issue being addressed?
- A. Yes.

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

- Q. Now, in this lawsuit you have described earlier in your testimony that certain claims were being made against you and the other life Feoffees that you understood to be directed personally against you, potentially?
 - A. I think I misspoke on that, that it was only against the Feoffees and not against individuals.
- Q. But your understanding was that you could have exposure, correct?
 - A. I thought at the time I did.
 - Q. Yes, okay. I mean, an argument can be made that the way the lawsuit was crafted that they'd only named you in your capacity as a Feoffee, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. But you weren't sure that that protected you at the time, were you?
- A. No, but I believe that it's just suing us
 as Feoffees and not as individuals.
- Q. Were there any claims being asserted against the Feoffees by the tenants that you thought

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr. 156 1 had any merit? 2 Α. No. 3 0. Were there any claims asserted by the 4 tenants against the Feoffees that you were particularly concerned with that you might lose? 5 6 I don't think so, other than to say that the final decision lies with the court and what the 7 court does may not be what we think is common sense. 8 9 Q. Right. Was it your view that if the case 10 was tried or litigated, that the Feoffees were very 11 likely to prevail? 12 Α. I don't know. 13 0. What was your view? 14 My view, I think we were likely to Α. 15 prevail. In that litigation was it the Feoffees' 16 Q. 17 expectation that they could prevail in recovering a fair rent, fair market rent from the tenants 18 19 consistent with the amount being paid by lessees? 20 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. 21 Α. No. 22

Q. Was it your understanding in the litigation that one of the issues would be whether the tenants had to pay a fair amount for use and

23

157 1 occupancy of the premises? Α. 2 Yes. All right. And how did you understand 3 4 that issue would be resolved? 5 By the court. Α. 6 And were you expecting to recover on that Q. 7 claim against the tenants? 8 Α. Once again, we don't know what the judge 9 would have said. I mean, my logic would have said 10 yes, but --11 You thought it was a valid claim for the Q. rent, right? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 And you had the benefit of several 15 appraisals that said that the rent charges were 16 appropriate, right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And had you seen the tenants' own Q. 19 appraisal in that regard? 20 A. No. Were you aware that the tenants' appraisal 21 Q. 22 ultimately characterized the rent being charged to 23 the lessees as fair?

Objection.

MR. SHEEHAN:

A. No.

- Q. Were there any, were the tenants, did they have damage claims that you were able to identify where they could plausibly recover damages?
 - A. Not that I remember, no.
- Q. Okay. But the Feoffees had potential claims against the tenants for these use and occupancy charges, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So you felt that the likelihood was that if the case were litigated, that the Feoffees would be recovering millions of dollars from the tenants and not vice versa?
- A. Yes, except, I don't know -- I think millions of dollars might be a very over-eager estimate.
- Q. Well, the amount that was in the escrow account to make up for the difference between the amounts the tenants were paying and the amounts they would have paid at lease rates came to millions of dollars?
 - A. Came to \$1 million.
 - Q. Just one?
 - A. Roughly, one million.

159 1 Q. How many tenants were there versus lessees? 2 3 Now? Α. 4 Q. Sure. 5 A. Well, there's around thirty lessees and 6 take that away from 167, you get the rest. And were there fewer or more lessees at 7 Q. the time the lawsuit was filed? 8 9 Α. There were fewer. 10 So there's always been at least 137 11 tenants during the period the litigation's been 12 going on? 13 Α. Yeah. 14 Yes, that's the lowest amount? Q. 15 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. 16 I would say that is accurate. Α. 17 0. All right. The lessees were paying 18 something like \$4,500 per year more on rent than the 19 tenants? 20 Α. Yes, approximately. 21 If you multiply \$4,500 a year times 137 22 tenants, you agree that that comes out to 23 approximately 600,000 a year? 24 Α. Yes, I guess, maybe.

- Q. And these amounts are being sought from the tenants for the period beginning July 1, 2007, right, under the arrangements with the tenants?
 - A. I'm not sure what the date is.
- Q. Do you -- I'm not asking these questions to get at your personal finances, but part of -- You have at times had to worry about investing cash yourself, haven't you?
 - A. Yes, over the years.
- Q. And you have at least some assets now that you invest, right?
- A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and down.)
 - Q. Yes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you characterize the current investment climate as difficult?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If the property is sold at Little Neck is it your understanding that this would yield, after pay-out of indebtedness of around \$22 million?
 - A. I believe that's about right.
- Q. And if the Feoffees were to proceed with a sale and obtain net proceeds of \$22 million, then

that would have to be invested in some manner to 1 2 generate income for the beneficiaries, right? 3 That's right. Α. And would you agree with the concept that 4 0. 5 if the \$22 million were invested, it would be 6 absolutely imperative to maintain the corpus? 7 Α. Maintain the -- Say that again, please? 8 Q. The corpus, the principal. 9 Yes. A. 10 0. And in maintaining the corpus or the 11 principal, would you agree that you would need to maintain it for not just a nominal amount of \$22 12 13 million, but you would need to make sure that kept 14 up with inflation? 15 I certainly would like to see that. 16 Q. I mean, you understand that if you had \$22 17 million in principal and you paid out all the income 18 and kept it at \$22 million, that over time that \$22 19 million would become effectively worthless if you waited long enough, right? 20 21 Α. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and 22 down.)

Objection.

In 500 years \$22 million might not buy you

MR. SHEEHAN:

23

24

Q.

162 1 a cup of coffee, right? 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 3 A. Right. 4 Q. So you agree with the concept that any 5 kind of funding policy for the school committee 6 would have to be based on distributing only income that was in excess of cost of living increases, 7 8 right? 9 With, you know, expert guidance, yes. A. 10 Now, there was an affidavit that you gave 0. in connection with the motion for summary judgment 11 12 in this case, do you recall? 13 Α. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and 14 down.) 15 0. That you signed an affidavit? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And it referred to, it had a statement --Q. I don't want to characterize it, but it was -- Well, 18 19 I'll partly quote. It said, "So long as a net rate 20 of return on assets is equal to or greater than 5% 21 of the \$22 million," there would be a return of at least \$1 million per year, all right? 22 23 remember saying something like that?

I can't, but go ahead.

24

Α.

Vaguely.

But in order to, if we're trying to 1 Q. maintain the corpus, then you would have to have a 2 3 rate of return of 5% per year over and above inflation, right? 4 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 6 To distribute \$1 million per year? Q. 7 With your reasoning, yes. Α. 8 And you're not aware today of any Q. 9 investments that can safely generate a return of 5% 10 a year over and above the cost of living, are you? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. You are. What investments are those? 13 A. The endowment fund of Dartmouth returned 14 18% last year. 15 Does that mean that you as a Feoffee could 16 safely get returns of 5% every year over and above 17 inflation? 18 No, can't guarantee that. 19 I'm asking whether there's any investments 0. 20 that you know of that can safely and without risk 21 insure a 5% return over inflation? 22 Not, no, not that I am aware of. 23 Q. Do you know what a TIP is, the Treasury

Inflation Protected bonds?

- A. Yeah -- I'm not really that familiar with them, no.
- Q. Do you understand that they're a form of security where at the end of the period of time, you get back your principal plus inflation?
- A. Actually, no, I wasn't aware of those bonds.
- Q. Do you have any idea of what they're trading at now or what the rate of return is above inflation?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Would it surprise you if it was very substantially below 5%?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- A. No.
- Q. Do you agree with me that one can not get a return of 5% per year each and every year over and above inflation without undertaking a great deal of risk?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- Q. Or you don't know?
- A. No, you know, I don't know. I'm -- I don't have expertise in that area.

- Q. All right. You don't wish to be understood as an expert on what kind of return one could get --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- from 22 million if one were invested?
- 6 A. No, no.
 - Q. Correct?
- 8 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Have you yourself done any kind of analysis as to what would be better for the beneficiary financially in terms of a sale versus a rental scenario?
 - A. No, not a detailed financial. The, some of the issues have been different than financial. The risk of tenant landlord relationship.
 - Q. Right. Are you familiar with the costs that the Feoffees would be expected to incur if the property were to be continued to be rented on a long-term basis or is there another Feoffee who's better able to testify to that?
- A. It's probably somebody else who would be better able to testify to that.
- Q. Before --
- 24 A. The -- Well, I'm sorry. I'll let you go.

- Q. Let me ask you a question.
- A. I'll let you go. I'm sorry.
- Q. Before there was the litigation issue with the tenants how much did the Feoffees spend annually on legal fees?
- A. I honestly don't remember, because in earlier years I think it was very minimal.
- Q. And what would you budget now for legal fees if you didn't have litigation with the tenants going on, if that case were resolved?
 - A. I honestly don't know.
- Q. You would not expect it to be particularly high, would you?
- A. I would not expect it to be, unless some type of litigation came up.
- Q. Do you know what the expected life of the wastewater system is?
 - A. No, I don't.
- Q. The system consists principally of piping and holding tanks?
- A. Yes, pumping stations, two pumping stations.
- Q. And most of the expense associated with the installation of this system you have described

- Q. Which parts of the system, to your understanding, have a shorter life expectancy than, say, the PVC pipes?
- A. There are four pumps in the system, two in each pump well and it's probably indeterminate what their life expectancy is. I would expect at least twenty years, probably longer if they're properly maintained, which we attempt to do.
- Q. So the pumps are something that you would expect to have to replace from time to time over a lengthy period of years?
 - A. Yes, over a significant period of years.
 - Q. The holding tanks are made of what?
 - A. I believe fiberglass.
 - Q. And those are -- Are those underground?
 - A. Those are underground.
- Q. So they're intended to last how long, indefinitely?

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- A. Yeah. A long period of time. I have never heard a life expectancy on those.
- Q. But you didn't put these fiberglass tanks in the ground to hold sewerage with the expectation that you would have to replace them in fifty years,

- 1 would you?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. So nothing lasts forever, but these are expected to last a very long time, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. So is there any part of this system that
 you're expecting to have to replace during your
 lifetime other than the pumps?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And where are the pumps located?
- A. One is on River Road in that parking lot down there that's near the beach.
- Q. Near the Pavilion Beach?
- 14 A. No, on the other side of the hill.
- 15 O. Near the private beach?
- A. Yeah, yes.
- 17 Q. That's the one on River Road, you mean?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 0. So that's one.
- A. That's one. The other one is just as you come through the gate, it would be just up that hill a few yards and on the left.
- Q. Okay. There's two locations for the pumps?

	170
1	A. There's two locations, everything else is
2	gravity.
3	Q. And how many pumps are there, four?
4	A. There's four.
5	Q. Two at each place?
6	A. Yeah.
7	Q. Are they below ground?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. How does one access them to repair or
10	replace them?
11	A. Manholes, large manholes.
12	Q. How expensive were the pumps?
13	A. I don't know those particular pumps. I
14	would hazard a safe guess at probably a couple
15	thousand.
16	MR. SHEEHAN: If you don't know,
17	don't guess, don't guess.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	MR. SHEEHAN: You can give an
20	estimate if you have an estimate if you have a basis
21	for it.
22	Q. Give me a ballpark.
23	MR. SHEEHAN: Wait a minute, wait a
24	minute.

1 Let me ask a question so he can object. 0. Don't answer until I'm done. Are you able to give 2 3 me just a rough ballpark estimate of what the cost of these pumps is? 4 5 Α. A couple of thousand dollars, \$2,000. And it could be more, but we're not 6 0. 7 talking about \$50,000? 8 Α. No. 9 So the expense associated with repairing Q. 10 the pumps is not a particularly large one? 11 No. Α. 12 So is there any need, in your view, to ο. reserve money for the repair or replacement of the 13 14 wastewater system? 15 Α. No. 16 Q. Now, what other -- I'm trying to get a 17 sense of the other ongoing expenses that you anticipate incurring other than the indebtedness if 18 19 the property is not sold. So we have, there could 20 be some professional fees, legal and accounting, 21 right? 22 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and 23 down.) 24 MR. SHEEHAN: You have to answer yes

	Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr.
	172
1	for her.
2	A. I'm sorry, yes.
3	Q. There's whatever the cost might get paid
4	for managing the property?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. The Feoffees were paying taxes on certain
7	real estate that the Feoffees owned, common areas?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Hadn't been in the practice of passing
10	that along to the tenants, correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. There's a line item on the document I'm
13	looking at for utilities. Do you know what utility
14	expenses the Feoffees incurred that's not reimbursed
15	by tenants?
16	A. It would be mostly electrical. Should be
17	all electrical for the wastewater system, the
18	office, community center, which would be minimal.
19	Q. So the charge of electricity for the
20	wastewater system isn't included, that's not being
21	passed along to the tenants as part of their
22	A. Part of the rent.
23	Q. Part of the rent, it's not part of the
24	water usage charges?

1 A. I, I --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

- 2 Q. You don't know?
- A. I really don't know. I think there's

 another answer to that, but rather than speculate, I

 don't know.
 - Q. Peter Foote would be a good person on that, right?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
 - Q. To understand the revenues from the wastewater system versus expenses and having that line up?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I mean, in principle, it would make sense to have the wastewater fee cover all of the direct expenses associated with the wastewater system, wouldn't it?
 - A. As you have been talking, I think it does, but Peter is the one to ask that question of.
 - Q. Okay. What about repairs and maintenance?
 What repairs and maintenance are the Feoffees
 responsible for apart from erosion -- we'll get to
 that -- under a rental scenario?
- A. Well, first you have the wastewater system.

	174
1	Q. Right. Well, that's covered hopefully by
2	the wastewater fees, right?
3	A. Yeah, okay, but Yes, yes, that's the
4	right answer.
5	Q. You have roads?
6	A. You have roads.
7	Q. What do the Feoffees do for the roads?
8	A. Lately we haven't had to do much.
9	Q. What do you do in terms of plowing, given
LO	that there's only twelve or whatever the number is
L1	year round residents?
L2	A. It's all plowed for public safety, I mean,
L3	for fire.
L4	Q. So the Feoffees provide the plowing
L5	through a contractor?
L6	A. I think the town actually does that.
L 7	Q. The town does it?
18	A. Yes.
.9	Q. So there's no plowing expense associated
20	with the rental of Little Neck?
21	A. No, not that I'm aware of.
2	Q. The town does it for public safety
23	reasons?

A.

Yes.

1 Q. And but the road, if there were potholes. 2 that would be the responsibility of the Feoffees? 3 Α. Feoffees. 0. When I saw the roads at Little Neck, they looked like they were in unbelievable condition. 5 6 you agree with that? 7 I agree with that. Α. How long have the roads been in that 8 Q. 9 condition? 10 Since we put in the wastewater system. Α. 11 Because you repaved at that time? Q. 12 Α. We repaved. 13 Q. And has there been very little need to 14 maintain the roads after that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Is there some reason why the roads at 17 Little Neck don't develop kind of potholes from ice 18 melting and freezing that other roads get? 19 Lack of traffic would be my best estimate Α. 20 during times when roads do break up, spring. There's exceedingly little traffic at 21 0. 22 Little Neck in the winter, I would imagine, right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 So at some point you'd expect there to be

	176
1	a line item for road maintenance, but at this time
2	it's pretty
3	A. Yes.
4	Q minor, correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And apart from that, there's some kind of
7	landscaping expense that goes
8	A. Yes.
9	Q into Little Neck?
10	A. Uh-hum, yes.
11	Q. Which would probably be under the repairs
12	and maintenance category, right?
13	A. I would assume, yes.
14	Q. What landscaping do the Feoffees take
15	responsibility for other than the ball field?
16	A. Since we own all the land, we take
17	responsibility for trimming trees as needed.
18	Q. Throughout the area?
19	A. Throughout the area. Sometimes, it's
20	often public safety. Things begin to die.
21	Q. Who does the landscaping?
22	A. The mowing of the grass, I believe, is
23	done by a little company called On the Side. And
24	the last tree person, because there have been

- others, has been -- it's another small company from
 Ipswich. I recommended them only because I knew
 that they were very reasonable in their fees.
 - Q. Do you know what you spend on landscaping and mowing in the year?
- A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Who would have that information?
- A. Peter.

5

- 9 Q. Okay. What kind of insurance do the 10 Feoffees pay for? Liability insurance?
- 11 A. I don't know. Is that an item there?
- 12 0. Yeah.
- 13 A. I don't know.
- Q. And there's an item on this list for
 police details. That would be on weekends during
 the summer?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that historically when there's a police detail?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. There's an office expense item. Do you know what that is?
- A. Well, there's general supplies. There's been some computer problems where somebody has had

to come in and straighten out a computer that's tied into the wastewater system, which can be managed from the office.

- Q. How much are the Feoffees paying now for the management of the property through payments to one of the Feoffees, do you know?
- A. It should be somewhere a little in excess of \$40,000.
 - Q. And who does that go to?
 - A. Peter Foote.
- Q. And how is it determined that Peter Foote would be paid that amount of money to manage the land?
- A. He was or he is, I believe, paid a fee for doing all the bookkeeping and QuickBooks kind of things and the other is \$25 an hour for his time spent in the office, which he begins the day going over the entire property, looking for any kind of violations by builders, and makes them get appropriate permits so people can't just do anything they want, followed by spending time in the office where he both receives and does the initial bookkeeping, manual bookkeeping. People come in and say, I think I paid. Well, look right here. You

- 1 paid in July, but you owe now.
 - Q. Where's the office?
- A. The office is right opposite the ball field.
 - Q. So Mr. Foote drives in there every day and goes to work, basically?
 - A. Yes, he does.

5

6

7

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And he must be pretty well acquainted with the tenants at this point?
- 10 A. Reasonably well. Better than I am.
- Q. So is there any part of the responsibilities at -- Strike that.
 - What about erosion, that's an expense that the Feoffees have to address from time to time?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. First, why is it that the Feoffees have a responsibility to be concerned with erosion?
 - A. If a massive piece of the hill begins to slide into the ocean, I think that falls in the category of keeping up the property.
 - Q. Well, if a piece of the hill fell into the ocean and it didn't have a cottage on it, would the Feoffees be mandated to deal with it?

- A. We could be.
 - Q. Is there -- I mean, strictly speaking the Feoffees don't have an obligation to preserve Little Neck in exactly the condition that it's --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- been in, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. They need to make sure that the investment stays safe, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So whether to address erosion would depend on what the nature of the erosion was and what area it affected, right?
 - A. Yes. It too could fall under the issue of public safety.
 - Q. So was there some particular erosion that took place at Little Neck that the Feoffees think needs to be addressed?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What's the erosion that took place that needs to be addressed?
 - A. It is the piece of Little Neck on the front of the hill towards Ipswich Bay from where that riprap was placed in the 1950's all the way

around to Pavilion Beach.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. What was done to determine how to remedy that?
 - A. An engineer was hired with that expertise to look at it and determined what we'd have to do.
 - Q. Who hired the engineer?
 - A. I'm trying to think who actually -- I think Peter hired the engineer because he had done work for us before.
- Q. And what was the result of the engineer's report?
 - A. That we'd probably have \$1 million in expenses in order to repair that and put it into a safe and stable condition.
- Q. Did he indicate when that work would need to be done?
 - A. Should be done fairly soon.
 - Q. Apart from reserving for this, what you call \$1 million for the erosion, is there any specific need to reserve at Little Neck for any infrastructure repairs that you're aware of?
 - A. Not at this time. We --
- Q. If the property is not sold, do the Feoffees intend to address the erosion issue?

_	_	_
7	9	-,
_	0	Ŀ

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 A. Yes.
 - Q. And that would be done over the, in the next couple of years?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you have, did you have any indication in the report how rapidly this problem is progressing?
 - A. I haven't actually read the report. I saw, I just got a summary of the report.
 - Q. Who did you get that from?
 - A. I'm trying to think who gave it to me the summary. It may have been Bill. A document like that I think resides with Bill.
 - Q. Bill Sheehan?
 - A. Yeah, yes, sir.
 - Q. Are you aware of any reason why it would not be feasible, if a sale is not approved, to continue with the rental of the property?
 - A. Simple answer is no.
 - Q. And if the property were continued to be rented, do you think the Feoffees would be able to fulfill the original charitable intent of benefiting the Ipswich schools?
 - A. I don't know, only because the court maybe

determine what the rental will be allowed.

- Q. Provided that the property can be rented at fair market value, then you believe that the charitable intent can be fulfilled, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

- Q. When the Feoffees have their meetings who is invited to attend?
- A. It's open to the public. I believe all the selectmen are invited to attend, as well as the school committee. Most often it might be one or two members of the school committee, historically, and the superintendent of schools.
- Q. Have the Feoffees ever conducted meetings in private?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Do the Feoffees still conduct meetings in private?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And you're referring to the annual meeting as being open to the public?
- 21 A. Yes.
 - Q. But there's no requirement that all the business of the Feoffees be conducted at public meetings, is there?

			Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr.
	184		
1		A.	No.
2		Q.	At least under your construction of the
3	law?		
4		A.	Yes.
5		Q.	Because that's been an issue that's been
6	rais	ed by	tenants?
7		A.	Yes, that's correct.
8		Q.	Where does that stand, this issue of
9	whet	her t	he Feoffees are supposed to comply with
10	publ	ic me	eting laws?
11		A.	I believe there was a judge who rendered
12	an o	pinio	n that we were private and didn't need to
13	have	publ	ic meetings other than the annual meeting.
14		Q.	Was that decision by the judge, was that
15	in a	crim	inal case that was brought for the
16		A.	I don't know.
17		Q.	But it was not the superior court
18	liti	gation	n?
19		A.	No.
20		Q.	It was a separate proceeding, right?
21		A.	I believe so, yes.
22		Q.	Are you aware of any meetings among the
23	four	life	Feoffees to conduct business at Little

Neck to which selectmen were not invited?

- A. Not since our last annual meeting.
- Q. Right. But historically, selectmen were not invited to the meeting of life Feoffees, were they?
 - A. That's correct, because the life Feoffees were the Committee on Affairs to run Little Neck and they were not part of that.
 - Q. So there was a Committee on Affairs?
 - A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and down.)
- Q. Explain to me what the Committee on Affairs was?
 - A. Committee on Affairs, wherever the term came from, was just a committee to manage Little Neck.
 - Q. And how long did it exist?
- 17 A. As long as I am aware.
- Q. During the time you have been a Feoffee
 there was always a committee called the Committee on
 Affairs?
 - A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

- Q. And that Committee on Affairs always consisted of just the life Feoffees?
- 24 A. Yes.

TAG

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And the life Feoffees would meet as the members of the Committee on Affairs to make various decisions concerning Little Neck?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Without the input of the selectmen?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, with regard to the selection of individuals to serve as Feoffees, am I correct that you replaced your uncle?
 - A. Right, uh-hum, yes.

MR. SHEEHAN: You have to answer yes or no.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

- Q. And the lawyer that was on the board that was Mr.?
 - A. Hayes.
 - Q. He replaced his father?
 - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Do you think that the current Feoffees are particularly qualified to choose their successors?
- A. To do this successfully, is that what you said?
- Q. No, no. Under the way the trust works at present the life Feoffees, when one of the Feoffees

- resigns, the other life Feoffees choose the departed
 member's successor, right?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Do you think that's a peculiarly good way of choosing Feoffees?
- A. I don't really have an opinion on that that I can render.
- Q. There's been some alternatives advanced by the school committee that says that the, instead of life Feoffees, there should be Feoffees who were appointed by and accountable to public bodies in Ipswich, right?
- A. Uh-hum, yes.
- Q. Are you aware of that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Don't you think that's a good idea?
- 17 A. Basically, yes.
- Q. And if we had that kind of a system, for
 example, we probably wouldn't have a situation where

 -- Strike that.
- Do you think that it's desirable to
 have Feoffees that don't have any personal interest
 in Little Neck, right?
- A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and

	188
1	down.)
2	Q. Yes?
3	A. I think so, yes.
4	Q. And historically, and this isn't meant to
5	be casting aspersions at anybody, but there have
6	been Feoffees who have had family members renting
7	cottages, right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And that does make them trying to answer
10	to two different masters, doesn't it?
11	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
12	A. No, I don't believe so.
13	Q. You think that's a good situation?
14	A. I think it's an acceptable situation if
15	the person is a morally sound individual.
16	Q. Do you agree with me that even if the
17	Feoffees were to raise the rent higher than the
18	current amounts the lessees are paying, there would
19	be people out there with money who would be willing
20	to pay it?
21	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
22	A. I would say unlikely.
23	Q. Do you think there's some people out there
24	who would pay just about anything to get to Little

1 Neck?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 3 A. I think it's unlikely.
 - Q. What do you think would be the ideal qualifications for a Feoffee if there were going to be ongoing rental of property?
 - A. It's a little hard to summarize. I think they should have some business background, not necessarily legal -- No offense. If they were going to be managing, assuming that it's property to be managed --
 - Q. Right.
- A. Somebody who had experience in that area would obviously be, I think, good. I mean, the obvious things are sound character and good recommendations would come into it, or should go into it.
- Q. So would you agree that you'd want to see business acumen?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Financial acumen?
- 22 A. I think that's appropriate.
- Q. Real estate knowledge is helpful?
- A. I think that's helpful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Being of sound character?
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. And do you think there should be any kind of ability to have people move on when they've gotten to be -- Is there such a thing as being too old to be a Feoffee? Let me withdraw that.

Under the current arrangement there's no age limit for the Feoffees?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Is that a good thing?
- A. I'm not sure, to be honest.
- Q. There's no mechanism now, if people fade with age, for them to be changed, right?
 - A. No.

MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- 0. Correct?
- A. Not that I know of, no.
- Q. I mean, other than going to court, having a petition filed?
 - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Will you agree with me that, and again, this isn't meant to cast aspersions on the current Feoffees, but if you were trying to find the people who were most peculiarly fit to be Feoffees, you

- wouldn't necessarily come up with the current board?

 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
 - A. I don't know. Different people have different abilities and I think to summarily answer that is a little difficult.
 - Q. Do you personally see anything wrong with the school committee's proposal to change the governance of the trust?
 - A. As a general answer, no.
 - Q. But under the current rules, for example, if you thought it was a good idea to change and if you wanted to resign, it wouldn't actually accomplish that objective, because it would be the remaining Feoffees that would choose your successor, right?
 - A. That's true.
- Q. And in fact, if there's even one Feoffee
 who thinks that the current system is a good one, it
 doesn't do any good if the other three all resign,
 correct?
- 21 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- A. I don't know the answer to that.
- MR. PERRY: Okay. Let's go off the
- 24 record.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. PERRY: I have one more area that I need to ask you about that I can think of

- A. Okay.
- ο. Maybe two, actually. I forgot this page. Okay. When the concept of proposed sale of Little Neck was seriously discussed did you at some point have occasion to attend the meeting with the school committee on that subject?
 - Α. No, I did not.
- Who handled the discussions with the school committee about a proposed sale?
- It was initially Mr. Sheehan and Jim Α. Foley.
- Did Mr. Foley attend the meetings that Mr. 0. Sheehan went to about the proposed sale?
- Α. I believe he attended one. I'm not sure about the second one.
- Q. Was the message to the school committee that Mr. Sheehan was authorized to deliver that the proposed sale was a good deal for the schools?
 - I honestly don't know what he said. Α.
 - Q. Right.

- A. I think he was looking for concurrence that they would go along with the sale, which they did.
 - Q. At the time that the school committee, according to your testimony, went along with the sale, what appraisals are you aware that had been done to support the idea that this was a good opportunity?
 - A. I don't remember beyond LandVest what appraisals were in at that time.
- Q. And you're not aware of any appraisals that were available to the school committee in 2009 and earlier other than the LandVest appraisals, correct?
- 15 A. I don't know.
 - Q. Do you disagree with the work that was done by Collier, Meredith and Grew?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. He didn't read it.
- Q. You didn't read it, so you don't have an opinion on that?
- 22 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

Q. Who among the Feoffees would be knowledgeable about the process that was followed

with respect to providing the tenants with notices to terminate their tenancy and notices to quit when they didn't pay their taxes? Was that also Peter Foote or Jim Foley?

- A. Trying to think of who was chairman at the time.
- Q. Were you chairman in 2006 when the lawsuit was filed?
- A. I honestly can't remember. They blend together.
- Q. Are you familiar with there being a reduction in the rate being charged for the wastewater system on a per gallon of water basis?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And at some point the rate was nine and a half cents and it went down to what, six and a half cents?
 - A. Or seven, but yes, it did go down.
- Q. What were the events that led to the reduction in the amount being charged to tenants in connection with their water usage?
- A. I honestly don't know what precipitated it other than the town agreeing to it, to the utilities department agreeing to it being a reasonable fee.

1 Q. Did you review any documents before 2 testifying today? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. What did you review? 5 Α. 2008 deposition. And am I correct that you were asked 6 Q. 7 questions in 2008 about whether you thought Little 8 Neck should be sold? 9 A. Yes. 10 0. And do you recall that you testified at that time that you did not think it should be sold? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. Because it's a good investment? 14 A. Yes, I saw that. 15 Has there been anything that's happened Q. since 2008, other than reading LandVest appraisals, 16 17 that's changed your mind on that front? 18 Well, I certainly have changed my opinion, Α. 19 veah. 20 Q. Do you still think Little Neck a good 21 investment? 22 A. I think it's too vague a question. 23 And what are the reasons you changed your 0. 24 opinion between July 8th, 2008 when you testified

that Little Neck should not be sold because it's a good investment and your signing a settlement agreement in January -- December 2009 to sell Little Neck?

A. Well, it's multifaceted answer. One, I can see seriously increased aggravation trying to manage the property. People so close together, that they complained about the littlest thing, and now because they had the money, they would sue and maybe even do something like try to name the Feoffees, which they haven't been able to do. But nonetheless, you know, a couple little old ladies decide to go at it with lawyers and, you know, there's more of it. And it's like nobody needs to deal with that kind of aggravation and/or associated cost that, you know, that you can't document as normal operating expense.

I guess the other thing is in trying to settle the superior court case, we went through various iterations of negotiation, including a judge who acted as a mediator and who, when he completed it, was quite discouraged that we're probably going to get nowhere with solving this problem. And so we looked at what other possibilities that were out

there and one was the possibility of selling it.

And the question is whether that would be something good or not. My opinion changed to it probably would be good, because there were management issues that seemed to be cropping up that said managing this is going to get to be a bigger job. And in fact, when we priced professional management, it was a lot more money than what we're paying now. And that probably didn't -- It still left you with if there's a problem, call the lawyer. If I don't understand, call a Feoffee, you know, because they don't know the people. They could do the paperwork, all the rote things.

So we got 80% of the people who are opposed to paying rent, paying the rent that we want to charge, which we thought was fair, and it seemed like there had to be another way to try and solve that problem, which ultimately, after several iterations, you know, came to where it is today.

Q. Okay, thank you. I had a question about the mediation and settlement discussions that took place. Was there a point where you thought that the negotiations had been successful in getting the tenants to agree upon a new lease, but then they

changed their lawyers?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Tell me about that, please.
- A. Well, I can only tell you summarily that we were pleased that our attorney returned with, They've agreed to something. I can't tell you exactly what it was. In which case, the group immediately fired their attorneys, hired a new law firm. That wasn't a very good sign either.
- Q. So the old lawyers were the Masterman people, Beth O'Neill and Jim Masterson, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And they had worked something out with Bill Sheehan?
 - A. It had to have been.
- Q. Was that at mediation or just separately, as you understand it, if you recall?
- A. No, it was separate. It wasn't through mediation. The mediation basically failed.
- Q. So Bill Sheehan reported back to the clients that he understood that there had been an agreement in principle of some sort reached, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. But then when the lawyers tried to take

1 that back to the entire membership, there was some sort of uprising and membership didn't go along with 2 3 it? MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 4 5 Α. That's my understanding. Was this tentative agreement that was 6 Q. 7 reached acceptable to the tenant leaders. DiSalvo 8 and Gottlieb, as you understand it? 9 I do not know. I do not know. Α. 10 0. You would have thought that they would 11 have had to have blessed it? MR. SHEEHAN: 12 Objection. 13 Α. I would think so. 14 And then the new lawyers came in and that Q. 15 was Todd and Weld? 16 A. Yes. 17 0. And did Todd and Weld indicate that they were unwilling to negotiate a lease or what 18 19 happened? 20 I can't remember what happened initially Α.

A. I can't remember what happened initially with Todd and Weld. I remember we had a meeting with Todd and Weld in Bill's office and we didn't really accomplish anything. We were miles apart.

21

22

23

24

Q. Did you ever get a second opinion from any

Alexander B. C. Mulholland, Jr.

	200
1	lawyers about the litigation other than Mr. Sheehan?
2	A. No, not that I'm aware of.
3	MR. PERRY: Okay. I've left you a
4	few minutes, Bill.
5	MR. SHEEHAN: I have no questions.
6	(Whereupon, at 3:52 o'clock p.m.,
7	the deposition was concluded.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	201		
1	CERTIFICATE		
2	I, ALEXANDER MULHOLLAND, do hereby		
3	certify under the pains and penalties of perjury		
4	that I have read the foregoing transcript of my		
5	testimony given on October 11, 2011, and I further		
6	certify that said transcript is a true and accurate		
7	record of said testimony (with the exception of the		
8	following corrections listed below):		
9	Page Line Correction/Reason		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	Dated at, this		
20	day of, 2011.		
21			
22			
23			
24	ALEXANDER MULHOLLAND		

1	CERTIFICATE
2	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK
4	I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and
6	qualified in and for the Commonwealth of
7	Massachusetts, do hereby certify:
8	That the witness whose testimony is
9	hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and
10	that such testimony is a true and accurate record of
11	my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to
12	the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
13	I further certify that I am neither
14	attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed
15	by any of the parties to the action in which this
16	deposition is taken; and further that I am not a
17	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
18	employed by the parties hereto or financially
19	interested in the action.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
21	my hand this 17th day of October, 2011.
22	anthist. Stuty
23	CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Notary Public
- 1	



My Notary expires August 17, 2012

1	Page 1		Page 3
1	VOLUME: I	1	INDEX
2	PAGES: 1-202	2	EXAMINATION OF: PAGE
3	EXHIBITS: 0	3	
4	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	4	Alexander Mulholland
5	ESSEX, SS. PROBATE & FAMILY COURT	5	(By Mr. Perry) 4
6	in the second se	6	
7	ALEXANDER B.C. MULHOLLAND,	7	
8	JR., et al	8	EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
و ا	Plaintiffs, Docket No.	9	
10	VS.	10	NONE
11	ATTORNEY GENERAL of the ES09E0094QC	11	
12	`	12	
13	et al,	13	
14	Defendants.	14	
15		15	
16		16	
17	DEPOSITION OF	17	
18	ALEXANDER BRIMNER CLARK MULHOLLAND, JR.	18	
19	October 11, 2011	19	
20	10:07 a.m 3:52 p.m.	20	
21	Casner & Edwards, LLP	21	
22	303 Congress Street	22	
23	Boston, Massachusetts	23	
24	Court Reporter: Cynthia F. Stutz	24	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	PROCEEDINGS
2		2	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED
3	WILLIAM H. SHEEHAN, III, ESQ.	3	BY AND BETWEEN COUNSEL that the reading and signing
4	MacLean Holloway Doherty Ardiff & Morse, P.C.		of the deposition by the deponent shall be done
5	8 Essex Center Drive		within thirty days the filing of the deposition be
6	Peabody, Massachusetts 01960	1	waived; and that all objections, except as to form,
7	978-774-7123		and motions to strike be reserved for the time of
8	wsheehan@mhdpc.com		trial.
9	on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Feoffees of	9	* * * *
10	the Grammar School of Ipswich and the	10	Whereupon:
11	Town of Ipswich	11	ALEXANDER BRIMNER CLARK MULHOLLAND, JR.,
12		12	having been satisfactorily identified and duly sworn
13	STEPHEN M. PERRY, ESQ.	13	by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as
14	Casner & Edwards, LLP	14	follows:
15	303 Congress Street	15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	Boston, Massachusetts 02210	16	BY MR. PERRY:
17	617-426-5900		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
18	perry@casneredwards.com	,	A. Alexander Brimner Clark Mulholland, Jr.
19	on behalf of the Defendants, School		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20	Committee of the Town of Ipswich and		A. B-r-i-m-n-e-r.
21	Richard Korb, Superintendant		Q. Jr.?
22		1	A. Right.
23		23	Q. Is your father living?
24		24	A. No, no.
		į.	l l

Page 5

- 1 Q. What is your residential address?
- 2 A. It is 4762 Darling Hill Road, East Burke.
- 3 O. East?
- 4 A. E-a-s-t Burke, B-u-r-k-e, Vermont 05832.
- 5 Q. Do you also own any residences in
- 6 Massachusetts?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Where, please?
- 9 A. 44 Plover, P-l-o-v-e-r Hill Road, Ipswich,
- 10 I'm sorry.
- 11 O. And that's?
- 12 A. In Massachusetts.
- 13 Q. That's in Great Neck?
- 14 A. Great Neck, yes.
- 15 Q. And do you own any other residences?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Are you currently living full time in
- 18 Vermont?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Do you divide your time between Vermont
- 21 and Ipswich?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And how do you divide your time?
- 24 A. Probably 80% of the time is in Ipswich,

- 1 A. No, deceased.
- 2 Q. What was the address of the cottage that
- 3 he owned?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Which road was it on?
- 6 A. It was on River Road.
- 7 Q. River Road?
- 8 A. River Road up near the pier.
- 9 Q. During the time you have been a Feoffee
- have any members of your family, any relatives other
- than Harry Munro owned any of the cottages?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. During what period of time did Harry Munro
- own a cottage at Little Neck?
- 15 A. I don't know when it began. It was, it
- started out belonging to his wife's aunt and she
- 17 passed away. I guess she inherited it. All I know
- 18 is I was a little boy then, probably six. So you
- 19 can guess when they were down there. I'm going to
- 20 be 69, so probably 63 years would be a rough guess.
- 21 Q. So your uncle and aunt inherited this
- cottage in around the late forties?
- 23 A. Yeah, yes.
- 24 Q. And continued to own it until when?

Page 6

Page 8

- 1 20% of the time is in Vermont. Ski season.
- 2 Q. Who do you reside at those places with?
- 3 A. My wife, Judith.
- 4 Q. Okay. Your children, do you have
- 5 children?
- 6 A. Yes, I do. I have two children.
- 7 Q. They're grown?
- 8 A. They're grown. They're in their forties.
- 9 Q. Which leads me to ask you, what is your
- 10 date of birth?
- 11 A. 11/10/1942.
- 12 Q. Big one. Have you ever owned a residence
- 13 on Little Neck?
- 14 A. No.
- **15** Q. Have you ever stayed there?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Under what circumstances did you stay on
- 18 Little Neck?
- 19 A. I was loaned a cottage by my uncle.
- 20 Q. Who is your uncle?
- 21 A. Harry E. Munro.
- 22 Q. How do you spell Munro?
- 23 A. M-u-n-r-o.
- 24 Q. And is he living?

- 1 A. Until his wife was deceased, who passed
- 2 away after him.
- 3 Q. And then what happened to the cottage?
- 4 A. It was sold to, to an individual party.
- 5 Nobody in Ipswich.
- 6 Q. Sold to a third party?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. When did the, when did the cottage get
- 9 sold, approximately?
- 10 A. I don't remember exactly when my aunt
- passed away. Actually, it was sold before she
- 12 passed away because she went into assisted living.
- 13 It, it was probably around 1985.
- 14 Q. Quite a long time ago?
- 15 A. Quite a long time ago, yes.
- 16 Q. What's your educational background?
- 17 A. B.A. in physics and mathematics from
- 18 Northeastern University.
- 19 Q. When did you obtain that?
- 20 A. 1965. Some study towards a Master's
- 21 degree, which I stopped.
- 22 Q. Where did you do that?
- 23 A. Northeastern, as well.
- 24 Q. Have you ever served in the armed

Page 12

Page 9

- 1 services?
- 2 A. No, I haven't.
- 3 Q. Could you describe for me what your
- occupational history has been after graduating from
- Northeastern?
- 6 A. Sure. In 1966 my father passed away
- suddenly and I took over a business that he had
- started in the forties, which was basically what you
- call an excavating business, excavation for homes or 9
- industrial buildings, water lines, sewer lines, 10
- things like that, which I worked at while I was in 11
- high school and a little in college and so I didn't 12
- 13 feel uncomfortable taking it over. My intent was to
- help my mother dispose of the business. I wound up 14
- 15 staying there ten years.
- 16 Q. You stayed there ten years?
- 17 A. Yeah, before I disposed of the business.
- 18 Q. So the first ten years after --
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. College from roughly '65 to --
- 21 A. Yeah, '66 to probably '76.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Off the record for a

1 Q. From roughly 1966 to 1976 you were working

in what had been your father's excavation business,

23 minute.

right?

6 A. Yes.

4 A. Uh-hum, yes.

10 O. What is that?

5 Q. And then it was sold?

17 Q. What did you do next?

19 Q. The chip company?

7 Q. Okay. What did you do after that?

11 A. Sanders Associates built electronic

warfare systems for the government.

located in California, the main plant.

21 Q. That's the major competitor to Intel?

23 Q. How long were you at Advanced Micro

13 Q. What did you do after Sanders Associates?

14 A. After Sanders Associates I worked one year

18 A. I went to work for Advanced Micro Devices.

for Raytheon Semiconductor in Boston. They were

8 A. I went to work for Sanders Associates in

Nashua, New Hampshire for two years.

(Discussion off the record.) 24

- 1 A. Twenty years.
- 2 Q. From?
- 3 A. Let's see, I believe it was late '79 for
- about twenty years.
- 5 Q. Could you very briefly sketch your history
- there as far as what your position was when you
- began and what it ended up being when you left?
- A. Sure, sure. I went to work, position was
- a district sales manager, which was really more
- salesman than anything else. I became regional 10
- sales manager in charge of some of the people in the 11 office and then I became director of sales for 12
- digital equipment worldwide. And my last position 13
- was senior regional sales manager in the Boston 14
- office. 15
- 16 Q. What were the circumstances of your
- leaving Advanced Micro Devices? 17
- 18 A. The largest piece of business that I had,
- they decided not to represent themselves directly 19
- 20 with a direct sales force and gave it to a rep is
- what they did. So that took a \$30 million chunk out 21
- of probably \$40 million of my business and they kind 22
- 23 of left me with -- I didn't know where I'm going to
- 24 go and what I'm going to do and I wound up

Page 10

- resigning.
- 2 Q. What did you do next?
- 3 A. I, I didn't do anything for a little
- while, a year. Well, during the period after
- Advanced Micro Devices I looked into real estate. I 5
- got licensed in Massachusetts. I worked for a local
- 7 realtor. That was about a period of two years
- total, after which I decided I didn't like real
- estate. It seemed natural living in the town all 9
- your life. So I went looking to see what was 10
- 11 available in semiconductor business. Spoke to a
- couple of friends and they told me about an 12
- opportunity with Taiwan Semi Conductor and a brand 13
- new business is what it was and they wound up hiring 14
- 15 me.
- 16 Q. How long did you work for Taiwan Semi
- 17 Conductors?
- 18 A. Four years.
- **19** Q. What was your position when you left?
- 20 A. Account manager.
- 21 Q. Have you been employed since then?
- 22 A. I worked part time for Ipswich Outboard.
- 23 Q. Ipswich?
- 24 A. Ipswich Outboard was the name of the

Devices?

20 A. Yeah.

22 A. Absolutely.

Page 13

- 1 company.
- 2 Q. And what did you do there?
- 3 A. I was really I'd call it a technician,
- 4 partly a mechanic. Partly I installed marine
- 5 electronics or repaired things like that that were
- 6 simple.
- 7 Q. Anything after that?
- 8 A. No. You're getting up to current time.
- 9 That was probably two years ago I stopped doing
- 10 that. And since then I've been trying to figure out
- 11 how to reinvent myself again. I actually, actually,
- 12 I invested some money in a little company, really,
- an individual to start a competitive business to
- 14 this and I'll probably wind up -- The only reason
- 15 why I really invested a small amount of money is
- 16 that I'd like some work, you know, and he said,
- 17 Sure. I said, I just want to get you started.
- 18 Q. What real estate company or individual
- were you affiliated with when you got your license?
- 20 A. Century 21 Ipswich Bay Associates.
- 21 Q. Who's the principal of that office?
- 22 A. Dorothy Levesque.
- 23 Q. How do you spell Levesque?
- 24 A. I think it's L-e-v-e-s-q-u-e.

- 1 A. She was.
- 2 Q. When you were working at that office did
- 3 you get involved in the purchases or sales of any of
- 4 the cottages?
- 5 A. I remember showing one. I don't remember
- 6 whether or not the sale went through through me or
- 7 not. The person did buy it. I don't remember going
- 8 to the closing.
- **9** Q. Which cottage was that?
- 10 A. It probably was -- Well, if you go up the
- 11 hill from the ball field, it would be the first
- 12 street on your left, house right in front of you
- 13 that was on the corner of that road and Hilltop
- 14 Road.
- 15 Q. Is that Middle Street?
- 16 A. No, no. Hilltop goes up over the top of
- 17 the road. I guess it's Hilltop, Hilltop.
- 18 Q. Hilltop goes up past the ball field?
- 19 A. Yeah. And roads go down over to the left,
- 20 typically, and I don't know what the name of that
- road was. They used to be numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.
- 22 Q. Did you get a commission for that sale?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Did you ever have a discussion with Ms.

Page 14

Page 16

- 1 Q. How many people worked in that office as
- 2 sales people or brokers at the time you were
- 3 affiliated with Century 21 Ipswich?
- 4 A. Six.
- 5 Q. Including yourself?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what years were you with that real
- 8 estate agency?
- 9 A. I can't remember what the years were, to
- 10 be honest with you. I'd have to sit down and figure
- 11 it out.
- 12 Q. Sometime in the early 2000's? I think you
- said you left -- Let's see. You said you left
- 14 Advanced Micro Devices after two years in the late
- 15 nineties?
- 16 A. Yeah, yeah, early 2000.
- 17 Q. Early 2000's?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Dorothy Levesque, is she somebody who's
- 20 had a lot of involvement with sales of Little Neck
- 21 cottages?
- 22 A. I would say yes.
- 23 Q. Who were the leading real estate brokers
- for purchases and sales of the Little Neck cottages?

- 1 Levesque about rentals at Little Neck?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Is it fair to say that you were at least
- 4 generally familiar when you were a real estate
- 5 broker with the prices at which cottages were being
- 6 sold at Little Neck?
- 7 A. Some awareness, yeah.
- 8 Q. But you had an awareness about the sale
- 9 prices of cottages at Little Neck both before and
- 10 after you were a real estate broker?
- 11 A. Some after. I didn't tend to track it.
- 12 Q. When did you become a Feoffee, somewhere
- **13** around 1978?
- 14 A. It's when I went to work for Raytheon. If
- 15 you dated that time, that's when it was.
- 16 Q. Sometime before 1979?
- 17 A. Yes, so, yeah, '78 was a reasonable date.
- 18 Q. When you were appointed as a Feoffee who
- were the other life Feoffees?
- 20 A. Jerome Richardson, Donald Whiston. I
- 21 think it was George Hayes.
- 22 Q. What is Mr. Whiston's background
- 23 professionally?
- 24 A. In his earliest years he was a stockbroker

Page 17

- or partner in Burgess and Leith in Boston. While he
- lived across the street I didn't pay a lot of
- attention. I know at some time he went to work for
- State Street Bank running an organization there and
- then I believe he came from there to the First
- National Bank of Ipswich as president.
- Q. When did Mr. Whiston become president of
- the First National Bank of Ipswich, to the best of
- your recollection? Just give me an estimation, and
- 10 understanding you may be wrong.
- 11 MR. SHEEHAN: But don't guess. If
- you don't know, you don't know. If you can give him 12
- an estimate, please do so. 13
- 14 A. Well, my estimate, okay, would be around
- 15 1985.
- 16 Q. We won't hold you to it, okay?
- 17 A. I borrowed money from him in 1988, so I
- know he was there then. And he was there a little
- 19 bit before that, so that's the reason.
- 20 Q. Okay. You said Jerome Richardson was one
- of the life Feoffees. What was Mr. Richardson's
- background?
- 23 A. All that I know is he was a wool broker,
- wool as in lamb wool broker.

- your uncle about becoming a Feoffee, about your
- becoming a Feoffee?
- 3 A. Just, he thought my background was, you
- know, could contribute something to it in terms of,
- you know, what I knew about the land and my family
- had leased land close to Little Neck. If there were
- 7 any difficulties, you know, mechanical difficulties
- in water, sewer, things like that, I have
- familiarity with it. They also -- He also thought
- that I would be a good person to take care of a lot 10
- of the issues around the pier with regard to kids 11
- and things like that. 12
- 13 Q. Who were you replacing as a Feoffee?
- 14 A. Him.
- 15 O. So --
- 16 A. He resigned.
- 17 Q. So what happened was the Feoffees had been
- Mr. Richardson, Mr. Hayes, Mr. Whiston and your 18
- uncle, Mr. Munro?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Who was also a cottage owner?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And then he resigned and the other three
- appointed you?

Page 18

Page 20

- 1 Q. And you said the other one was Mr. George
- 2 Hayes?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What was his professional background?
- 5 A. A lawyer.
- 6 Q. Where did he practice law?
- 7 A. He took over his father's law firm and it
- was in Ipswich, Mass.
- 9 Q. What discussions did you have with any of
- the other life Feoffees before they appointed you
- and you agreed to an appointment as a Feoffee?
- 12 A. Very little, other than casual
- 13 conversation with my uncle because he was a Feoffee.
- 14 O. So your uncle had been a Feoffee?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. Which uncle was that?
- 17 A. Harry E. Munro.
- 18 Q. The uncle that had owned a cottage had
- been a Feoffee?
- 20 A. Uh-hum, yes.
- 21 Q. And what period of time was your uncle a
- 22 Feoffee?
- 23 A. I don't know. I couldn't guess.
- 24 Q. And what conversations did you have with

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You mentioned that part of the background
- that would allow you to contribute was that your
- family had leased land. Was that land in Great Neck
- or elsewhere?
- 6 A. Great Neck, just Great Neck.
- 7 Q. Was there a period that Great Neck was
- land lease before it became fee ownership?
- 9 A. Yes, it was, until, I think, the mid-
- fifties. 10
- 11 Q. Who had owned -- Was it the Proprietors of
- Great Neck had owned it? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And how did that get converted from leased
- land to fee ownership? 15
- 16 A. Sale of the lots. I guess the directors
- decided they could make more money if they sold the 17
- land rather than lease the land. I think it's as 18
- simple as that. 19
- 20 Q. Do the directors still exist at this time
- or is it, it's all owned in fee simple?
- 22 A. What's left is owned as fee simple. The,
- all those directors deceased. That would have been
- my father and his four sisters. And since, it's 24

- been taken over by myself and my cousins, just moved 1
- down a generation in the family. And we've been 2
- trying to, basically, dispose of it. We sold a 3
- significant piece to the Town of Ipswich for open
- space. 5
- 6 Q. Okay. So when Great Neck was owned in
- corporate form that was owned within a corporation
- controlled by your family, right?
- A. Yes. 9
- 10 Q. Okay. And so they then just sold it off
- for profit? 11
- 12 A. Yeah, yes.
- 13 O. Some of the land wasn't sold at that time
- and stayed in the corporation?
- 15 A. Yes.
- **16** Q. Still in your family's control, but the
- next generation? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. When those lots at Great Neck were sold
- was each lot individually sold to a purchaser?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And was each lot sold at what was
- considered to be a fair market value?
- 24 A. Yes.

- Even the oldest lots, which were the smallest, they 1
- were 80 by 80. They probably meant to look 2
- something like Little Neck. And then the town, as
- they passed zoning, they kept increasing the sizes
- until now it's three acres. And having, just having
- more space around your property, from my point of
- view, is good. The time that I spent at Little Neck
- I did not like because everybody was on top of
- everybody.
- 10 Q. Is there more of a community feel at
- Little Neck than Great Neck?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So it's a different experience?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. When did the utilities at Little Neck get
- placed underground?
- A. I believe it was the 1930's, WPA days that 17
- there was WPA personnel that dug the trenches that 18
- buried the electric cables and so on. Somebody 19
- found a document that showed the agreement that they 20
- got labor to do that. 21
- 22 Q. So that's one kind of thing that's kind of
- notable about Little Neck, that there's no wires
- leading into any of the cottages and that really

Page 22

- Page 24
- **1** Q. For that lot? 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Were there cottages or houses on the lots?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And so the individuals -- Were the sales
- made mostly to those who had owned those cottages?
- 7 A. I believe, yes.
- 8 Q. Were there situations where the sales were
- made to parties other than those who owned the
- cottages? 10
- 11 A. It could have been, but I'm not aware of
- any of those details.
- 13 Q. Do you know what kind of rents were being
- paid at Great Neck?
- 15 A. Pardon?
- 16 Q. What kind of rents were being assessed
- there or was this too long ago? 17
- 18 A. I don't ever remember hearing the number.
- 19 O. Okay.
- 20 A. Or a number.
- 21 Q. How would you compare Great Neck to Little
- Neck in terms of desirability of the location?
- 23 A. I would say Great Neck was considerably
- more desirable because of the space around cottages.

- compared to Great Neck, it's a nice amenity,
- wouldn't you agree?
- 3 A. It is a nice amenity.
- 4 Q. And that's existed really the whole time?
- 5 A. Basically, yes.
- 6 Q. Was was the name -- You said George Hayes
- was in a law firm in Ipswich?
- 8 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- down.)
- 10 Q. What was the name of his firm? Just law
- office?
- 12 A. I think he just went under his own name.
- 13 Q. What was your understanding before you
- became a Feoffee of what your obligations and role
- would be as you became one? 15
- 16 A. My understanding, the duties and
- obligations would probably be pretty minimal, was to 17
- 18 attend an annual meeting of --
- **19** Q. Of the Feoffees?
- 20 A. Of the Feoffees. Occasionally a
- discussion may come up about somebody doing work on 21
- 22 their home. It was a time when there really weren't
- 23 any problems down there.
- 24 Q. What was your understanding when you

Page 28

Page 25

- became a Feoffee about what the purpose of the trust
- was? Did you understand that a Feoffee was a term
- for trustee?
- 4 A. Yeah, yes, oh, yeah.
- 5 Q. And did you understand who the beneficiary
- of the trust was?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What was your understanding?
- 9 A. That it was the schools of Ipswich.
- 10 Q. And did you have discussions with any of
- the other Feoffees on becoming a Feoffee concerning
- what the goal was in terms of providing money to the
- 13 Town of Ipswich for the schools?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Was there any effort made in at the time
- you were joining as a Feoffee to maximize the amount
- 17 for the schools?
- 18 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 19 Q. What kind of rent was being charged when
- 20 you became a Feoffee?
- 21 A. I can't remember that, to be honest.
- 22 O. But it was low?
- 23 A. It was low, it was very low.
- 24 Q. Did you have any discussions with any of

- 1 father is what my understanding is, and the father
 - sold it. 2
 - 3 Q. So your understanding is that that cottage
 - was sold during the lifetime of Don Whiston's
 - father? 5
 - 6 A. Yes, that's what I, that's what I
 - understand.
 - 8 Q. Has anybody else in Don Whiston's family
 - been a cottage owner?
- 10 A. Yes, he has, yes.
- 11 O. Who else?
- 12 A. Daughter.
- 13 Q. What's his daughter's name?
- 14 A. Kim.
- 15 Q. What's her last name?
- 16 A. I don't know. Her husband's name is
- 17 David. I don't know their last name.
- 18 Q. Kim and David?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do they still own a cottage?
- 21 A. Yes, they do.
- 22 Q. Do you know which one?
- 23 A. Yes, I do. It's on Cliff Road.
- 24 Q. Anybody else in Mr. Whiston's family who,

- 1 to your knowledge, owned a cottage?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Did George Hayes own a cottage?
- 4 A. No.
- **5** Q. Did anybody in his family?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. I understand that at one point you were
- 8 chairman of the Feoffees?
- 9 A. Yes.
- **10** Q. And I think you testified previously that
- you were paid something like \$5,000 for that role a
- 12 year?
- 13 A. Not the correct amount.
- **14** Q. What's the correct amount?
- 15 A. Correct amount was \$1,000 a month.
- 16 Q. Okay. I apologize.
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. I may have gotten it wrong. I was going
- from memory. So you were paid \$1,000 a month while
- 20 you were the chair?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. How long were you the chair?
- 23 A. I'm still the chair. I don't know when
- it's -- It started at the beginning of the sewer

Page 26

- 1 the other Feoffees about why the rent was kept low?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Did you have an understanding as to why
- 4 the rent was so low?
- 5 A. Without discussion, my personal
- understanding was it was probably similar to other
- rents of summer cottages in other similar areas,
- like a rental on Great Neck or Conomo Point in Essex
- or something like that.
- 10 Q. Did Jerome Richardson have a cottage at
- 11 Little Neck?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Did anybody in his family?
- 14 A. No, not that I know of.
- 15 O. What about Don Whiston?
- 16 A. His father did.
- 17 Q. What happened, how long was his father a
- 18 tenant at Little Neck?
- 19 A. A long time. He was one of those that
- 20 went way back, I believe.
- 21 Q. But he must have died quite a while ago?
- 22 A. He did. My understanding was he left it
- to his son, Don, and his sister. I don't believe

they really wanted it. They gave it back to the

Page 29

- 1 project.
- 2 Q. You have been the chair from the beginning
- 3 of the sewer project?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Sometime in the mid 2000's?
- 6 A. Yes.
- **7** Q. Through the present?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So during that time you have received
- **10** \$1,000 a month?
- 11 A. Not all the time.
- 12 Q. Apart from receiving up to \$1,000 per
- month, have you received any other compensation?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. From being a Feoffee?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Alex. You have to
- wait until he's through with the question.
- **THE WITNESS:** I'm sorry.
- MR. PERRY: Off the record.
- 20 (Discussion off the record.)
- 21 Q. So there were some changes in the
- composition of the Feoffees after you joined in 1978
- between then and the present, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 A. Yes.
 - 2 Q. What led to that event?
 - 3 A. He moved permanently out of state.
 - 4 Q. Okay. And you have no memory of whether
- 5 Mr. Hayes moved before Mr. Richardson resigned or
- 6 Mr. Richardson resigned before Mr. Hayes moved,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. No, I don't recall.
- 9 Q. When did the two of them cease to be
- **10** Feoffees, roughly?
- 11 A. Richardson and Hayes?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. Richardson, I don't know. I really don't
- 14 know. Mr. Hayes was, I'm thinking about how old he
- 15 was when he moved and how old he was when he died.
- 16 That's 22 years ago, so what's that, 2001? No.
- 17 Q. What's the year he died, 22 years ago?
- 18 A. No, let me think. No. It will be
- 19 24 years ago. He's been dead two years.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. He's been dead two years.
- 22 Q. He's been dead two years and based on your
- estimate of his age, there was 22 years before that
- that he ceased to be a Feoffee?

Page 30

Page 32

- 1 Q. And currently the Feoffees are you and Mr.
- 2 Whiston and Peter Foote and Jim Foley?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Have there been any other changes of
- 5 Feoffees other than replacing Mr. Richardson and Mr.
- 6 Hayes and having Mr. Foley and Mr. Foote come on
- 7 board?
- 8 A. No.
- **9** Q. So one of those two, Foote or Foley
- replaced Richardson and the other replaced Hayes?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Which of the Feoffees resigned or ceased
- to be a Feoffee first as between Mr. Richardson and
- 14 Mr. Hayes or did it happen close in time?
- 15 A. I just don't remember. It would only be a
- 16 guess. I don't remember.
- 17 Q. Sometime after you joined, Mr. Richardson
- ceased to be a Feoffee at some point?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did he resign or did something else
- 21 happen?
- 22 A. I believe he resigned.
- 23 Q. At some point after you joined Mr. Hayes
- 24 ceased to be a Feoffee. Do you recall --

- 1 A. He turned 50 years old and that's when he
- 2 left was when he turned 50.
- 3 Q. So he ceased to be a Feoffee by your
- 4 calculation somewhere around 1987?
- 5 A. '9, I think, closer. 24 from 2003.
- 6 Q. Well, he died two years ago?
- 7 A. Yes.
- **8** Q. That would be 2009?
- 9 A. And he would have been, he would have been
- 10 72.
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 A. If he was alive now, he'd be 74.
- 13 Q. So take back 22 years?
- 14 A. So go back to 22 years. I think that must
- 15 have been --
- 16 Q. It's '87?
- 17 A. '87, okay.
- **18** Q. Who came on first between Foote and Foley?
- 19 A. I believe it was Mr. Foote.
- 20 Q. And how much time passed between Mr. Foote
- 21 becoming a Feoffee and Mr. Foley becoming a Feoffee?
- 22 A. I don't remember.
- 23 Q. So you and Mr. Whiston and one other
- Feoffee voted to install Mr. Foote as a Feoffee,

Page 33

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And that was to replace either Hayes or?
- 4 A. I think it was Richardson.
- 5 Q. You think it was Richardson, okay. So
- 6 your best memory is that Richardson left first
- 7 before Hayes?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. Sometime before 1987?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What was Mr. Foote's occupational
- **12** background?
- 13 A. He was a police officer, sergeant.
- 14 Q. In what town?
- 15 A. Ipswich. I don't know his educational
- background, but he was a police officer and I
- 17 believe he was a police officer in military prior.
- **18** Q. What was your thinking as to why Mr.
- 19 Foote, who was a police sergeant in Ipswich, would
- be an appropriate person to serve as a Feoffee?
- 21 A. Well, at least one of the reasons was that
- 22 regarding security, we had difficulty keeping
- 23 undesirable people out of there on weekends in times
- 24 when there may be a party and his nature, personal

- 1 Q. Did Mr. Foote do anything after becoming a
 - 2 Feoffee to improve the security of Little Neck with
 - 3 respect to the parties you have described?
 - 4 A. I would say it was minimal.
 - 5 Q. During the time you have been a Feoffee
 - 6 has Little Neck always been officially closed to the
 - 7 public?
 - 8 A. Yes.
 - 9 Q. And what is the rule as to the public,
 - 10 members of the public going up to Little Neck and
 - 11 being on its roads?
 - 12 A. They're not supposed to be.
 - 13 Q. There's no, the property's not marked with
 - 14 a No Trespassing sign, correct?
 - 15 A. I don't believe so.
 - 16 Q. It's got Private Property signs?
 - 17 A. Yes, yeah.
 - 18 Q. If you were a resident of Little Neck are
 - you allowed to traipse around on other people's lots
 - or is each person, is that part of what they lease
 - 21 is the right to the exclusive use of the lot, if you
 - 22 know?
 - 23 A. They're allowed to go outside what they
 - 24 might perceive as their lot.

Page 34

- 1 nature was a strong personality.
- 2 Q. Was he put in charge of security when he
- 3 became a Feoffee?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did he personally go out to Little Neck to
- 6 stand at the entrance and try to keep order?
- 7 A. Not that I know of.
- 8 Q. Where did Mr. Foote reside?
- 9 A. Ipswich.
- 10 Q. Did he ever have an interest in any of the
- 11 cottages?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Did any of his family members?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Did Mr. Foote's background as a police
- 16 officer in fact -- Strike that.
- In what way did Mr. Foote carry out
- 18 this function of improving security, if at all?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You may
- 20 answer.
- THE WITNESS: Hum?
- MR. SHEEHAN: You may answer.
- 23 Q. Let me rephrase the question, okay?
- 24 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. Can they, can somebody -- Would it be
- 2 considered a violation of the rights of one of your
- 3 neighbors if you just simply walked across their,
- 4 quote, "front lawn"?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Have the Feoffees ever enforced the
- 7 private nature of the property to prevent visitors
- 8 from going up to Little Neck?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. How have the Feoffees enforced that?
- 11 A. Police detail at the gate.
- 12 Q. During the peak seasons?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And what would the police at the gate do?
- 15 A. Originally they had a clip board of all
- 16 the residents and telephone numbers. And if
- somebody came along and said, I'm going to Mr.
- 18 Sheehan's house tonight to visit, he would call and
- 19 say, Are you expecting Mr. Sheehan? Say yes, go
- 20 ahead in. I don't know who Mr. Sheehan is, out.
- 21 Q. I think you have indicated that to the
- best of your recollection Mr. Richardson left before
- 23 Mr. Hayes and was replaced by Mr. Foote.
- 24 A. Yes.

Page 40

Page 37

- 1 Q. And not too long after that, Mr. Hayes
- 2 left and was replaced by Mr. Foley?
- з A. Yes.
- **4** Q. So if that is correct, the vote to appoint
- Mr. Foley as a Feoffee was made by you and Don
- Whiston and Peter Foote?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you recall whose idea it was to make
- Mr. Foley a Feoffee?
- 10 A. Mr. Whiston.
- 11 Q. And what was his reasoning?
- 12 A. A lengthy experience of knowing the people
- down there, with his parents having owned a place
- down there. He grew up as a little boy down there.
- 15 Q. What you're saying is Jim Foley's parents
- had owned a cottage?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Jim had grown up there as a little boy?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Summers or year round?
- 21 A. Just summers.
- 22 Q. And the cottage that you're talking about
- continued to be in Jim Foley's family while he was a
- Feoffee up until around 2001, correct?

- - 1 A. The only detail that I know is that he was
 - 2 a police officer.
 - **3** Q. In what town?
 - 4 A. Wenham, Mass.
 - 5 Q. Wenham and not Hamilton, Wenham?
 - 6 A. Wenham. Thought of, it's almost one and
 - the same and I'm just trying to think of the 7
 - building. No, it's Wenham.
 - 9 Q. All right. What was the reasoning for
 - making Mr. Foley, as a police officer in the Town of
 - Wenham -- Maybe you already told me this -- Strike 11
 - that. 12
 - 13 You already told me the reason for
 - Mr. Foley becoming a Feoffee was because he was a 14
 - known person who had grown up in Little Neck and his
 - 16 family owned a cottage there, right?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. So at the time he became a Feoffee two of
 - the life Feoffees had family members owning 19
 - 20 cottages, right?
 - 21 A. No. When he owned the cottage Mr. Whiston
 - did not own the cottage.
 - 23 Q. Did his daughter?
 - 24 A. His daughter, I'm sorry, yes, his daughter

- 1 A. I don't know the date. But it continued,
- continued to be in his family until his father died
- and I don't know, I believe they kept it for a year
- or two and then he sold it.
- 5 Q. And when Mr. Foote was made a Feoffee,
- whose idea was that?
- 7 A. It was proposed by Mr. Whiston.
- 8 Q. Mr. Whiston really took a leadership role
- with the Feoffees?
- 10 A. Yes, he did.
- 11 Q. Was he chair throughout the period?
- 12 A. Yes, yes, he was chair.
- 13 Q. Until you became chair?
- 14 A. Until I became chair.
- **15** O. In mid 2000's?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Mr. Foley at some point was a selectman in
- 18 the Town of Ipswich?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was he, was that a position he was elected
- 21 to before or after he became a Feoffee?
- 22 A. I believe after.
- 23 Q. What was Mr. Foley's occupational
- 24 background?

- did.
- 2 Q. So at the time Mr. Foley became a Feoffee
- there was a cottage in Mr. Foley's family and there
- was a cottage in Mr. Whiston's family, to your
- knowledge?
- 6 A. I need to correct that. I don't believe
- his daughter owned it then. His daughter hasn't
- owned it that long.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. And I can't tell you when she bought it.
- 11 Q. All right, fair enough.
- 12 A. But I'm positive they weren't concurrent.
- 13 Q. Okay. You have lived in Ipswich all your
- 14 life?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. The other three Feoffees, are they all
- life-long residents of Ipswich?
- 18 A. No, not Mr. Foley.
- 19 Q. Where --
- 20 A. Peter Foote is. Don Whiston, no. He grew
- 21 up in Stoneham.
- 22 Q. Okay. At the time that you were, at the
- time that Mr. Foley became -- Strike that. 23
- 24 Was Mr. Whiston a long-time resident

Page 41

- 1 of Ipswich during the time he was serving as a
- 2 Feoffee?
- 3 A. Yes, yes.
- 4 Q. Were you a life-long resident of Ipswich?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Foote was?
- 7 A. A life-long resident.
- 8 Q. A life-long resident. And during what
- 9 period of time did Mr. Foley reside in Ipswich, if
- **10** at all?
- 11 A. He does reside in Ipswich and I don't know
- when he bought his home in Ipswich.
- 13 O. But it was before he became a selectman?
- 14 A. Yes, you had to be.
- 15 Q. Yes. And he also grew up there in
- 16 summers?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What percentage of the cottage owners
- 19 would you say are from Ipswich?
- 20 A. I'd hate to even guess at a number. I
- 21 only know that it's very small.
- 22 Q. It's a percentage that's been declining
- over the years as cottages have been sold?
- 24 A. No. I think it's always been small.

- 1 him. I would not call them close friends.
- 2 Q. Are you familiar with an individual named
- 3 Don Greenough?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Has he at various times served as counsel
- 6 to the Feoffees?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. When did he first start to serve as the
- **9** Feoffees' lawyer?
- 10 A. When George Hayes moved to, moved out of
- 11 town permanently.
- 12 Q. Who selected Don Greenough to be the
- 13 lawyer?
- 14 A. All the Feoffees agreed upon that based on
- 15 the fact that he came from the same office and
- 16 occasionally handled some of the documents for Mr.
- 17 Hayes.
- **18** Q. You say Mr. Greenough came from the same
- 19 office?
- 20 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 21 down.)
- 22 Q. So he had been affiliated in his practice,
- 23 to your understanding, with Mr. Hayes?
- 24 A. Had he been have affiliated, you said?

Page 42

- 1 Q. Okay. And you say it's a very small
- 2 percentage, but you can't hazard a guess as to what
- 3 that percentage is?
- 4 A. I'm just thinking of people that I know
- 5 and the percentage would be, could be as low as 2%,
- 6 3%. Very low.
- 7 Q. Have you, during the time you have been a
- 8 Feoffee, have you had any close personal friends who
- 9 own cottages?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And you have a personal acquaintance with.
- do you have a personal acquaintance with any of the
- 13 cottage owners?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. How about the other Feoffees, to your
- knowledge, do any of the other life Feoffees have
- 17 personal, close personal friendships with any
- 18 cottage owners?
- 19 A. I would say no, but the qualification
- 20 there, Mr. Whiston had been there as a young man a
- 21 long time.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. And people, because of his respective
- 24 jobs, I think, looked to him and communicated with

- 1 Q. I don't know what you meant by he came
- 2 from the same office.
- 3 A. He worked in the same office. His
- 4 expertise was not the same.
- 5 Q. Were they in business together, Mr. Hayes
- 6 and Mr. Greenough? Did they have a partnership?
- 7 A. No. I know that he hired Mr. Greenough
- 8 when he was a young man is all I know, only because
- 9 I heard the story.
- 10 Q. Okay. So Mr. Hayes was a lawyer in
- 11 Ipswich and he had hired Mr. Greenough to work for
- 12 him?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And Mr. Greenough had then started doing
- work for the Feoffees while Mr. Hayes was still a
- 16 Feoffee, as you said that he came and did paperwork?
- 17 A. Well, he could have. I can't say that
- 18 definitively. He certainly could have in a small
- 19 office like that.
- 20 Q. Was Mr. Hayes performing legal work for
- 21 the Feoffees?
- 22 A. Not, not paid legal work. He may as an
- 23 attorney look over documents or something like that.
- 24 Q. Was Mr. Greenough performing paid legal

Page 45

- 1 work for the Feoffees when Mr. Greenough worked for
- 2 Mr. Hayes?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. What was Mr. Greenough's area of
- 5 specialty, as you understood it?
- 6 A. His area of specialty was really trusts
- 7 and trusts, wills, things of that nature. He was
- 8 not a trial attorney. He occasionally represented
- 9 landowners in the town before various boards. So he
- 10 had a good working knowledge of the town boards,
- which made him a more valuable person in that sense.
- 12 Mr. Hayes had done the same thing. He had been on
- 13 various boards.
- 14 Q. How long did Mr. Greenough continue to
- provide legal services to the Feoffees?
- 16 A. Well, it stopped when Mr. Sheehan took
- over and Mr. Sheehan took over because of the
- 18 lawsuit with the tenants.
- 19 Q. Right.
- 20 A. And you'd have to look up the date of the
- 21 lawsuit. Mr. Greenough said, I'm not a trial
- 22 attorney. We need more expertise. I happened to
- 23 have known Mr. Sheehan from another legal issue and
- 24 I was very pleased with the idea of having him.

- 1 A. It was a person, one of my cousins in our
 - 2 family, proprietors of Great Neck that -- The issue
 - 3 is complicated, but anyway, she decided -- I believe
- 4 she sued the corporation or sued us.
- **5** Q. A family squabble?
- 6 A. Family squabble.
- 7 Q. Over how that organization was being run
- 8 and who was getting money and that kind of thing?
- 9 A. Yeah, exactly.
- 10 O. And that went to court?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. It was just --
- 13 A. It was settled out of court.
- 14 Q. Was it actually a lawsuit or just some
- sort of correspondence about her being disgruntled?
- 16 A. I can't remember. I think of it as a
- 17 lawsuit, but it may not have been.
- 18 Q. Okay. When did that happen?
- 19 A. It was quite a while ago. Trying to think
- of something I can pin it to. I believe it was
- 21 sometime in the 1980's.
- 22 Q. Quite a while?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. So you have never served, for example, on

Page 46

- 1 Q. That brings me back to another issue I
- wanted to ask you about. So apart from being on the
- 3 board of the Feoffees, what other trusts, boards,
- 4 associations have you served on?
- 5 A. For the Town of Ipswich just two, the
- 6 School Building Needs Committee. I'd be hard
- 7 pressed to give you the dates.
- **8** Q. That's okay.
- 9 A. And Industrial Development Committee.
- 10 Q. Okay. Outside of the town?
- 11 A. No, in the town.
- 12 Q. Apart from serving on town boards what
- other boards, trusts and charities have you served
- **14** for?
- 15 A. None that I recall.
- 16 Q. Have you served on any other, have you
- served with any other groups or boards that have
- been subject to oversight by the Attorney General's
- 19 office?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. You mentioned that there had been a legal
- 22 issue before that Mr. Sheehan was involved in.
- 23 Without telling me anything confidential, what was
- 24 the nature of that legal issue?

- 1 the board of any hospitals or anything like that?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Did you ever use Mr. Greenough yourself as
- 4 an attorney?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What types of things?
- 7 A. Trusts, will, living will.
- 8 Q. You mentioned Mr. Whiston serving as
- 9 president of the First National Bank of Ipswich and
- you forgive me for not remembering which bank is
- which up there, but which is the bank in Ipswich
- that's done most of the financing of the cottages?
- 13 A. It's now called the Institution for
- 14 Savings. It used to be the Ipswich Cooperative
- 15 Bank.
- 16 Q. And that's a separate bank?
- 17 A. That's a separate bank entirely.
- 18 Q. Did the Feoffees ever do any business with
- 19 the First National Bank of Ipswich?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What business did they do with the First
- 22 National Bank of Ipswich?
- 23 A. Well, for one thing, I believe we had a
 - 4 checking account there and then Mr. Whiston, if he

Page 52

Page 49

- had money to hold for the schools or for a project
- or something like that, he divided it up amongst the
- banks so he wasn't favoring any one bank. He tried 3
- to be very careful about that.
- **5** Q. Was any money ever borrowed by the
- Feoffees from the First National Bank of Ipswich?
- 7 A. I believe so, but I can't give the
- details.
- **9** Q. The large loans that were made in
- connection with the sewers, the wastewater facility,
- was that First National Bank of Ipswich or was that 11
- a different bank? 12
- 13 A. They were going to participate and
- ultimately couldn't, in the final analysis. They
- 15 were going to participate with the Ipswich
- Cooperative Bank and when they couldn't participate,
- 17 we asked the cooperative bank, who was very willing,
- to find another partner.
- 19 Q. Do you know Dan Clasby?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Has he played a role for the Feoffees?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What role has he played?
- 24 A. He's audited the books and provided the

- 1 been made to the land, correct?
- 2 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- down.)
- 4 Q. So could you tell me what those -- Let me
- list some things and see if we get a list of what
- those improvements are.
- 7 A. Sure.
- 8 Q. There's roads there?
- 9 A. Yes.
- **10** Q. Community center?
- 11 A. Community center, yes.
- 12 O. A ball field?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. There's a private beach?
- 15 A. Yes, but that's natural, not created.
- 16 Q. Right. There's a wastewater system?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 O. There's these underground utilities?
- 20 O. Is there cable for cable television?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. Is there town water?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. How long has there been town water, a long

Page 50

- time?
- 2 A. Say, twenty years, good guess.
- 3 O. Who paid for that?
- 4 A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure whether there
- was an assessment made to the people out there
- because it was a public safety issue to be able to 6
- 7 have fire hydrants on the property and it also
- allowed them to come to their cottage and turn the 8
- 9 water on and turn the water off when they left
- because they weren't year round residents. 10
- 11 Q. Apart from what I've listed, so we have
- roads, community center, ball field, wastewater 12
- 13 system, underground utilities, any other
- improvements? 14
- 15 A. Replacement of the dock after the blizzard
- of '78. On River Road up near the dock there was a 16
- 17 lot of rock put on that face to try and mitigate
- erosion, I should say. In the 1950's there was a 18
- 19 significant job done out on the steep front part of
- the hill on the quarter over towards Crane's Beach. 20
- 21 If you are looking out to sea and you looked at the
- 22 middle of the hill, and all the way around to the
- 23 right was all done with a stone riprap by a
- professional contractor, you know, because it

submit to the town.

financial statements as required annually that we

- 3 Q. How long has Mr. Clasby provided services
- to the Feoffees?
- 5 A. I don't know. I can't remember his
- predecessor even.
- 7 Q. How old is Mr. Clasby, would you say?
- 8 A. Fifty.
- **9** Q. Does he actually, does he do audits of --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How long has he been auditing the books
- and records of the Feoffees?
- 13 A. I don't know the number of years.
- 14 O. Who selected Mr. Clasby to be the
- accountant for the Feoffees?
- 16 A. It was through a recommendation, largely,
- and agreed to by the Feoffees.
- 18 Q. Who recommended him?
- 19 A. Hum?
- **20** Q. Who made the recommendation?
- 21 A. I'm guessing, but fairly positive it was
- 22 Mr. Greenough.
- 23 Q. Now, out at Little Neck, apart from the
- cottages, there's various improvements that have

Page 53

- 1 required cranes to lift stone in. You can't see it
- 2 now because it's covered with brush, but I've seen
- 3 pictures -- Well, I saw it when it was done, but I
- 4 have occasionally come across a picture that showed
- 5 the bare stone before things grew in. That was a
- 6 rather significant job.
- 7 Q. All right. When did the roads get
- 8 constructed, if you know?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. Are these roads entirely the private
- responsibility of the Feoffees at this time?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. The town doesn't do anything for that
- 14 roads?
- 15 A. No.
- **16** Q. Is there waste pick-up in Little Neck?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Does the town provide that as a town
- 19 service?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do the trucks go right up to the houses?
- 22 A. Yes, in the sense they go down the
- 23 streets.
- 24 Q. Right, as opposed to --

- 1 Q. Well, what I mean by that, Mr. Mulholland,
- 2 I don't mean does it make money. I mean, was it
- 3 formed as an LLC, was it formed -- It wasn't formed
- 4 as a non-profit, right? It was formed as a regular
- 5 -
- 6 A. Yes.
- **7** Q. LLC?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. Does it file tax returns?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 O. Who are the -- So if it's a limited
- 12 liability company, that means that the owners are
- called members, okay?
- 14 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 15 down.)
- 16 Q. Do you know who the members are of the
- 17 LLC?
- 18 A. I believe it was the four life Feoffees.
- 19 Q. Do you get some kind of tax reporting
- 20 every year related to that company?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. For your personal tax returns?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. And so what you're saying, it's your

Page 54

- 1 A. Yeah, a centralized area, yeah.
- 2 Q. Who maintains the ball field?
- 3 A. We do, the Feoffees do.
- 4 Q. How do you do that?
- 5 A. We hire a landscape contractor to mow that
- 6 area and mow around our office.
- 7 Q. With regard to the wastewater system, I
- 8 understand there's a limited liability company that
- 9 was formed?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Was the name of that company, LN
- 12 something?
- 13 A. Little Neck Wastewater? I'm not sure. It
- 14 was kind of a transparent organization in the sense
- 15 that money came in, money went out and I'm not -- I
- 16 honestly don't know why it was formed.
- 17 Q. I was going to ask you whose idea was it
- 18 to form that organization?
- 19 A. Don Greenough's.
- 20 Q. And you don't have an understanding of
- 21 what the reasons are for it?
- 22 A. No, I really don't.
- 23 Q. Is it a for profit company?
- 24 A. No.

- understanding that the LLC takes money in every year
- 2 from fees and pays out an equal amount?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is that exact or is it more or less equal?
- 5 A. It's more or less equal.
- 6 Q. Who does the books for the Little Neck
- 7 wastewater?
- 8 A. Peter Foote.
- 9 Q. Not Clasby?
- 10 A. Oh, I'm sorry. With regard to things like
- 11 that, as an accountant, yes, Clasby.
- 12 Q. Peter Foote keeps the books, but does
- 13 Clasby review them?
- 14 A. Oh, yes. Peter Foote collects the money.
- 15 O. Does the LLC own anything?
- 16 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 17 Q. So the LLC does not own the wastewater
- 18 system?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. It's simply -- What does it do?
- 21 A. I don't believe I can explain that to you.
- 22 But in, since it didn't have any significant role
- 23 and I don't know why we formed it, at the suggestion
- of Mr. Clasby that we just combine the two, because

Page 60

Page 57

- they worked hand in hand and report them as one. So
- it doesn't, my understanding is it doesn't really
- exist any more.
- 4 Q. You're familiar with the cottages out at
- 5 Little Neck?
- 6 A. Reasonably. I don't know whose is whose,
- but yeah, I drive around there.
- 8 Q. What percentage of the cottages would you
- say have full basement?
- 10 A. 10 to 15%.
- 11 Q. And what percentage of the cottages would
- you say are heated? How many have any kind of
- heating system?
- 14 A. Suitable for year-round living?
- 15 Q. Well --
- 16 A. Has some kind of heating?
- 17 Q. Yeah.
- 18 A. I'd say they all do.
- 19 Q. Let's break that down. Are there cottages
- 20 that have oil heat?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. How many of them would you estimate have
- heat through deliveries to an oil tank and a

1 A. I don't know. And it would be very

4 Q. And for those that do have oil heat, where

8 A. Outside. Well, no, they could be inside,

the cottages do not have oil heat or you don't know?

6 A. They should be abutting their house.

10 Q. So is it your understanding that most of

13 Q. What other forms of heat are you aware of

16 Q. And are those done with an exterior

19 Q. Have you seen such tanks out at the

an oil truck out there.

9 I guess, and still be in code.

14 that are used at Little Neck?

5 would the banks be?

7 O. Outside?

12 A. I don't know.

17 propane tank?

15 A. LP gas, propane.

difficult to guess, because I've almost never seen

24 furnace?

- 1 exception, a little wood in a fireplace.
- 2 Q. What about electric heat?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 O. Could be?
- 5 A. Could be.
- 6 Q. Now, you said that you think virtually all
- of them have some form of heat?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then you started to answer a question
- about what form of heat that would be suitable for
- the winter. Do you know the answer to that?
- 12 A. No, I really don't.
- 13 Q. And that would raise questions of both
- 14 heat and insulation, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Under the proposed sale of the cottages to
- the tenants and lessees, they're not going to be
- restricted to seasonal use? 18
- 19 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 20 Q. Is it your expectation that as a result,
- 21 steps will be taken in the cottages to, in many
- cases, improve the insulation and upgrade the heat?
- 23 A. I'm sure some of that will happen. I
- don't know what, I wouldn't dare guess to what

Page 58

- degree.
 - 2 Q. For example, Little Neck might in the
 - context of a sale, be attractive to empty nesters as
 - a retirement home, right?
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You may
 - answer.
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. Do you know, currently there's
 - approximately 167 cottages?
 - 10 A. Yes.
 - **11** O. Is it exactly 167?
 - 12 A. I believe so.
 - 13 Q. How long has that been the number or how
 - 14 has that number changed in your lifetime?
 - 15 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Just one
 - question. You put two questions. 16
 - 17 Q. Why don't you answer whichever one you
 - want. I want to know what the --18
 - 19 A. I don't believe there's been any change --
 - 20 I have to take that back. There's, I believe, been
 - 21 two removed during my tenure.
 - 22 Q. As a Feoffee?
 - 23 A. As a Feoffee.
 - 24 Q. So during the time you have been a Feoffee

21 A. I've seen tanks.

18 A. Yes.

20 cottages?

22 Q. What other forms of heat do the cottages use?

- 24 A. None that I'm aware of, with one possible

Page 64

Page 61

- it's always been around 167?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Before you were a Feoffee was it also 167?
- 4 A. It may have been 169, only because I'm
- aware of two that are gone.
- Q. How far back have there been in the range
- of 167 plus or minus cottages at Little Neck, to
- your knowledge?
- A. I don't know. I can only say that when I
- was very young and lived on Great Neck in the 10
- summertime, that Little Neck always at a distance 11
- looked the same. 12
- 13 Q. Okay. So that was back in the forties?
- **15** Q. Do you have any sense of how old the
- cottages are?
- 17 A. No. They vary greatly.
- **18** Q. Some are new?
- 19 A. Some are new.
- 20 Q. How old are the older ones?
- 21 A. I don't know. I only know that by looking
- at them, they're quite old summer cottage.
- 23 Q. Is it --
- 24 A. Turn of the century, I guess.

- expected it to be, so if you could just summarize
- 2 for me what your role as a Feoffee entailed since
- you became a Feoffee in 1978. And if you want, we
- could break that down into two periods, between 1978
- and the mid 2000's when you became chairman what was
- your role? In other words, until you became
- chairman what was your role as a Feoffee?
- A. It was very minimal. It was discussions
- with Don Whiston or meetings with Don Whiston and
- 10 the rest of the Feoffees. Usually it was regarding
- 11 somebody's house, an addition, whether it was to be
- approved or not. There really weren't any other 12
- difficulties that I got involved with. And when I 13
- 14 became -- Well, somewhere along there I became vice
- chairman and the only time I acted as chairman was 15
- once when Don forgot a meeting. And when I became 16
- chairman, I became chairman really at the beginning 17
- 18 of the sewer project and that was because Don was
- 19 very uncomfortable with it, was also getting older
- 20 and he thought I would be much better suited to take
- that over. So I agreed to do it. 21
- Q. I'll come to your tenure as chairman, but 22
- you have now answered what your role was?
- 24 A. Yeah.

Page 62

- they got built, some of them, in the early twentieth
- century, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Are you aware that there was a recent

1 Q. The best of your understanding is that

- foreclosure sale out at Little Neck?
- 7 A. Yes.
- **8** Q. And which property was that?
- A. I can't tell you specifically, but I was
- there. 10
- 11 Q. You were there. Do you know who bought
- 12
- 13 A. I can't remember his name. I only know he
- has, I believe, two other places down there.
- **15** Q. And the person who bought it, was it your
- understanding that he was planning to knock down the
- improvements and rebuild?
- 18 A. No, no.
- 19 Q. What is your understanding as to what his
- intent is?
- 21 A. Allow his daughter to live there.
- 22 Q. After you became a Feoffee in the late
- seventies could you describe what your role
- entailed? I think you have told me already what you

- 1 Q. Up to that point?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. Which you have described as fairly
- minimal?
- 5 A. Yeah, yes.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Steve, is this a good
- time to take a break? 7
- 8 MR. PERRY: Yeah, either that or
- within a couple seconds. 9
- 10 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay.
- MR. PERRY: Let me just -- Let's 11
- take a break. 12
- (Brief recess 11:33 to 11:40 a.m.) 13
- 14 Q. At the time you became a Feoffee did you
- review any documents about the Feoffees? Did you 15
- review the will that set them up, anything like 16
- 17 that?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did you know when you joined the Feoffees
- that there were supposed to be seven of them?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. How did you find that out?
- 23 A. I was just told what the makeup was
- 24 supposed to be.

Page 68

Attorney General of Massachusetts 1 Q. And that makeup, to your understanding, 2 consisted of what? 3 A. Four life Feoffees and the four senior members of the board of selectmen.

7 O. Did he say three selectmen?

MR. SHEEHAN: Four.

6 A. Four life members.

5 Q. Did you say four? You meant three?

I say four? I'm sorry, I misspoke.

12 Q. Right. No problem. Did the three senior

18 Q. Did they participate at all between 1978

21 Q. How did they participate at the annual

23 A. It was, it was probably just a little

members of the board of selectmen in fact

9 A. What I meant to say, four life members,

three senior members of the board of selectmen. Did

participate in the affairs of the Feoffees between

the time you joined and the time that you became

1 Q. What other Feoffees did you discuss it

2 with?

Page 65

3 A. Well, Jerome, probably my uncle, Harry

Munro.

5 Q. And you told me that the Feoffees have an

annual meeting every year after you became a

Feoffee, right? 7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Were there other regular meetings of the

Feoffees in between the annual meetings between 1978

11 and 2000?

12 A. No.

13 Q. You said you would also have issues that

came up from time to time about an addition or the

15 like? 16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Would those be handled at meetings or

would those be handled some other way?

19 A. Some other way.

20 Q. So really, there were just, at the time

21 there was only one meeting a year?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Were minutes kept of those meetings?

24 A. Yes.

Page 66

discussion. They didn't really contribute anything.

1 Q. Did the three senior selectmen in fact go

2 to the annual meetings of the Feoffees between 1978

and 2000?

meeting?

chairman?

17 A. Not day to day.

and the year 2000?

20 A. Only at the annual meeting.

13

14 15

4 A. Not all the time. At least one did.

Usually two. It could be three. I think they

looked at it casually.

7 Q. Did you -- You're currently aware that

there's a provision in the will under which the

Feoffees were established that says that Little Neck

10 should not be sold?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. When did you first learn that there was

any kind of restriction in a will on the sale of

Little Neck?

15 A. Significant time ago. I mean, not last

year, not ten years, you know.

17 Q. You have known that?

18 A. I basically know that, yeah.

19 Q. How did you become aware of that

20 restriction?

21 A. Pardon?

22 Q. How did you become aware that there was

such a restriction?

24 A. Discussing it with other Feoffees.

1 Q. Were minutes keep of those meetings each

2 and every year?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Who kept the minutes?

5 A. The secretary, who gave them to the

chairman.

7 Q. Who served as secretary during the years

you were a Feoffee?

9 A. George Hayes was the secretary.

10 Q. After he left?

11 A. I think Jim Foley was the secretary.

12 Peter Foote was a secretary. I can't give you the

13 years. Peter Foote still is.

14 Q. Who kept the financial records of the

15 Feoffees after you joined?

16 A. Can you clarify what you mean by financial

17 records?

18 Q. The Feoffees were taking in rents during

the time you were a Feoffee?

20 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and

21 down.)

22 Q. And they were incurring expenses?

23 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and

down.) 24

Page 72

Page 69

- 1 Q. So there needed to be books and records of
- the Feoffees showing what was coming in and what was
- going out, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- **5** Q. Who kept those books and records?
- 6 A. Day to day, Peter Foote.
- 7 Q. After Peter Foote became a Feoffee he kept
- the day to day financial records?
- A. No. Clarification. After he became a
- Feoffee, Donald Whiston was still chairman, manager,
- treasurer, and so he had those financial, he had 11
- 12 those records.
- Q. So when you joined, it was Donald Whiston
- who was keeping the books and records of the
- Feoffees? 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And at some point he ceased to do so and
- Peter Foote began to do so?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And when was that, roughly?
- 21 A. Ten years ago, approximately.
- 22 Q. Part of the records that have been
- produced to us in this case include bills of sale
- when cottages are transferred. Was it the practice

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And the cottage owners needed to cooperate
- in that, because otherwise, for example, they might
- not be able to enter the premises if there were a
- police detail there?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Some of the bills of sale show prices for
- the cottages and others show consideration of \$1.
- Are you aware, are you familiar with that?
- 10 A. No, I'm not familiar with that.
- 11 O. And in some of the cases of the \$1
- transfers, these are presumably inter-family
- transfers from, to a trust or something like that?
- 14 A. I would assume that's probably the case.
- 15 Q. But there seem to be some others where it
- just says a dollar and the consideration and it 16
- seems to be third parties. Are there any records 17
- that would show what was actually paid for the sale 18
- of a cottage if the only record, if the record on 19
- 20 the bill of sale says a dollar?
- 21 A. I don't believe so.
- 22 Q. Could you tell me what records the
- 23 Feoffees currently have and how far back they go?
- 24 A. The records that are kept day to day are

- the collection of the rents, the collection of the
 - taxes, the collection of the wastewater fee. I
 - think that's pretty much it.
 - 4 Q. Well, you keep the bills of sale?
 - 5 A. Yeah.
 - 6 Q. What about expenditures?
 - 7 A. Well, yes, I'm sorry.
 - 8 Q. The records of expenditure?
 - 9 A. Yes.
 - 10 Q. How far back do those go?
 - 11 A. I don't know.
 - 12 Q. Are the records kept at this time on a
 - computer, QuickBooks, or something like that?
 - 14 A. Yes.
 - 15 Q. By Mr. Foote?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. Does he use QuickBooks?
 - 18 A. Yes.
 - 19 Q. How long have the records of Little Neck
 - 20 been kept on, in a computerized fashion?
 - 21 A. My estimate, three to four years.
 - 22 Q. Before that were they kept by hand?
 - 23 A. By hand.
 - 24 Q. Are there records of the -- Are the

- of the Feoffees to require that in the case of every
- transfer of ownership of a cottage the Feoffees be
- informed? 3
- 4 A. Could you repeat that? I just didn't hear
- you at the end.
- 6 Q. Did the Feoffees have an understanding
- with the tenants that the Feoffees were to be
- informed of any transfer of ownership of a cottage?
- 9 A. I believed that that was the case.
- 10 Q. And in fact, you told us that there were
- 11 lists of cottage owners?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And the lease details?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So it was important --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That the Feoffees know who the cottage
- 18 owners were? Let me finish the question before you
- answer. 19
- MR. SHEEHAN: You can not speak
- while Steve is asking the question. I know you 21
- wanted to be helpful, but it's --
- 23 Q. It was important that the Feoffees be
- aware of who the cottage owners were?

Page 73

- 1 minutes kept?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. How far back do the minutes go?
- 4 A. I don't know. I would expect forever to
- 5 the beginning.
- 6 Q. Who has those records?
- 7 A. I believe most of the records are in Bill
- 8 Sheehan's possession.
- 9 Q. Who had them before Mr. Sheehan got them?
- 10 A. Donald Whiston.
- 11 Q. What was your understanding when you
- joined the Feoffees concerning the tax status of the
- 13 Feoffees, if you had one?
- 14 A. My understanding, it was basically a tax
- exempt charitable trust going to, proceeds going to
- 16 the Town of Ipswich schools.
- 17 Q. Did it ever come to your attention as a
- 18 Feoffee that perhaps the Feoffees hadn't done
- 19 everything that needed to be done to insure that
- they had that tax status?
- 21 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You may
- 22 answer.
- 23 A. Yes, but I don't know the details.
- 24 Q. When did you first become aware that there

- 1 A. Dan Clasby.
 - 2 Q. Who served as the accountant for the
 - 3 Feoffees more than five years ago when the filings
 - 4 were not being made?
 - 5 A. I really can't remember.
 - 6 Q. Was it also Dan Clasby?
 - 7 A. I don't know who preceded Dan Clasby.
 - 8 Q. How long has Dan Clasby been doing this
 - 9 work?
 - 10 A. I can't -- I don't know.
 - 11 Q. Did any information ever come to your
 - attention indicating that Dan Clasby had had any
 - 13 professional issues?
 - 14 A. No.
 - 15 Q. You're not aware of him ever having
 - received any sanctions by any organizations?
 - 17 A. Not that I'm aware of.
 - **18** Q. Do the Feoffees have to file federal
 - 19 income tax returns?
 - 20 A. I don't believe so, no.
 - 21 Q. And it doesn't file?
 - 22 A. No.
 - 23 Q. Could you give me a history, please, of
 - how the rents being charged have changed over the

Page 74

- 1 could be such an issue?
- 2 A. Ten years ago, approximately.
- 3 Q. Has there been any change in the manner in
- 4 which the Feoffees have conducted themselves in
- 5 connection with meeting any reporting requirements?
- 6 A. Yes.
- **7** Q. What changes have taken place?
- 8 A. There's a form, I believe, that needs to
- 9 be filed with the state regarding a charitable
- 10 organization. I don't know the form number, but I
- 11 know that it was, it has been filed and past records
- 12 have been filed.
- 13 Q. When did the Feoffees begin filing the
- annual form that's required to be filed with the
- 15 Attorney General's office?
- 16 A. Again, my best guess would be five years
- 17 ago.
- 18 Q. And you say when those forms were filed,
- 19 they also filed some past --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Year forms?
- 22 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 23 Q. Who served as the accountant for the
- 24 Feoffees when those filings were made?

- 1 time that you have been a Feoffee?
- 2 A. Well, I'll give you the best summary that
- I can. In the earliest days the rents were, I
- 4 thought, comparable to other rents for summer
- 5 cottages in the area. I never tried to validate it,
- 6 but that was my opinion. And basically, that stayed
- 7 at that level until, until real estate really began
- 8 to increase in value and we got pressure from, the
- 9 particular person was Mr. Weatherall. I don't know
- 10 whether he was on the school committee at the time
- or not, to get up to current market rents.
- 12 Q. And this was occurring in the late
- 13 nineties?
- 14 A. Probably, yeah.
- 15 Q. And at the time that you were being
- 16 pressured to increase rents were they in the range
- 17 of 600, \$800 a year?
- 18 A. I think so, yeah, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. If you could continue then, please?
- 20 A. We agreed that we should try and get to
- 21 market value. We had no qualms about trying to do
- 22 that, so we enlisted LandVest for some professional
- 23 guidance about land values, rent prices and things
- 24 like that. And they provided us with various data

- 1 points. And we looked at where we wanted to go,
- 2 which was, I think, to probably a 5,000 level and
- 3 from where we were and spread it over five years.
- 4 In which case, Mr. Weatherall and perhaps his
- 5 associates were pleased that we took that action.
- 6 It was also the same time that we had run-away
- 7 inflation in the housing market.
- 8 We very quickly realized when we got
- 9 to the end of the five years we weren't going to be
- 10 where we thought we were going to be and we weren't
- sure what to do about it other than consult with
- 12 LandVest again.
- 13 Q. Right. And what happened with regard to
- rents after you got to the end of the five years,
- unich I believe was in around 2003?
- 16 A. Well, I can't, I can't give you the exact
- 17 timing of events, but based on recommendations from
- 18 LandVest as to what rents could be, that we could be
- 19 charging as much as 9,000 or \$10,000 a year, and but
- 20 they qualified that and said given the uncertainty
- of the DEP issue, which was very significant, that
- 22 we should charge less until that was entirely
- 23 resolved. And I think we kept the rents basically
- 24 where they were for that time and then tried to deal

- 1 Q. Right.
- 2 A. They weren't going to pay. And we also
- 3 had offered them a lease and they just, they just
- 4 balked at everything, basically.
- 5 Q. Who were you using as your lawyer for
- 6 purposes of negotiating or determining the terms of
- 7 that lease? Who drafted it?
- 8 A. Donald Greenough.
- 9 Q. And that pretty much sums up what the
- 10 history of the rents has been?
- 11 A. I think so, yeah.
- 12 Q. And during, during the pendency of the
- 13 litigation the tenants were paying for seasonal
- 14 occupancy of roughly \$5,200 a year and putting some
- 15 additional money in escrow?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And year round occupancy being \$6,000 and
- 18 putting some money in escrow?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And that was those who refused to sign
- 21 leases?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And those who signed leases were paying
- **24** \$9,700 for seasonal use?

Pagė 78

- 1 more with the DEP issues. The landowners were aware
- 2 somehow they were going to have to contribute to
- 3 that, so ---
- 4 Q. I'm sorry?
- 5 A. No.
- **6** Q. Who was aware they had to contribute?
- 7 A. The landowner or, I'm sorry.
- 8 O. The cottage owner?
- 9 A. The tenants.
- 10 Q. The tenants?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- **12** Q. And cottage owners?
- 13 A. Yes, and cottage owners.
- 14 Q. Understood that they were going to have to
- 15 contribute toward the cost of constructing the
- 16 wastewater facility that benefited them?
- 17 A. Yes, yes.
- 18 Q. Go ahead.
- 19 A. With the cost being now fixed, that in the
- 20 ground, we consulted with LandVest and looked at the
- 21 fact that we could be charging, say, \$10,000 a year.
- 22 I don't think that's the number we used. I think it
- 23 was 9 something. In which case we got, basically, a
- 24 revolution.

- 1 A. Yes.
- **2** Q. Or \$10,800 per year for year round?
- з A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Has there been any change made to any of
- 5 the rents being charged to the lessees or are we
- 6 still at 9,700 and 10,800?
- 7 A. No. no.
- 8 Q. No changes?
- 9 A. I'm certain that they're still the same
- 10 right now.
- 11 Q. Have any of the lessees been told that
- 12 they're going to face a rent increase prior to the
- resolution of the case that we have right now?
- 14 A. They have not been told, but they have
- 15 asked.
- 16 Q. And there's different forms of leases out
- 17 there, right?
- 18 A. Well, no, I think there's only -- Well,
- 19 there's really two.
- **20** Q. Okay. So there's one from 2006?
- 21 A. A real lease.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. And now there's tenants at will.
- 24 Q. But the leases that have actually been

Page 81

- 1 signed, were you aware that there was more than one
- 2 form of lease depending on when they were signed?
- 3 A. No, not really.
- 4 Q. Is there any intent to raise the rents
- 5 under the existing leases while the probate court
- 6 litigation is going on?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And you have received inquiries about that
- **9** from lessees?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Who's received those inquiries, you?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What have you said?
- 14 A. Minimal amount. That we'd like to see the
- 15 court case resolved first.
- 16 Q. Yeah.
- 17 A. Their concern was the lease apparently
- 18 says that there could be a significant increase.
- 19 Could be.
- 20 Q. Right.
- 21 A. Okay. There isn't a guarantee that that's
- 22 going to happen. The lease also, to encourage them
- 23 to sign the lease, has an out clause.
- 24 Q. Right.

- 1 A. Yeah.
 - 2 Q. Fairly small, few hundred dollars a year,
 - 3 right?
 - 4 A. When I became a Feoffee, yeah, right.
- 5 Q. Were the rents reviewed every year at a
- 6 meeting or were they just left in place for years?
- 7 A. They were reviewed at a meeting.
- **8** Q. The annual meeting?
- 9 A. Sometimes prior to the annual meeting.
- 10 Q. Did each year's minutes reflect what the
- rent would be for the coming year?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Was it a calendar year or some kind of
- 14 fiscal year?
- 15 A. Fiscal year, I believe.
- 16 Q. The rents would run from, would be set for
- a period from July 1 through June 30?
- 18 A. Yes, I believe that's correct.
- 19 Q. And you talked about a process of trying
- 20 to raise the rents over a five year period. Do you
- 21 recall that that five year period was from 1998 to
- 22 2003?
- 23 A. It sounds correct, yeah, yes.
- 24 Q. And you had become aware by no later than

Page 82

- 1 A. If they want to get out of the lease, you
- 2 know, which made it more palatable to sign the
- з lease.
- 4 Q. Right. Have rents always been charged to
- 5 tenants during the period that you have been a
- 6 Feoffee?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And were they always paid on an every six
- 9 month basis?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Was that the practice in recent history?
- 12 A. I thought, based on now, calling this
- 13 recent history is that they were paid quarterly.
- 14 Q. Okay. At the time prior to the litigation
- with the tenants were they paying quarterly or every
- 16 six months?
- 17 A. I don't remember.
- 18 Q. One or the other?
- 19 A. Yeah, yes.
- 20 Q. How were those rents set? Were the rents
- 21 set every year?
- 22 A. Historically, yes.
- 23 Q. And when you became a Feoffee, the rents
- 24 were at a certain amount?

- 1 1998 that the rents that were being charged were
- 2 well below what fair market rent would be?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you were aware that they had, for some
- 5 period of time before 1998, been below fair market
- 6 value?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. But you can't put an exact date on how
- 9 long the rents have been below fair market value?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Sometime at least in the nineties?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What did you consider fair market rent to
- 14 mean?
- 15 A. When we were looking to accomplish that
- 16 I'm not sure I looked at it the way that I've looked
- at it in most recent years, which was more of a
- 18 return of investment type of look at the property.
- 19 Relied heavily on recommendation and documentation
- 20 from LandVest with regard to things like that.
- 21 Q. Are you familiar generally with the value of the cottage improvements at Little Neck?
- 23 A. In general.
- 24 Q. Do you have a sense of what it would cost

Page 85

- 1 to build improvements to replicate those cottages?
- 2 In other words, the replacement cost of those
- 3 cottages, do you have a sense of that?
- 4 A. On the average, I'd say 300,000, 350,000
- 5 to replicate them.
- 6 Q. Do you have a sense of what the value of
- 7 the improvements is from the standpoint of
- 8 replacement cost less depreciation?
- 9 A. No, other than that I would think that
- 10 depreciation would be basically zero at this time
- 11 for a lot of them.
- 12 Q. For the new ones? For the newer ones, you
- 13 mean?
- 14 A. No, not for the newer ones, for the old
- 15 ones.
- 16 Q. You're saying the depreciation wouldn't be
- zero, you're saying that the value would be fully
- 18 depreciated?
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. Have you ever looked at the assessment for
- 21 the improvements?
- 22 A. Occasionally, yes.
- 23 Q. And those assessments are based on some
- 24 notion of replacement cost less depreciation?

- 1 A. I'm just thinking. No, I would say.
- 2 Q. Other things equal, if you start raising
- 3 the rent that the tenants have to pay, doesn't that
- 4 tend to have an effect of lowering what people would
- 5 pay for the right to occupy, to own a cottage?
- 6 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 7 A. In general, yes.
- 8 Q. So when you saw cottages selling for
- 9 substantially more than the cottages themselves were
- worth, did that indicate that there might be room to
- 11 raise the rent?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. You mentioned that a consultant was
- retained to assist the Feoffees with regard to these
- **16** issues of rent?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you said it was a company called
- 19 LandVest?
- 20 A. LandVest.
- 21 Q. Who made the, who selected LandVest to be
- 22 the consultant?
- 23 A. It was recommended by Donald Greenough.
- 24 Q. What did you do as a Feoffee to determine

Page 86

- 1 A. I don't know, because the assessment is
- 2 done by the town.
- 3 Q. Were you, did you become aware during the
- 4 time you have been a Feoffee that cottages were
- 5 selling for more than the value of the cottages
- 6 themselves?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. When did you become aware of that?
- 9 A. I believe fifteen or twenty years ago.
- 10 Q. And was it your understanding that if
- 11 tenants were charged a fair market rent, the
- 12 cottages would then be expected to sell for an
- amount closer to their actual value?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You may
- 15 answer.
- 16 A. Would you repeat that again?
- 17 Q. Did you understand that there should be a
- 18 relationship between the rent on the one hand and
- what the cottages sell for on the other?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Note my objection. Go
- 21 ahead.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Pardon?
- MR. SHEEHAN: I'm just objecting for
- 24 the record, but go ahead.

- 1 whether the consultant from LandVest was, had the
- 2 best qualifications to take on that role?
- 3 A. I guess I looked at it from a reputation
- 4 point of view. They're a respected company with
- 5 regard to land sales, assessments, things like that.
- 6 Q. Do you know whether any of the individuals
- 7 at LandVest are qualified? Do you know anything
- 8 about the qualifications they have to appraise real
- 9 property?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Was there a particular individual at
- 12 LandVest that you worked with?
- 13 A. Yes
- 14 Q. Who was that? Jim Monahan?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I don't want to embarrass you.
- 17 A. That's okay.
- 18 Q. No, I mean, you know, obviously, I'm sure
- 19 if I gave you enough time you'd come up with a name.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Jim Monahan was the one that was mostly
- 22 working on this assignment?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. I saw a report by a fellow by the name of

Page 89

- 1 Slater Anderson. Do you know who that is?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Do you know anything about Jim Monahan's
- 4 credentials as an appraiser?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Did you read his reports and try to
- 7 understand his methodology?
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9** Q. When did you do that?
- 10 A. Soon after the report was presented to us.
- 11 Q. And so you read the report that he did in
- 12 1998 and subsequent reports over the years?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And did you in fact understand his
- 15 methodology?
- 16 A. I felt reasonably well that I did.
- 17 Q. How did Mr Monahan determine what the fair
- 18 market value of lots at Little Neck was?
- 19 A. I don't really remember how he did it.
- 20 Q. Let's take his most recent appraisal, the
- one he did in 2010. And you may recall that he went
- 22 through a process where he set values for each lot
- and then did an analysis of what a third party would
- pay to buy the land in bulk and sell them off to

- 1 Q. Did you think that those sales of cottages
- 2 could tell you what the land underneath the cottages
- 3 was worth?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Why not?
- 6 A. Because with the demographics changed and
- wealthy people coming into the picture, some people
- 8 will pay in excess of fair market value to get what
- 9 they want or what they think they want, which skews
- 10 that kind of thinking. So I think it's dangerous to
- just rely on the price paid as a way to determine
- real value. If they paid \$500,000 for a cottage
- that probably is worth less than 100,000 as a
- 14 building, has no basement or anything else, they're
- 15 buying it for another reason. They want to be close
- 16 to the water. Maybe they can see the water. In one
- 17 case, they couldn't -- Well, they could see the
- 18 water a little bit.
- 19 Q. And they still paid --
- 20 A. And the question was why, why would you
- 21 pay that? So using that to determine values is, to
- 22 say the least, extremely difficult.
- 23 Q. Right. Well, let me add an additional
- 24 difficulty to the problem. When people bought

Page 90

- 1 tenants, right? Do you remember that?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. I want to start with the first part of
- 4 that, which is how Mr Monahan went about trying to
- 5 determine what the individual lot values was. Do
- 6 you have an understanding of what he did?
- 7 A. No, I don't.
- 8 Q. Did you read his report?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are you aware that there's been sales of
- cottages at Little Neck over the past five years?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And do you know what kinds of prices the
- 14 cottages sold at at Little Neck over the past
- 15 five years?
- 16 A. It varied. Some were very high in
- 17 relation to the market and some were probably very
- 18 low. I believe those were two auctioned places.
- 19 Q. So there were sales of the cottages
- 20 themselves?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. That ranged from something below 200,000
- 23 to in excess of 500,000?
- 24 A. Close to 500,000, if not in excess, yeah.

- cottages in the past five years for these amounts,
- 2 they were also required to sign leases with the
- 3 Feoffees, weren't they?
- 4 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 5 down.)
- 6 Q. And these leases said they'd have to pay
- 7 9,700 a year for seasonal use or 10,800 for year
- 8 reasoned?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is that all correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So when people were paying \$500,000 for a
- cottage worth under \$100,000, they were also
- agreeing to pay rent in addition to that of 9,700 a
- 15 year, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And that would be additional value that
- 18 goes to the land, wouldn't it?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 20 A. I don't know that I would qualify it quite
- 21 that way.
- 22 Q. Well, in other words, the amount that they
- paid for the cottage in excess of the cottage's
- value doesn't tell you the full picture of what the

- 1 land is worth, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Because they're also going to have to pay
- 4 rent?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So you'd have to capture what that rental
- 7 is worth and add it, capitalize it in some way to
- 8 get a value, wouldn't you?
- 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 10 A. I believe so, but I never looked at it
- 11 that way.
- 12 Q. And do you know why -- You don't know what
- 13 the methodology Mr. Monahan used was in that regard,
- 14 do you?
- 15 A. No, I don't.
- 16 Q. You were relying on him being an expert?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Monahan in fact
- based his values at Little Neck in his most recent
- 20 appraisal on these sales that took place over the
- 21 past five or six years?
- 22 A. I'm not aware of that.
- 23 Q. Prior to 1998 when Mr. Weatherall was
- 24 getting involved and perhaps he was -- Let me

- 1 market value had been determined to be in 1998, was
 - that announced to the tenants?
 - 3 A. I don't believe so.
 - 4 Q. When was an announcement made to the
 - 5 tenants that rents were going up over a five year
 - 6 period, if you recall?
 - 7 A. I don't recall.
 - 8 Q. It wasn't kept secret, right?
 - 9 A. No.
 - 10 Q. So those tenants who bought their cottages
 - after 1998 knew that the good old days of low rents
 - were not going to be in existence any more, right?
 - 13 A. Yes.
 - 14 Q. Did Mr. Whiston have any, did he express
 - any concerns or objections to raising the rents at
 - 16 Little Neck so that they would reach fair market
 - 17 value?
 - 18 A. No.
 - 19 Q. Did Mr. Whiston ever, did you ever hear
 - 20 Mr. Whiston say that rents at Little Neck were going
 - 21 to be kept low so that they'd just basically covered
 - operating costs?
 - 23 A. No, I never heard that. I never heard him
 - 24 say that.

Page 94

- 1 withdraw that. I don't know exactly when he was
- 2 getting involved, but --
- Prior to 1998 when there was a
- 4 determined effort to raise the rents to get them
- 5 toward fair market value, had there been any
- 6 disagreement before that among the Feoffees as to
- 7 what the rent should be?
- 8 A. I would have to say no.
- 9 Q. And after 1998 do you recall any internal
- dissent among the Feoffees concerning what the rent
- 11 should be?
- 12 A. No, because we were relying on expert data
- and we didn't disagree with it.
- 14 Q. Were there any, was it publicly announced
- at any time to the tenants that rents were going to
- be moved toward fair market value?
- 17 A. The only time I remember it being talked
- 18 about going to fair market value was when we
- 19 determined it was going to go up over a period of
- 20 five years to fair market value and then,
- 21 unfortunately, in five years it got terribly skewed.
- 22 Q. Right, but in 1998 when the Feoffees
- 23 decided that they were going to move the rent to, so
- that in 2003 tenants were paying what the fair

- 1 Q. Are you aware of some of the statements
- 2 that have been attributed to him by tenant leaders?
- 3 A. By tenant, pardon?
- 4 Q. The tenant leaders.
- 5 A. Tenant leaders. Yes.
- 6 Q. For example -- How are you aware of those
- 7 statements that have been attributed to him?
- 8 A. I guess I'm aware through statements
- 9 they've made differentiating fair market rent with
- 10 the term fair rent, which they're differentiating
- 11 and I wouldn't. I --
- 12 Q. Well, for example, the other day we had
- depositions on separate days of DiSalvo and Gottlieb
- 14 and they gave some extensive testimony about various
- conversations they say they had had with Mr.
- 16 Whiston. Have you heard about those conversations
- before they testified about them?
- 18 A. No, no.
- 19 Q. So I presume you may have heard about that
- 20 from Mr. Sheehan?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. After the testimony, but --
- 23 A. Yeah, he, yes.
- 24 Q. So you hadn't heard about those particular

Page 100

Page 97

- claims before then?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. And you don't know personally what Mr.
- Whiston may have said to tenants at any given
- meeting?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. During the time that you were a Feoffee
- was he authorized to make promises on behalf of the
- Feoffees about what rents would be in the future?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And that wasn't within the purview of the
- 12 chairman's role, was it?
- 13 A. No, that should be the entire board.
- 14 Q. And the practice was that the rents would
- 15 be determined each year?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You mention that Mr. Weatherall had a
- 18 concern about the rent levels, right?
- 19 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 20 down.)
- 21 Q. Yes?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Did anybody else outside of the Feoffees
- express concerns that the rents were too low?

- 1 Neck?
 - 2 A. Not in my opinion.
 - 3 Q. When was the wastewater system completed
 - such that tenants could hook up to it?
 - 5 A. I'm hard pressed to give you the date, you
 - know, the actual date.
 - 7 Q. Sometime prior to the litigation?
 - 8 A. Yes.
 - 9 O. Prior to?
 - 10 A. I believe so.
 - 11 Q. Before you sent the --
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 O. Leases to the tenants?
 - 14 A. Yes.
 - **15** Q. And said you should sign them?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Alex, wait until the 17
 - question is complete.
 - 19 Q. And was it completed sometime soon before
 - 20 these new rents were set and the leases were sent
 - 21 out to the tenants?
 - 22 A. I believe so.
 - 23 Q. In order for a tenant to take advantage of
 - a wastewater system, they had to be connected to it,

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And was that part of the wastewater
- 4 project, to connect each of the tenants?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Was any consideration given to requiring
- the tenants to sign a document taking responsibility
- for payments in order to be hooked up to the
- wastewater system?
- 10 A. I don't believe so.
- 11 Q. Was that a mistake, in retrospect, not to
- 12 have done that?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 13
- 14 A. Since this is the first time anybody has
- ever said that, I would say probably, yes.
- 16 Q. Because you could have at that time said,
- Look, if you want to be part of the wastewater 17
- 18 system, we need to have your commitment to pay for
- it in some fashion, either through rent or a special 19
- charge, right? 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And of course, you couldn't continue them
- to, you wouldn't have been allowed to let them just
- keep on discharging water into their cesspools if

- 1 A. I think when it was first brought up it 2 was Mr. Weatherall and Mr. Traverso together.
- 3 Q. Was Mr. Traverso a public official when he
- 4 first raised these concerns?
- 5 A. I do not remember.
- 6 Q. At some point he began to serve on the
- school committee?
- 8 A. Yes, he did.
- 9 Q. And at some point there was a town report
- 10 concerning the Feoffees, do you remember that?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 O. You have never --
- 13 A. Excuse me.
- 14 Q. Yes, go ahead.
- 15 A. I'm wrong in what I just said, because
- when you said town report, I was thinking of the
- town report that comes out every spring. It was not 17
- in there. Yes, there was a report generated that 18
- looked at the Feoffees, the structure, and various 19
- 20 things that we did and so on. Yeah, I'm aware of
- that report, yes, I am.
- 22 Q. Has there been any time since 1990 that
- you're aware of when in fact the tenants have paid
- fair market rent for their real estate at Little

- they didn't sign, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. But if you didn't allow them to occupy
- with an illegal system, you could have put that
- choice to them, either sign or else, right?
- 6 A. Possibly. Because we had the DEP looking
- over us to clean up the entire area. So --
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 A. I'm not sure the DEP -- I'm just thinking
- a little bit out loud, which I'm not supposed to.
- The DEP insisted that we clean up the entire area, 11
- not just part of it. 12
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. So I think differentiating, as you
- suggested, may have been impossible.
- **16** Q. Unless the arrangement with the DEP was
- that those that weren't hooked up wouldn't be 17
- allowed to occupy, and therefore there would be no 18
- issue? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. But you weren't expecting the tenants to
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. You thought the relationships were good?

- appropriate for 2006 when they were imposed?
- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 Q. By the way, you would agree that the fair
- market rent for lots at Little Neck technically
- varies pretty markedly between lots?
- 7 Q. And yet, the rents have been charged
- historically on a flat basis for all tenants?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And is it fair to say that that's because
- tenants have strongly advocated for that kind of
- uniformity?
- 13 A. Yes, actually.
- 14 Q. And even now, in some of the new leases
- there's some stratification built into the rents, is
- that right?
- 17 A. I'm not sure.
- **18** Q. But if you were to stratify the rents
- based on fair market value of the underlying lots,
- the stratification would be quite severe, wouldn't 20
- 21 it?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 22
- 23 Q. I can --
- 24 A. Yes.

Page 102

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. How much of the problem do you attribute
- to individuals who asserted leadership for the
- tenants?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 6 A. Would you ask that again, please?
- 7 Q. Well, when you assess the situation in
- your own mind, do you believe that the rebellion
- that took place was largely festered by a few
- disgruntled individuals? 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And who are those, is that Mr. Gottlieb,
- Mr. DiSalvo?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. When the Feoffees set rents at the rate of
- 9,700 seasonal and 10,800 year round were you
- satisfied that these were fair market value rents? 17
- 18 A. Yes, at the time.
- 19 Q. Have you changed your mind?
- 20 A. No. The problem is how do you determine
- the rent as time goes on? What do you tie it to?
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. As an index.
- 24 Q. So you're saying that they were

- 1 Q. It wouldn't be just a matter of Tenant A
- pays 8% more than Tenant B. There would be a pretty
- 3 large difference, right?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 5 A. That, yes, that's what I would say.
- 6 Q. And again, the reason that that hasn't
- been done historically is because of the tenants'
- expressed desire, at least the majority of them, to
- 9 have the rents be fairly flat?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Among all of the tenants?
- 12 A. Yes, that's our understanding.
- 13 Q. Now, if the Feoffees were able to collect
- and the tenants were paying rents at what you
- described as fair market rates at least as of 2006,
- would there be any problem with operating Little
- Neck in a manner that was profitable and allowed 17
- 18 substantial sums to go to the schools?
- 19 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 20 A. No, nothing that's obvious.
- 21 Q. And you would --
- 22 A. At this point in time. 23 Q. And you would expect the rents, as you
- have described, to actually increase over time,

Page 105

- 1 wouldn't you?
- 2 A. Possibly. I would have always up until a
- 3 year or so ago and then I'm not sure any more where
- 4 the values of things are going.
- 5 Q. Well, if there's increases generally in
- 6 the cost of living and inflation, you'd expect the
- 7 rents to keep up with that, wouldn't you?
- 8 A. Yes, in general.
- 9 Q. And then you may or may not have
- additional appreciation on top of inflation,
- depending on the market for oceanfront land, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So the existing investment in Little Neck
- on a long-term basis you would expect to at least
- 15 keep up with inflation, wouldn't you, on the basis
- 16 of rents?
- 17 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Under the proposed sale of Little Neck,
- the tenants will be allowed to use their cottages on
- 21 a year round basis, right?
- 22 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 23 Q. If you were trying to compare a rental
- 24 analysis to a sale analysis, would it be fair to

- 1 value?
 - 2 A. Yes.
 - 3 Q. In fact, you said that the purpose of
 - 4 changing the ownership was to maximize revenues,
 - 5 right?
 - 6 A. That's a misunderstanding. Changing
 - 7 ownership?
 - 8 Q. At Great Neck.
 - 9 A. Selling property?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Yes, selling it, yes.
- 12 Q. Yes, that's what I meant. Have you
- 13 reviewed various appraisals that have been performed
- 14 at Little Neck by different companies that have been
- 15 involved for different parties?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. You have looked at the LandVest
- 18 appraisals?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you read the appraisal that Collier,
- 21 Meredith and Grew did?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Did you review the appraisal done by
- 24 Peterson LaChance?

Page 106

- 1 look at year round rental for all of the properties,
- 2 as well?
- 3 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 MR. PERRY: Let's go off the record
- 6 a second.
- 7 (Discussion off the record.)
- 8 (Lunch recess 12:37 to 1:30 p.m.)
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12 13
- AFTERNOON SESSION
- 14 Q. You had testified earlier that at Great
- 15 Neck where you had been part of the corporation that
- owned the property, ultimately those lots were sold
- off lot by lot?
- 18 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 19 down.)
- 20 Q. To either those who owned the cottages or
- 21 others, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And in each of those instances your family
- 24 company attempted to sell the land for a fair market

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. For the tenants?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Have you reviewed the appraisal done by
- 5 Steve Foster of Lincoln Properties?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. In the appraisal done by LandVest in 2010
- 8 do you recall that it was a two-part process, as
- 9 we've previously discussed, in which he valued the
- individual lots, came to an aggregate figure, but
- 11 then did a discounted cash flow analysis, do you
- 12 recall that?
- 13 A. No, I don't really recall it.
- 14 Q. Do you have an understanding of what that
- 15 methodology was where?
- 16 A. Yes, I've heard of it before, yeah, yes.
- 17 Q. In your own words what do you understand
- **18** that methodology to be?
- 19 A. Well, I only say that because on a piece
- 20 of land that we owned, he, a large piece of land,
- 21 LandVest appraised it by agreement between us and
- 22 the town and he wanted to discount the value because
- 23 it was a large acreage not subdivided, so on. And
- 24 he just said that was standard operating practice.

Page 109

- 1 We didn't accept it, but -- So, yeah, I'm not
- 2 familiar with that, but I am sort of familiar with
- 3 what you're saying.
- 4 Q. All right. Well, do you have an
- 5 understanding that there's a difference between the
- 6 value that LandVest says is the fair market value of
- 7 the property as a whole compared to the value of
- 8 each individual lot when you add them up?
- 9 A. Yeah, I have some understanding of that,
- 10 certainly.
- 11 Q. And what is the price of the sale to the
- tenants based upon? Is it based upon what the
- individual lots the tenants are getting or is it
- based upon the land viewed in the aggregate?
- 15 A. I don't know what the original basis was
- 16 to start coming up with that value.
- 17 Q. At the end of the sales transaction with
- 18 the tenants if it were to be approved, each tenant
- 19 will end up owning an individual lot, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, as a condo lot.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Note my objection.
- 22 Q. As part of a condominium?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. They'll own a cottage and they'll have

- 1 Q. And why do those numbers of 38 to 42
 - 2 million as the value of the individual lots
- 3 aggregated have no validity?
- 4 A. Because that's with it all subdivided and
- 5 a condo creation.
- 6 Q. Right.
- 7 A. That isn't what's being sold.
- 8 Q. Now, if the sale goes through at a price
- 9 of, stated price of \$29 million before one accounts
- 10 for any rebates and so forth, the tenants will
- 11 receive at the end of the day subdivided condominium
- 12 type units, right?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 14 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 15 Q. So at the end of the sale process what
- will be the aggregate value of all the lots that the
- 17 tenants own?
- 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 19 A. Well, it should be 29 million minus
- 20 whatever the other things that are taken away, debt,
- 21 costs of surveying, things like that.
- 22 Q. Well, once the transaction takes place a
- 23 tenant would be able to sell his condominium unit,
- both the interest in the land and the interest in

Page 110

- 1 rights to use the common areas with others?
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 3 Q. Is that right?
- 4 A. I would assume.
- **5** Q. Do you believe that each individual tenant
- 6 should have to pay to the Feoffees the fair market
- 7 value of the individual lot being purchased?
- 8 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 9 A. No, no.
- 10 Q. And why not?
- 11 A. Well, we negotiated a value separately
- than that kind of methodology.
- 13 Q. And under the value you negotiated are the
- tenants on average paying for their individual lots
- a fair market value figure for that lot?
- 16 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. Have you, are you aware that according to
- 19 the various appraisals the value of the individual
- 20 lots when aggregated comes to something between, in
- 21 the range of 38 to 42 million?
- 22 A. I'm trying to think of how to answer. I
- 23 guess I'm aware of the number. I don't think it has
- 24 any validity.

- 1 the cottage at fair market value, right?
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 3 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 4 down.
- 5 Q. Do you have an understanding of what those
- 6 fair market values will look like immediately after
- 7 the sale?
- 8 A. No, I don't.
- 9 Q. If you add up all the fair market values
- 10 of the condominium units immediately after the sale
- will they be closer to 40 million or 29 million?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 Q. Do you think that's a relevant question to
- 14 ask?
- 15 A. Relevant for you, yes.
- 16 Q. Not relevant for you?
- 17 A. Well, I don't know what the market will
- 18 determine.
- 19 Q. If in fact the value of all the
- 20 condominium units when you add them up after the
- sale is in the range of 40 million, do you still
- 22 think that a sale at the stated price of 29 million
- 23 is a fair deal for the Feoffees?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

Page 113

- 1 A. Yes, yes, I do.
- **2** O. Why is that?
- 3 A. Well, we have a situation where 80% of the
- tenants down there basically refuse to pay the rent
- that we set out, sued us and -- Let's see, I think
- I'm getting off track. 6
- Actually, I'm not sure how to
- rationalize it. I'm not sure I want to sit here and
- think about it and try and give it to you. I may
- try and answer it later as I think about it while 10
- you're talking. 11
- 12 Q. All right. You mention that the tenants
- 13 had sued you, sued the Feoffees?
- 14 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- down.)
- 16 Q. Yes?
- 17 A. Yes.
- **18** Q. Was it your understanding that any of the
- tenants were seeking to go after you, after your
- personal assets? 20
- 21 A. The legal documents said that. I don't
- 22 really believe that's the case.
- 23 Q. Did the Feoffees ever have any insurance
- to protect them against claims made against the

- 1 cover the suit anyway.
- 2 Q. So let me clarify the question I'm asking
- 3 you. Once you were sued --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Not having any insurance --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. Was that a concern to you, that you might
- be personally liable?
- 9 A. I'm trying to figure out how to answer.
- 10 The answer is no, on some other suit in the future,
- although it has crossed our mind.
- 12 Q. I really meant on the --
- 13 A. Existing?
- 14 Q. On the existing lawsuit after it was filed
- against you, did that concern you that tenants were
- making claims that you understood to be against you, 16
- 17 personally?
- 18 A. Well, yes, sure.
- 19 Q. Okay. And why were you concerned?
- 20 A. The possibility was that we could be
- 21 individually liable.
- 22 Q. Is part of the settlement with the
- tenants, if approved by the court, that you deal
- with that personal liability by getting any claims

Page 114

Page 116

- 1 Feoffees?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Were you concerned about potential
- personal liability from the tenants' lawsuit?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 O. Why not?
- 7 A. Well, the relationship between the
- Feoffees and the tenants was, you might say, a kind
- of benign relationship over the long period. It was
- only at this end that the sewer and the substantial 10
- increase in rent that, you know, tension was 11
- 12 created, and not only tension with us, but tension
- amongst the people down there. So the quiet place 13
- that existed that you'd never worry about has
- changed. It has. 15
- 16 Q. Right. So --
- 17 A. As I said, the demographics have changed.
- 18 Q. So I guess what I'm asking is why wouldn't
- you have been concerned, then, with the demographics 19
- 20 changing and having been sued in this lawsuit, with
- possible potential personal liability? 21
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 22
- 23 A. I don't think we expected to be sued. And
- obviously, when we were sued, any insurance wouldn't | 24 Q. Or you could call it \$26,000,000 purchase

- 1 released?
- 2 A. I believe so, yes.
- 3 Q. Is that part of the reason why you entered
- into the settlement?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. You mentioned in your testimony that
- you're not aware of any period of time since the
- nineties when the tenants have paid an amount that
- you believe to be a fair market rent, do you recall
- 10 testifying to that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If the settlement agreement is approved
- 13 will the Feoffees end up collecting fair market
- rents for the period from 2007 through the date of 14
- sale? 15
- 16 A. Not in addition to the 29 million. The 29
- 17 million, I believe, includes that.
- 18 Q. Right. So if we're referring to it as the
- \$29 million purchase price, then there's a few
- 20 million dollars in rents that will not have been
- 21 collected at what you think is fair market value,
- right? 22
- 23 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

Min-U-Script®

Page 117

- 1 price and say you're collecting the rents, is that
- 2 basically right?
- 3 A. I look at it that it really is a
- 4 \$26,000,000 sale that was agreed upon twice by the
- 5 school committee and that the differential
- 6 accommodates back rent and things like that.
- 7 Q. Back rent and the rebates to the lessees?
- 8 A. Yeah, yes.
- 9 Q. Things along that -- and things like that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Will you agree with me that since there's
- been no history of collecting fair market rents from
- the tenants at Little Neck, we have no history
- 14 showing what contributions can be made to the
- schools based on the collection of fair market
- 16 rents?
- 17 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 18 A. Would you please repeat that?
- 19 Q. You have testified that there's no history
- 20 of having collected fair market rents by the
- 21 Feoffees that you know of, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. By the same token, therefore, there's no
- 24 history of contributions to the schools based on the

- 1 is willing to pay to own the property in question,
- 2 right?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. So in the case of the lots on River Road
- 5 and Cliff Road, would you agree that the fair market
- 6 value of those lots is very substantially higher
- 7 than the amount to be paid for them under the
- 8 settlement agreement?
- 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 10 A. I'm just thinking about the settlement
- 11 agreement itself. Well, you're taking pieces out of
- 12 the aggregate settlement agreement. Do I think
- 13 those are worth more as separated like that where
- 14 they'd be able to be sold? Yes.
- 15 Q. Well, once the transaction, if the
- transaction were approved, the owners of those lots
- 17 could turn around and sell them the next day, right?
- 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 19 Q. Well, if the transaction were approved and
- 20 the condominium were formed and the sales under the
- 21 settlement agreement to the tenants take place,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 24 down.)

Page 118

- 1 collection of fair market rents, right?
- 2 A. Well, yes.
- 3 Q. Which of the lots at Little Neck do you
- 4 think are the most valuable ones?
- 5 A. My opinion is the one, are the ones out on
- 6 the bluff that look out onto Ipswich Bay.
- 7 Q. Are those on River Street, do you know?
- 8 A. Some are on Cliff Road and some are on, I
- 9 believe that piece of road is River Road.
- 10 Q. River Road. And will you agree that the
- value of those individual lots on River Road and
- 12 Cliff Road are substantially higher than \$200,000
- 13 each?
- 14 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 15 A. My estimate is that they would be.
- **16** Q. They may be worth on a stand alone basis
- **17** 400,000 or 500,000 or more?
- 18 A. I've seen people pay that kind of money
- 19 for small pieces of land, but they seem to have no
- 20 regard for what they're buying -- I mean, what
- 21 they're paying. I mean, if you got tens of millions
- 22 of dollars in the bank and you decide you want that
- 23 piece of land, then you got to pay whatever it is.
- 24 Q. And fair market value is whatever somebody

- 1 Q. As soon as those sales take place, the
- 2 unit owners would be able to turn around and sell
- 3 the lots the next day, right?
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 5 A. I believe so.
- 6 Q. And in the case of those who are buying at
- 7 River Road and Cliff Road, they could immediately
- 8 derive substantial profits?
- 9 A. That's possible, yes.
- 10 Q. Well, I want to ask you about this issue
- of whether we should be looking at the value of the
- 12 property in the aggregate versus the value of
- individual lots. The transaction under the
- 14 settlement agreement calls for a conversion to
- 15 condominiums and then virtually all of the cottage
- owners have agreed to buy individual lots, right, is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. There's not going to be any sell-off over
- 20 a period of years, right?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. In fact, instead, what will happen is that
- 23 after the condominium is formed, shortly thereafter
- 24 virtually all of the lots will be owned by current

Page 124

Page 121

- 1 cottage owners, right?
- 2 A. I believe so, yeah.
- 3 Q. So why wouldn't it be appropriate in
- looking at the price to be paid to evaluate what
- each individual lot owner will get in terms of his
- own lot and adding those up together for the sale
- price? 7
- 8 A. Well, that isn't how it's being sold.
- It's being sold in its entirety, willing buyer,
- willing seller. 10
- 11 Q. So you're pricing the entire property on
- what some hypothetical third party would pay for the
- right to come in, buy the entire property and over a 13
- period of years sell it off to the tenants, right?
- 15 A. I think that's correct, yeah.
- **16** Q. And why is that a better way to value it
- when the tenants are all going to end up with 167 17
- individual units the day after the sale? 18
- 19 A. Well, the valuation was, you know, based
- on -- the valuation that we have is based on the
- appraisals that were done and the methodologies 21
- 22 used, all of which I can't give you, most of which
- are very close. 23
- 24 Q. You tell me you had become chair around

- the beginning of when DEP stepped in. I only know
- that it got to a point where they said fix it or 2
- 3 close it.
- 4 Q. Okay. And am I correct that the
- underlying problem was that people were disposing of
- their waste to, essentially, cesspools?
- 7 A. Probably. Well, certainly not Title V
- compliant systems.
- 9 Q. Right. And people didn't have even septic
- tanks, right? They simply had, they discharged into
- the ground, weren't they?
- 12 A. Could be. I don't know. I can't really
- 13 answer that. It's probably very widely varied what
- they were using.
- 15 Q. Regardless what they were using, the
- conclusion by DEP was that there was waste from
- Little Neck ending up in rivers and so forth, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- **19** Q. And they wanted that to stop?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And they said this can't go on, it must
- **22** stop?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So then there were meetings with DEP about

- 1 how to resolve the issue?
 - 2 A. Yes.
 - 3 Q. Some of which Don Whiston attended and
- later in the process you were involved in?
- 6 Q. At some point there was a first agreement
- with DEP for the installation of tight tanks by the
- tenants, is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Were you part of that agreement?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Is that Don Whiston?
- 13 A. I'd say yes.
- 14 Q. And the tenants have complained that that
- was all done without their involvement. Do you know
- if that's true or not? 16
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. Have you heard that complaint?
- 19 A. No, I haven't heard it as a complaint, no.
- 20 Q. Okay. When the agreement was made that
- 21 tight tanks would have to be installed, whose
- 22 obligation was it going to be to install the tight
- tanks? Was that going to the to be the cottage 23
- owners' obligation? 24

- the time the wastewater system problems were
- accelerating, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. And that was in the 2000's?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Could you explain what your role was with
- regard to the wastewater system project, addressing
- those problems?
- 9 A. Well, the role will certainly widely vary
- from meeting with the DEP and/or their
- representatives in the field, to reviewing the 11
- development of the construction drawings and how 12
- this could be done, especially with regard to the 13
- other underground utilities that were there, and 14
- finally, the bidding process and then the 15
- construction, which I spent a great deal of time 16
- over there. 17
- 18 Q. So the beginning of the process was that
- there were meetings with DEP?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Can you give me a rough time frame of when
- 22 these meetings were occurring?
- 23 A. The first of the meetings began with Don
- Whiston, so I can't tell you that. I can't tell you

Page 125

- 1 A. That would basically be the cottage owner.
- 2 Q. Everybody understood that, as far as you
- 3 know?
- 4 A. As far as I know, yes.
- 5 Q. Did you receive feedback from the tenants,
- 6 the cottage owners that they did not like this tight
- 7 tank solution?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And who communicated that feedback to you?
- 10 A. There were several people. Some were
- 11 communicated -- I can't recite who they were. Some
- was recited to me and some to the other Feoffees,
- 13 and discussion ensued with the engineer with regard
- 14 to that.
- 15 Q. Was there an engineer who you were using
- 16 at that time?
- 17 A. I believe P.L. Lombardo was involved at
- 18 that time, yes.
- 19 Q. How did that engineer get selected,
- 20 Lombardo?
- 21 A. He got selected by recommendations for his
- 22 qualifications to work out septic systems in unusual
- 23 situations.
- 24 Q. And who made the recommendation?

- 1 to find another solution?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Was there an understanding with the
- 4 tenants as to whether the alternative solution might
- 5 cost more money?
- 6 A. I don't know. I don't remember.
- 7 Q. All right. So after -- What happened
- 8 after this agreement was reached with DEP for the
- 9 installation of tight tanks and the tenants gave
- 10 feedback saying they didn't want to do it?
- 11 A. We went back to the DEP with the idea of a
- 12 collection system.
- 13 Q. Was there also some attempt in between to
- 14 try to do a sewer hook-up?
- 15 A. Yes. You mean to the municipal sewer?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what was the outcome of that?
- 19 A. That was very negative by the town.
- 20 Q. Because it would have involved town cost?
- 21 A. No. Because it would have passed in front
- 22 of some people who just didn't want it to go there
- 23 and were very, very vocal.
- **24** Q. Which part of town would it have passed

Page 126

- 1 A. I don't remember.
- 2 Q. Was Don Greenough involved in that
- 3 recommendation?
- 4 A. I don't believe so.
- 5 Q. What were the complaints that you heard
- 6 voiced by, directly or indirectly, by tenants
- 7 concerning the proposed tight tank solution?
- 8 A. The concern was a public safety concern.
- 9 It was in regard to the, basically, the random need
- to pump the tanks and in an environment which
- 11 typically had a large number of children, that that
- would be a safety issue.
- 13 Q. So you'd have safety issues with the
- 14 pumping, right, that was a tenant concern?
- 15 A. Yes, in the context of pumping it out of
- 16 the tank, yes.
- 17 Q. Were there issues about the smelliness of
- 18 the pumping?
- 19 A. That wasn't brought up, that I remember.
- 20 Q. And just the idea of having these septic
- 21 tanks going up and down the streets periodically?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What were your reaction to these
- 24 complaints? Did you think it was reasonable to try

- 1 by, Great Neck?
- 2 A. Passed by Great Neck, out of Eagle Hill,
- 3 Island Park.
- 4 Q. Was the issue having the sewer pass by
- 5 those places or was it having trenches dug and sewer
- 6 installed?
- 7 A. I'm not sure, because the objections
- s weren't valid. It was a pressure main. You can't
- 9 tie into a pressure main. Nobody was trying to
- 10 assess an abutter for a pressure main going by. But
- people seemed to think that if it's called sewer
- 12 going by, I'm going to have to tie into it. You
- 13 can't.
- 14 Q. So it was passing by places that didn't
- 15 themselves have town sewer?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. And people there were under the impression
- 18 that if the sewer were going by their houses, they
- would be forced in the future to hook up into it?
- 20 A. There was a lot of people that had that
- 21 opinion. It felt like the general opinion kind of
- 22 category.
- 23 Q. Who was going to pay for this sewer line
- 24 if it was going to be put in?

Page 132

Page 129

- 1 A. That would have been the Feoffees.
- 2 Q. And what would the cost of the sewer
- solution have been compared to some of these other
- 4 solutions?
- 5 A. I don't remember.
- 6 Q. Do you know if the tenants had to pay for
- 7 the tight tanks under that solution, but the
- 8 Feoffees were going to pay for a sewer solution, why
- 9 would they do that?
- 10 A. We expected to get the money out of the
- 11 tenants either by assessment or if assessment became
- 12 something that wasn't legally acceptable, by
- 13 charging the appropriate rent.
- 14 Q. Did you have, did you investigate whether
- 15 assessment was a legal way to do it?
- 16 A. Yes, yes.
- 17 Q. Did you assess whether, did you determine
- whether an assessment was a lawful way to recover
- 19 for the cost of the wastewater system?
- 20 A. We determined that it may not be and we
- 21 didn't need to have a not be.
- 22 Q. When did you learn that you might not be
- able to recover the cost of the wastewater system
- 24 through an assessment?

- 1 Q. And after you had discussed it internally
- 2 and consulted with Mr. Greenough, your plan was to
- 3 recapture the sum through betterment?
- 4 A. Originally, yes.
- 5 Q. And the betterment would be that you would
- 6 simply charge the tenants pro rata their share of
- 7 whatever was spent?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And they would either pay it all in a lump
- 10 sum or perhaps over time?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. When did the idea of recapturing these
- payments to a betterment get changed? Sometime
- **14** during construction?
- 15 A. Sometime during construction, yes.
- 16 Q. Before the lawsuit?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what brought about -- When did -- Was
- 19 that because sometime during the construction you
- 20 learned that you might not be able to charge the
- 21 tenants through a betterment?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And did you learn that -- Did you again
- 24 have advice of counsel in arriving at that

Page 130

- 1 A. Sometime during the construction period.
- 2 Q. So no effort was made to make a
- 3 determination as to how the cost would be recovered
- 4 before you started construction?
- 5 A. We intended to do it by betterment or --
- 6 Q. Okay. When did construction start? Was
- 7 it 2004? Do you know the date?
- 8 A. I don't. I would have said 2003, but it
- 9 may be 2004.
- **10** Q. It may be 2003, as well.
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 O. So before construction started in or
- around 2003, at the time construction started in or
- around 2003 your expectation was that there would be
- an assessment to tenants in the form of betterment?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And what was your basis for that
- 18 assumption? Was that something that was discussed
- 19 among the Feoffees?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Was it discussed with any professionals?
- 22 A. Our attorney at the time.
- 23 Q. That was?
- 24 A. Don Greenough.

- 1 conclusion?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And what counsel did you have advice from?
- 4 A. I believe it was Don Greenough.
- 5 Q. And Mr. Greenough had done some further
- **6** work on the issue?
- 7 A. Bill may have been just getting involved
- 8 at that point. I don't remember.
- 9 Q. Bill Sheehan, you mean?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Had the tenants communicated at some time
- between the decision to try to do it by way of a
- betterment and the determination that you couldn't
- do that, had the tenants communicated on this issue?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. So once you thought that you might have a
- 17 problem recapturing the money through betterment,
- 18 the plan was to try to recapture it through rent?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. But that was not necessarily as good a
- 21 solution, true?
- 22 A. Say that again, please?
- 23 Q. Capturing it through rent was not
- 24 necessarily as good a solution as capturing it

Min-U-Script®

Page 136

Page 133

- through betterment, right?
- 2 A. That's true.
- 3 Q. Because to capture it through rent you had
- 4 to have a rent that was within fair market, perhaps?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Or perceived that way?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Whereas the history of the improvement was
- 9 that the tenants were going to have to put in tight
- tanks at their own expense unless you did something
- 11 for them, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And if you had reached agreement with the
- tenants ahead of time that they would pay for it,
- you would have been in a better position?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And that was a mistake that was made in
- 18 retrospect, true?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. The tenants complain that the cost of the
- 21 wastewater system kept going up?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And I've looked at some reports from
- 24 Lombardo and it looks like the project costs did

- 1 test boring, the DEP, the conservation commission
 - 2 getting involved and all that design effort costs
 - 3 money. Tried several other things in terms of
 - 4 picking up the sewerage with turn-arounds up near
 - 5 the ball field. None of it, in my opinion, made
 - 6 sense.
 - 7 And what we finally basically got
 - 8 agreement on, I guess from the DEP, is that if we
 - 9 pick it up at the ball field with appropriate
 - 10 precautions, that the vehicles should have two
 - 11 people in them, one a driver and one to watch out
 - 12 for pedestrians and that's the way it's been ever
 - 13 since. And in hindsight -- Well, DEP was obviously
 - 14 concerned about public safety.
 - 15 Q. Yeah.
 - 16 A. As a state board.
 - 17 Q. So --
 - 18 A. So I think if you took the tight tanks to
 - 19 a, to its fruition, I think that you're looking at a
 - public safety issue. We felt it was. The tenants
 - 21 felt it was. I think an administrative organization
 - 22 would feel the same way. It got designed that way.
 - 23 Q. Okay. So I think what you're saying is,
 - one, given as much attention is being paid to how

- keep going up, right? Is that fair to say that they
- 2 did go up repeatedly?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Could you explain what happened?
- 5 A. We investigated different ways to dispose
- 6 of the sewerage. One was to pump it along the road,
- 7 the route we just talked about to the sewerage
- 8 treatment plant. The second one was the
- 9 consideration of tunnelling under the river and
- 10 going to a different point where we would go into
- 11 the gravity system at the end of Newmarch Street.
- Now, I have to admit, I'm not
- 13 current on directional drilling technology. I am
- 14 very knowledgeable about the soils down in the area
- 15 and I couldn't imagine having a problem with
- 16 drilling something a distance that it would go, if
- 17 you got in trouble under the river, we've got to
- 18 incur involving engineers and everybody all over us.
- 19 I felt very uncomfortable. That investigation I
- 20 wanted stopped. It cost money to investigate it,
- 21 okay? Every investigation costs money.
- And the tenants wanted to have the
- 23 sewer pick-up out at the gate and not near the ball
- 4 field. And we investigated that, which required

- 1 these delivery trucks were going to get the sewerage
- 2 out of Little Neck, certainly you even in hindsight
- 3 think that having a bunch of septic trucks there at
- 4 Little Neck would have been a problem?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. But what I was asking you was about
- 7 how the costs escalated for this project, and I just
- 8 want to go back over some of what you told me and
- 9 then ask some follow-up.
- 10 A. Sure.
- 11 Q. Okay. You said that a major issue for
- 12 this project was if you had some kind of a -- Strike
- **13** that.
- What got built was some kind of
- 15 centralized holding tank, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And so how large is that holding tank?
- 18 A. There's four holding tanks total of, I
- 19 think, 130,000 gallons.
- 20 Q. And the technology is that you hooked up
- 21 individual cottages so that their sewage would flow
- 22 into these holding tanks?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And then as the tanks come full, somebody

Page 137

- 1 comes in and --
- 2 A. Yes.
- **3** Q. What, pumps out these tanks?
- 4 A. Pumps them out, right.
- 5 Q. To a truck that holds sewage?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 O. And drive them all out.
- **MR. SHEEHAN:** Wait for a question.
- 9 Q. Do all four tanks get emptied at once or
- one at a time?
- 11 A. I don't recall.
- 12 O. So a major issue with the design of this
- centralized holding tank is one there was a big
- issue about where the holding tanks would go, right?
- 15 A. There was an issue, but -- There was an
- 16 issue.
- 17 Q. You felt the best solution was under the
- 18 ball field?
- 19 A. I think it was the only solution.
- 20 Q. But when the Feoffees were proposing that
- 21 the tanks go under the ball field, there was
- opposition from some people, true?
- 23 A. I believe so, but I don't recall anything
- serious.

- 1 not having the sewerage picked up at Little Neck at
- all, but tunnelling it somewhere?
- з A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Either by putting it on lines that might
- run where the sewerage lines would have gone?
- Yes.
- 7 Q. Or by having it go under the river?
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9** Q. And it costs money to investigate those?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And there was also some controversy about
- how the sewerage could be picked up at Little Neck
- 13 at the ball field without causing public safety
- problems? 14
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Those, the items you have just mentioned
- are not the reason why this project went from an
- initial budget of a few million to \$7 million, are 18
- they? 19
- 20 A. Well, it was, I don't think it was ever
- just a few million dollars. I think that's a
- misstatement. It was more than that.
- 23 Q. What was the original budget for this
- 24 project?

Page 138

- 1 Q. And so as a result, you had to go and
- 2 investigate whether you could have the holding tanks
- 3 out at the entry gate, you said?
- 4 A. No. I said that the sewer pick-up, a line
- coming from the tanks going out to the gate, they
- could pick the sewerage up at the gate rather than
- out by the ball field.
- 8 Q. So the holding tanks would be there
- **9** anyway?
- 10 A. They'd be there anyway.
- 11 Q. But you could have a pipe that would take
- 12 the sewerage?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Somewhere else for the pick-up?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Ultimately, that wasn't done?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Ultimately, the pick-ups were at the ball
- field? 19
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. Okay. But it costs money to investigate
- 22 the installation of the pipe elsewhere?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. But there was also consideration given to

- 1 A. I don't recall what it was, to be honest
- 2 with you.
- 3 Q. It was lower than it ended up being?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you know how much lower?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. What were the reasons why the original
- costs went up so much?
- 9 A. I believe the increase in costs were more
- due to engineering than construction.
- 11 Q. Meaning figuring out the design?
- 12 A. Yes. There were several design iterations
- 13 to the end of the project.
- 14 Q. Well, was Lombardo required to submit any
- 15 kind of a bid for the work?
- 16 A. No, not at that point.
- 17 Q. Was there any competition, was there any
- competitive bidding process by which Lombardo got
- the work? 19
- 20 A. Not that I remember.
- 21 Q. Did you ever see the contract with
- 22 Lombardo? Was there a contract?
- 23 A. Yes. I don't remember looking at it in
- detail.

Page 141

- 1 Q. Who among the Feoffees took charge of
- 2 negotiating the arrangement with Lombardo or is was
- 3 it ---
- 4 A. It started with Don Whiston.
- 5 Q. Was a contract -- Who executed the
- 6 contract between the Feoffees and Lombardo?
- 7 A. The original contract was probably
- 8 executed by Don Whiston. Some of the subsequent
- 9 contracts were authorized by me where we
- 10 investigated different things.
- 11 Q. Yeah.
- 12 A. And that's when I became concerned that we
- 13 were just spending money that shouldn't be spent.
- 14 Q. When you had these contractual
- arrangements with Lombardo were you getting the work
- done on a fixed fee basis or was it some kind of
- 17 cost plus basis or some other arrangement?
- 18 A. No. It was really, I'd have to say it was
- 19 more like cost plus, because he admitted in order to
- 20 meet the requirements of the DEP, the timing of the
- 21 DEP, that he would have to subcontract out some of
- 22 the design work.
- 23 Q. You didn't have a fixed price from
- 24 Lombardo for the work?

- 1 A. At that point we had to put it out to bid.
- 2 Q. Okay. So there was a bidding process for
- 3 the construction work?
- 4 A. Yes, there was.
- **5** Q. Who coordinated that?
- 6 A. He did.
- 7 O. Lombardo?
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9** Q. And did anybody from the Feoffees oversee
- that bidding process?
- 11 A. Oversaw the replies to it.
- 12 Q. Who was selected as a result of the
- 13 bidding process?
- 14 A. Strangely, I can't remember their name.
- 15 Q. Was it one firm, a contracting firm?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What kind of firm would that be that does
- **18** this kind of work?
- 19 A. The expert excavating contractor who was
- 20 highly experienced in sewer work from the Boston
- 21 area.
- 22 Q. Was that company that got the contract the
- 23 lowest bidder?
- 24 A. Yes.

Page 142

- 1 A. I don't believe so.
- 2 Q. And in addition, he was subcontracting out
- 3 some of the design work?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you know who he subcontracted the
- 6 design work to?
- 7 A. I do not.
- 8 Q. Was there a competitive bidding process
- **9** for those subcontracts?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Do you know how much of the overall
- payments that were made for the wastewater system
- were for engineering and how much were for
- 14 construction?
- 15 A. I don't know. I never looked at the
- 16 total, total numbers. I never broke the numbers
- 17 down.
- 18 Q. Eventually a design was agreed upon,
- **19** right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And approved by DEP?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And was it at that point that the
- construction process started?

- 1 Q. Do you know was that a fixed price
- 2 contract?
- 3 A. I believe most of it was fixed. There may
- 4 have been materials in there that were, say, brought
- 5 in per cubic yard. I mean, that's typically the way
- 6 contracts like that go.
- 7 Q. Did the construction contract end up
- 8 costing a lot more than was expected when the bid
- 9 came in?
- 10 A. I didn't think so. I didn't go through
- 11 the whole review process.
- 12 Q. Did anybody?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- **14** Q. Who among the Feoffees is most
- 15 knowledgeable about the costs of the wastewater
- 16 project?
- 17 A. Well, the one who was chairman at the end
- 18 was Jim Foley. Jim was very analytical. He may
- 19 very well have some of that information.
- 20 Q. Have you heard the tenants make
- 21 allegations that the wastewater project was
- 22 mismanaged?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Are you aware of any allegations that the

Page 148

Page 145

- 1 wastewater project cost more than it should have?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And that's an allegation the tenants make
- 4 in their lawsuit, right?
- 5 A. Uh-hum, yes.
- 6 Q. And don't they claim that it was
- mismanaged?
- 8 A. I didn't see that in there, but it may say
- **10** Q. Do you believe there's any merit in that
- 11 allegation?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. When you mentioned certain costs that you
- feel were incurred that should not have been because
- it was just wasting money, who was forcing those 15
- alternatives to be addressed?
- 17 A. The tenants down there.
- **18** Q. When you were first -- strike that.
- Move to a different subject. During 19
- the time you were a Feoffee was there discussion 20
- from time to time about the possibility of one day 21
- selling Little Neck to the tenants? 22
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And what's the first you recall of any

- 1 personally had mixed feelings.
- 2 Q. All right. Well, there were four life
- Feoffees during the 1990's, right?
- 4 A. Uh-hum, yes.
- 5 Q. And those are the same four life Feoffees
- that are currently serving?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So would you tell me where each of the
- four life Feoffees stood in the earlier days in the
- 10 1990's on this subject of a possible sale of Little
- 11 Neck?
- 12 A. I can give you my best estimate.
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. The one who was most positive to sell it
- was Don Whiston. The one that was most opposed was,
- I believe, Peter Foote. I honestly can't remember 16
- 17 how Jim Foley felt, although I don't think he was
- 18 particularly in favor of it. And in general, I was
- 19 not in favor of it, but I was willing to look at the
- various ramifications of doing something like that 20
- and given that it didn't seem to have any serious 21
- merit in the beginning. 22
- 23 Q. And what was Don Whiston's reasoning for
- strongly favoring a sale at a time when it was

Page 146

- feasible to continue renting?
- 2 A. Don't remember.
- 3 Q. Was there ever any discussion among the
- Feoffees after you joined in 1978 and before the mid
- 2000 range when the wastewater system was built
- about entering into long-term leases with the
- tenants? 7
- 8 A. There was discussion. I can't give you
- 9 much detail.
- 10 Q. Was there any --
- 11 A. And that was because the tenants would
- like to have had that.
- 13 Q. And was there a reason why the Feoffees
- didn't want to have that?
- 15 A. Not that I recall.
- 16 Q. At the time the wastewater system was
- built was there, was it necessary to borrow money
- for the trust because of the expense?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. How much was borrowed?
- 21 A. What I recall originally it was about
- 22 \$5.3 million.
- 23 Q. Was that the final figure or did it go up?
- 24 A. I believe it went up a little from that,

1 such discussion?

- 2 A. It's probably at least fifteen years ago,
- fifteen to twenty years ago.
- 4 Q. Who brought up the idea, to your
- 5 recollection?
- 6 A. The tenants brought up the idea -- No. I
- was just trying to think of one key person who was
- wealthy and had, in that sense had something behind 8
- him if you're speaking about money, that he knew 9
- what he was talking about. The problem was that 10
- they didn't, they never came up with the kind of 11
- 12 money that we would have even considered.
- 13 Q. Now, at the time that the tenants were
- talking about a sale of Little Neck was there
- anything standing in the way of continuing to rent 15
- it? 16

23

- 17 A. No.
- **18** Q. Were there any Feoffees who wanted to
- pursue the idea of selling Little Neck even though
- nothing stood in the way of continuing to rent it?
- 21 A. It was widely discussed amongst the life
- 22 Feoffees. There were different thoughts, some very strong in terms of opposition, some were positive
- about it and felt it was the proper thing to do. I

Page 149

- but I don't recall what the final figure was.
- 2 Q. Did you even, at some point, lend money to
- the Feoffees?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. How much did you lend?
- 6 A. \$1 million.
- 7 Q. And you had a million dollars available to
- you that you could --
- A. I had assets that I could mortgage.
- 10 Q. So you mortgaged some assets for \$1
- million, provided that money to the Feoffees and 11
- then required that the Feoffees repay you the? 12
- 13 A. When they, actually, when they got their
- loan. See, they didn't have their loan. The
- contractor wasn't getting paid. The work would have 15
- stopped and DEP would have been very unhappy. 16
- Q. It was somewhat of a bridge loan, then? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And when you got paid back did you get
- made whole for any interest you had incurred?
- 21 A. No. Just passed through, basically. No,
- I got nothing out of it. 22
- 23 Q. Right. In other words, you were made
- whole?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And tell me what has been done in that
- 4 A. Well, the only bank that had interest was
- the Cambridge Savings Bank and we worked with them.
- They had favorable interest rate. The problem
- became that they wanted an agreement from all the
- tenants that they would basically pledge their
- 9 rental income to the bank the same way it was done
- 10 for the Institution for Savings. That wasn't done
- on an individual basis. We pledged it. We pledged 11
- the income. Cambridge Savings Bank did not want it 12
- done that way. They really wanted a deed. That 13 14 would be their first request, needless to say. So
- 15
- we asked if they would do it similarly to the bank
- loan we had. They considered it and I believe their 16 17
- attorneys said we need a little bit more than what Institution for Savings has. It was one of those 18
- things that would be impossible to get. 19
- Q. And the tenants wouldn't, at that stage 20
- 21 the tenants weren't going to give you what was
- needed by the bank? 22
- 23 A. I think getting 167 people to agree to all
- of that would probably be a monumental task.

Page 150

- 1 A. Yeah, I was made whole.
- 2 Q. So whatever interest you paid you got paid
- back by the Feoffees?
- 4 A. Yes, yes.
- 5 Q. But you didn't make any profit?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. When the Feoffees were trying to
- get bank financing did they learn that it would be
- easier and cheaper to do so if it had, if there were
- leases in effect with the tenants that could be 10
- pledged? 11
- 12 A. I don't remember that playing into it. It
- 13 probably would be true, but I don't remember having
- any of that discussion. 14
- 15 Q. And it could be true today, as well, that
- if you had sufficient leases, long-term leases with 16
- tenants, that's something that could be pledged to a 17
- bank to obtain financing at perhaps lower cost than 18
- 19 you would otherwise pay?
- 20 A. I believe so.
- 21 Q. Have there been any attempts to determine
- what financing might be available to the Feoffees if 22
- 23 there's no sale to replace the current debt that's
- 24 at a fairly high rate of interest?

- 1 Q. Now, how many leases do you have at
- present?
- 3 A. Around thirty.
- 4 Q. And those thirty leases are all at
- payments of at least 9,700 per year?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Did you make any efforts to see whether
- the bank would accept pledges of those amounts that
- 9 were being paid under the lease as a security?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 MR. PERRY: I need to take a break
- for a few minutes. 12
- (Brief recess 2:32 2:45 p.m.) 13
- 14 Q. I want to talk to you for a few moments
- about the litigation with the tenants that occurred. 15
- We've discussed it briefly. Am I correct that in 16
- 2006, after the Feoffees had sent out notices to the 17
- tenants that they were, that tenancies were being 18
- 19 terminated, that the tenants filed suit?
- 20 A. I think that's correct, yes.
- 21 Q. And just to back up a little bit, am I
- correct that the Feoffees developed a lease that
- they wanted all the tenants to sign? 23
- 24 A. Yes.

Page 153

- 1 Q. They sent out to the tenants a notice of
- 2 termination of the at-will tenancies, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Along with the lease that they wanted
- 5 tenants to consider, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And in response, many tenants not only
- 8 declined to sign these leases, but didn't they also
- 9 stop paying taxes?
- 10 A. There was problem collecting money. I
- don't remember whether it was taxes or rent. There
- 12 were a few deadbeats anyway.
- 13 Q. So when tenants stop paying either rent or
- taxes, as the case may be, the Feoffees went further
- and sent notices to quit to those tenants, correct?
- 16 A. I believe so, if we're thinking of the
- 17 same thing.
- 18 Q. And after that happened, the tenants
- 19 filed, two of the tenants filed a lawsuit against
- 20 the Feoffees, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Which they claimed was a class action?
- 23 A. I don't think it ever got qualified as a
- 24 class action.

- 1 issue being addressed?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, in this lawsuit you have described
- 4 earlier in your testimony that certain claims were
- 5 being made against you and the other life Feoffees
- 6 that you understood to be directed personally
- 7 against you, potentially?
- 8 A. I think I misspoke on that, that it was
- only against the Feoffees and not against
- 10 individuals.
- 11 Q. But your understanding was that you could
- 12 have exposure, correct?
- 13 A. I thought at the time I did.
- 14 Q. Yes, okay. I mean, an argument can be
- 15 made that the way the lawsuit was crafted that
- 16 they'd only named you in your capacity as a Feoffee,
- **17** right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. But you weren't sure that that protected
- 20 you at the time, were you?
- 21 A. No, but I believe that it's just suing us
- 22 as Feoffees and not as individuals.
- 23 Q. Were there any claims being asserted
- against the Feoffees by the tenants that you thought

Page 154

- 1 Q. Right. It was just brought by the two
- 2 tenants as purported representatives of a class?
- 3 A. I think you're correct.
- 4 Q. And you became educated a little bit on
- 5 class actions, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you were aware that there were serious
- 8 issues as to whether this case could properly be a
- 9 class action, because there was not commonality
- among all the tenants with regard to their claims,
- **11** true?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Because tenants were claiming in the
- 14 purported class action there were allegations about
- 15 representations that had been made by the Feoffees
- 16 concerning rent, right?
- 17 A. I don't remember reading through the
- 18 document.
- 19 Q. But you knew there was a serious issue as
- 20 to whether this even was a valid case to become a
- 21 class action, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And that was never brought before the
- 24 court, because the case got resolved without that

- 1 had any merit?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Were there any claims asserted by the
- 4 tenants against the Feoffees that you were
- 5 particularly concerned with that you might lose?
- 6 A. I don't think so, other than to say that
- 7 the final decision lies with the court and what the
- 8 court does may not be what we think is common sense.
- 9 Q. Right. Was it your view that if the case
- was tried or litigated, that the Feoffees were very
- 11 likely to prevail?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 Q. What was your view?
- 14 A. My view, I think we were likely to
- 15 prevail.
- **16** Q. In that litigation was it the Feoffees'
- 17 expectation that they could prevail in recovering a
- 18 fair rent, fair market rent from the tenants
- consistent with the amount being paid by lessees?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Was it your understanding in the
- 23 litigation that one of the issues would be whether
- 24 the tenants had to pay a fair amount for use and

Page 157

- 1 occupancy of the premises?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. All right. And how did you understand
- 4 that issue would be resolved?
- 5 A. By the court.
- 6 Q. And were you expecting to recover on that
- 7 claim against the tenants?
- 8 A. Once again, we don't know what the judge
- 9 would have said. I mean, my logic would have said
- 10 yes, but --
- 11 Q. You thought it was a valid claim for the
- 12 rent, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you had the benefit of several
- appraisals that said that the rent charges were
- **16** appropriate, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And had you seen the tenants' own
- 19 appraisal in that regard?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Were you aware that the tenants' appraisal
- 22 ultimately characterized the rent being charged to
- 23 the lessees as fair?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- 1 Q. How many tenants were there versus
 - 2 lessees?
 - 3 A. Now?
 - 4 Q. Sure.
 - 5 A. Well, there's around thirty lessees and
 - 6 take that away from 167, you get the rest.
 - 7 Q. And were there fewer or more lessees at
 - 8 the time the lawsuit was filed?
 - 9 A. There were fewer.
 - 10 Q. So there's always been at least 137
 - tenants during the period the litigation's been
 - 12 going on?
 - 13 A. Yeah.
 - 14 Q. Yes, that's the lowest amount?
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
 - 16 A. I would say that is accurate.
 - 17 Q. All right. The lessees were paying
 - something like \$4,500 per year more on rent than the
 - 19 tenants?
 - 20 A. Yes, approximately.
 - 21 Q. If you multiply \$4,500 a year times 137
 - 22 tenants, you agree that that comes out to
 - 23 approximately 600,000 a year?
 - 24 A. Yes, I guess, maybe.

Page 158

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Were there any, were the tenants, did they
- 3 have damage claims that you were able to identify
- 4 where they could plausibly recover damages?
- 5 A. Not that I remember, no.
- 6 Q. Okay. But the Feoffees had potential
- 7 claims against the tenants for these use and
- 8 occupancy charges, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So you felt that the likelihood was that
- if the case were litigated, that the Feoffees would
- be recovering millions of dollars from the tenants
- 13 and not vice versa?
- 14 A. Yes, except, I don't know -- I think
- millions of dollars might be a very over-eager
- 16 estimate.
- 17 Q. Well, the amount that was in the escrow
- account to make up for the difference between the
- amounts the tenants were paying and the amounts they
- 20 would have paid at lease rates came to millions of
- 21 dollars?
- 22 A. Came to \$1 million.
- 23 Q. Just one?
- 24 A. Roughly, one million.

- 1 Q. And these amounts are being sought from
- 2 the tenants for the period beginning July 1, 2007,
- 3 right, under the arrangements with the tenants?
- 4 A. I'm not sure what the date is.
- 5 Q. Do you -- I'm not asking these questions
- 6 to get at your personal finances, but part of -- You
- 7 have at times had to worry about investing cash
- 8 yourself, haven't you?
- 9 A. Yes, over the years.
- 10 Q. And you have at least some assets now that
- 11 you invest, right?
- 12 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 13 down.)
- 14 Q. Yes?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Would you characterize the current
- investment climate as difficult?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. If the property is sold at Little Neck is
- 20 it your understanding that this would yield, after
- 21 pay-out of indebtedness of around \$22 million?
- 22 A. I believe that's about right.
- 23 Q. And if the Feoffees were to proceed with a
- sale and obtain net proceeds of \$22 million, then

Page 161

- that would have to be invested in some manner to
- 2 generate income for the beneficiaries, right?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. And would you agree with the concept that
- 5 if the \$22 million were invested, it would be
- 6 absolutely imperative to maintain the corpus?
- 7 A. Maintain the -- Say that again, please?
- 8 Q. The corpus, the principal.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And in maintaining the corpus or the
- 11 principal, would you agree that you would need to
- maintain it for not just a nominal amount of \$22
- million, but you would need to make sure that kept
- 14 up with inflation?
- 15 A. I certainly would like to see that.
- 16 Q. I mean, you understand that if you had \$22
- million in principal and you paid out all the income
- and kept it at \$22 million, that over time that \$22
- million would become effectively worthless if you
- 20 waited long enough, right?
- 21 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 22 down.)
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 24 Q. In 500 years \$22 million might not buy you

- 1 Q. But in order to, if we're trying to
- 2 maintain the corpus, then you would have to have a
- 3 rate of return of 5% per year over and above
- 4 inflation, right?
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 6 Q. To distribute \$1 million per year?
- 7 A. With your reasoning, yes.
- 8 Q. And you're not aware today of any
- 9 investments that can safely generate a return of 5%
- a year over and above the cost of living, are you?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You are. What investments are those?
- 13 A. The endowment fund of Dartmouth returned
- 14 18% last year.
- 15 Q. Does that mean that you as a Feoffee could
- safely get returns of 5% every year over and above
- 17 inflation?
- 18 A. No, can't guarantee that.
- 19 Q. I'm asking whether there's any investments
- 20 that you know of that can safely and without risk
- 21 insure a 5% return over inflation?
- 22 A. Not, no, not that I am aware of.
- 23 Q. Do you know what a TIP is, the Treasury
- 24 Inflation Protected bonds?

Page 162

- 1 a cup of coffee, right?
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. So you agree with the concept that any
- 5 kind of funding policy for the school committee
- 6 would have to be based on distributing only income
- 7 that was in excess of cost of living increases,
- 8 right?
- 9 A. With, you know, expert guidance, yes.
- 10 Q. Now, there was an affidavit that you gave
- in connection with the motion for summary judgment
- in this case, do you recall?
- 13 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- 14 down.)
- 15 Q. That you signed an affidavit?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And it referred to, it had a statement --
- 18 I don't want to characterize it, but it was -- Well,
- 19 I'll partly quote. It said, "So long as a net rate
- of return on assets is equal to or greater than 5%
- of the \$22 million," there would be a return of at
- 22 least \$1 million per year, all right? Do you
- 23 remember saying something like that?
- 24 A. Vaguely. I can't, but go ahead.

- 1 A. Yeah -- I'm not really that familiar with
- 2 them, no.
- 3 Q. Do you understand that they're a form of
- 4 security where at the end of the period of time, you
- 5 get back your principal plus inflation?
- 6 A. Actually, no, I wasn't aware of those
- 7 bonds.
- 8 Q. Do you have any idea of what they're
- 9 trading at now or what the rate of return is above
- 10 inflation?
- 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 Q. Would it surprise you if it was very
- 14 substantially below 5%?
- 15 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Do you agree with me that one can not get
- a return of 5% per year each and every year over and
- 19 above inflation without undertaking a great deal of
- 20 risk?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 22 Q. Or you don't know?
- 23 A. No, you know, I don't know. I'm -- I
- 24 don't have expertise in that area.

Page 165

- 1 Q. All right. You don't wish to be
- understood as an expert on what kind of return one
- could get --3
- 4 A. No.
- Q. -- from 22 million if one were invested?
- 6 A. No. no.
- 7 Q. Correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you yourself done any kind of
- analysis as to what would be better for the 10
- beneficiary financially in terms of a sale versus a 11
- rental scenario? 12
- 13 A. No, not a detailed financial. The, some
- of the issues have been different than financial.
- The risk of tenant landlord relationship.
- Q. Right. Are you familiar with the costs
- that the Feoffees would be expected to incur if the
- property were to be continued to be rented on a
- long-term basis or is there another Feoffee who's
- better able to testify to that? 20
- A. It's probably somebody else who would be
- better able to testify to that.
- 23 Q. Before --
- 24 A. The -- Well, I'm sorry. I'll let you go.

- 1 as engineering, right?
 - 2 A. Say that again?
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
 - 4 Q. A very large component of the cost of the
 - system was engineering, you said?
 - 6 A. Yes.
 - 7 Q. Was that most of the cost?
 - 8 A. No.
 - 9 Q. It was part of the cost?
 - 10 A. It was part of the cost, yeah.
 - 11 Q. What kind of pipes were put in?
 - 12 A. They were a, I believe they were a PVC.
 - 13 Q. And the PVC pipes have a very long life
 - 14 expectancy?
 - 15 A. Life expectancy.
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 16
 - 17 Q. They're not something that's expected to
 - fail in fifty years, are they?
 - 19 A. No.
 - 20 Q. They are something that's expected to be
 - good for an indefinite period of time? 21
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 22
 - 23 A. I would say the manufacturer would
 - probably suggest that.

Page 166

- Page 168
- understanding, have a shorter life expectancy than,
- say, the PVC pipes?
- 4 A. There are four pumps in the system, two in
- each pump well and it's probably indeterminate what
- 6 their life expectancy is. I would expect at least
- twenty years, probably longer if they're properly 7
- maintained, which we attempt to do.

1 Q. Which parts of the system, to your

- Q. So the pumps are something that you would
- 10 expect to have to replace from time to time over a
- lengthy period of years? 11
- 12 A. Yes, over a significant period of years.
- 13 Q. The holding tanks are made of what?
- 14 A. I believe fiberglass.
- 15 Q. And those are -- Are those underground?
- 16 A. Those are underground.
- 17 Q. So they're intended to last how long,
- 18 indefinitely?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. 19
- 20 A. Yeah. A long period of time. I have
- never heard a life expectancy on those. 21
- 22 Q. But you didn't put these fiberglass tanks
- 23 in the ground to hold sewerage with the expectation
- 24 that you would have to replace them in fifty years,

- 1 Q. Let me ask you a question.
- 2 A. I'll let you go. I'm sorry.
- 3 Q. Before there was the litigation issue with
- the tenants how much did the Feoffees spend annually
- on legal fees?
- 6 A. I honestly don't remember, because in
- earlier years I think it was very minimal.
- 8 Q. And what would you budget now for legal
- fees if you didn't have litigation with the tenants
- going on, if that case were resolved? 10
- 11 A. I honestly don't know.
- 12 Q. You would not expect it to be particularly
- high, would you? 13
- 14 A. I would not expect it to be, unless some
- type of litigation came up.
- 16 Q. Do you know what the expected life of the
- wastewater system is?
- 18 A. No, I don't.
- 19 Q. The system consists principally of piping
- and holding tanks?
- 21 A. Yes, pumping stations, two pumping
- stations.
- 23 Q. And most of the expense associated with
- the installation of this system you have described

Attorney General of Massachusetts Page 169 Page 171 1 would you? 1 Q. Let me ask a question so he can object. 2 A. No. 2 Don't answer until I'm done. Are you able to give 3 Q. So nothing lasts forever, but these are me just a rough ballpark estimate of what the cost 4 expected to last a very long time, right? of these pumps is? 5 A. Yes. 5 A. A couple of thousand dollars, \$2,000. 6 Q. So is there any part of this system that 6 Q. And it could be more, but we're not you're expecting to have to replace during your talking about \$50,000? lifetime other than the pumps? 8 A. No. 9 A. No. 9 Q. So the expense associated with repairing **10** Q. And where are the pumps located? the pumps is not a particularly large one? 11 A. One is on River Road in that parking lot 11 A. No. down there that's near the beach. 12 Q. So is there any need, in your view, to 13 O. Near the Pavilion Beach? reserve money for the repair or replacement of the 14 A. No, on the other side of the hill. wastewater system? 15 Q. Near the private beach? 15 A. No. 16 A. Yeah, yes. 16 Q. Now, what other -- I'm trying to get a 17 Q. That's the one on River Road, you mean? 17 sense of the other ongoing expenses that you 18 A. Yes. 18 anticipate incurring other than the indebtedness if 19 Q. So that's one. 19 the property is not sold. So we have, there could 20 A. That's one. The other one is just as you be some professional fees, legal and accounting, 20 come through the gate, it would be just up that hill 21 right? a few yards and on the left. 22 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and 23 O. Okay. There's two locations for the down.) 23 24 pumps? 24 MR. SHEEHAN: You have to answer yes Page 170 Page 172 1 A. There's two locations, everything else is 1 for her. 2 gravity. 2 A. I'm sorry, yes. 3 Q. And how many pumps are there, four? 3 Q. There's whatever the cost might get paid 4 A. There's four. for managing the property? **5** Q. Two at each place? 5 A. Yes. 6 A. Yeah. 6 Q. The Feoffees were paying taxes on certain **7** Q. Are they below ground? real estate that the Feoffees owned, common areas? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. How does one access them to repair or 9 Q. Hadn't been in the practice of passing 10 replace them? that along to the tenants, correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. How expensive were the pumps? 12 Q. There's a line item on the document I'm 13 A. I don't know those particular pumps. I 13 looking at for utilities. Do you know what utility would hazard a safe guess at probably a couple 14 expenses the Feoffees incurred that's not reimbursed

- 11 A. Manholes, large manholes.

- thousand. 15
- MR. SHEEHAN: If you don't know, 16
- 17 don't guess, don't guess.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. 18
- MR. SHEEHAN: You can give an 19
- estimate if you have an estimate if you have a basis 20
- for it. 21
- 22 Q. Give me a ballpark.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Wait a minute, wait a 23
- 24 minute.

- 15 by tenants?
- 16 A. It would be mostly electrical. Should be
- all electrical for the wastewater system, the 17
- office, community center, which would be minimal. 18
- 19 Q. So the charge of electricity for the
- wastewater system isn't included, that's not being 20
- 21 passed along to the tenants as part of their --
- 22 A. Part of the rent.
- 23 Q. Part of the rent, it's not part of the
- water usage charges?

Page 173

- 1 A. I, I --
- 2 Q. You don't know?
- 3 A. I really don't know. I think there's
- 4 another answer to that, but rather than speculate, I
- 5 don't know.
- 6 Q. Peter Foote would be a good person on
- 7 that, right?
- 8 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 9 Q. To understand the revenues from the
- wastewater system versus expenses and having that
- 11 line up?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. I mean, in principle, it would make sense
- to have the wastewater fee cover all of the direct
- expenses associated with the wastewater system,
- 16 wouldn't it?
- 17 A. As you have been talking, I think it does,
- but Peter is the one to ask that question of.
- 19 Q. Okay. What about repairs and maintenance?
- 20 What repairs and maintenance are the Feoffees
- 21 responsible for apart from erosion -- we'll get to
- 22 that -- under a rental scenario?
- 23 A. Well, first you have the wastewater
- 24 system.

- 1 Q. And but the road, if there were potholes,
 - 2 that would be the responsibility of the Feoffees?
 - 3 A. Feoffees.
 - 4 Q. When I saw the roads at Little Neck, they
 - 5 looked like they were in unbelievable condition. Do
 - 6 you agree with that?
 - 7 A. I agree with that.
 - 8 Q. How long have the roads been in that
 - **9** condition?
 - 10 A. Since we put in the wastewater system.
 - 11 Q. Because you repayed at that time?
 - 12 A. We repaved.
 - 13 Q. And has there been very little need to
 - 14 maintain the roads after that?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. Is there some reason why the roads at
 - 17 Little Neck don't develop kind of potholes from ice
 - 18 melting and freezing that other roads get?
 - 19 A. Lack of traffic would be my best estimate
 - 20 during times when roads do break up, spring.
 - 21 Q. There's exceedingly little traffic at
 - 22 Little Neck in the winter, I would imagine, right?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. So at some point you'd expect there to be

Page 174

- 1 Q. Right. Well, that's covered hopefully by
- 2 the wastewater fees, right?
- 3 A. Yeah, okay, but -- Yes, yes, that's the
- 4 right answer.
- 5 Q. You have roads?
- 6 A. You have roads.
- 7 Q. What do the Feoffees do for the roads?
- 8 A. Lately we haven't had to do much.
- 9 Q. What do you do in terms of plowing, given
- that there's only twelve or whatever the number is
- 11 year round residents?
- 12 A. It's all plowed for public safety, I mean,
- 13 for fire.
- 14 Q. So the Feoffees provide the plowing
- through a contractor?
- 16 A. I think the town actually does that.
- 17 Q. The town does it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So there's no plowing expense associated
- 20 with the rental of Little Neck?
- 21 A. No, not that I'm aware of.
- 22 Q. The town does it for public safety
- 23 reasons?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 a line item for road maintenance, but at this time
- 2 it's pretty --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- minor, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And apart from that, there's some kind of
- 7 landscaping expense that goes --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. -- into Little Neck?
- 10 A. Uh-hum, yes.
- 11 Q. Which would probably be under the repairs
- and maintenance category, right?
- 13 A. I would assume, yes.
- 14 Q. What landscaping do the Feoffees take
- responsibility for other than the ball field?
- 16 A. Since we own all the land, we take
- 17 responsibility for trimming trees as needed.
- **18** Q. Throughout the area?
- 19 A. Throughout the area. Sometimes, it's
- 20 often public safety. Things begin to die.
- 21 Q. Who does the landscaping?
- 22 A. The mowing of the grass, I believe, is
- 23 done by a little company called On the Side. And
- 24 the last tree person, because there have been

Page 180

Page 177

- 1 others, has been -- it's another small company from
- 2 Ipswich. I recommended them only because I knew
- 3 that they were very reasonable in their fees.
- 4 Q. Do you know what you spend on landscaping
- 5 and mowing in the year?
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- **7** Q. Who would have that information?
- 8 A. Peter.
- 9 Q. Okay. What kind of insurance do the
- 10 Feoffees pay for? Liability insurance?
- 11 A. I don't know. Is that an item there?
- 12 Q. Yeah.
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. And there's an item on this list for
- 15 police details. That would be on weekends during
- 16 the summer?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Is that historically when there's a police
- 19 detail?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. There's an office expense item. Do you
- 22 know what that is?
- 23 A. Well, there's general supplies. There's
- 24 been some computer problems where somebody has had

- 1 paid in July, but you owe now.
- 2 Q. Where's the office?
- 3 A. The office is right opposite the ball
- 4 field.
- 5 Q. So Mr. Foote drives in there every day and
- 6 goes to work, basically?
- 7 A. Yes, he does.
- 8 Q. And he must be pretty well acquainted with
- 9 the tenants at this point?
- 10 A. Reasonably well. Better than I am.
- 11 Q. So is there any part of the
- responsibilities at -- Strike that.
- What about erosion, that's an
- 14 expense that the Feoffees have to address from time
- 15 to time?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. First, why is it that the Feoffees have a
- 18 responsibility to be concerned with erosion?
- 19 A. If a massive piece of the hill begins to
- 20 slide into the ocean, I think that falls in the
- 21 category of keeping up the property.
- 22 Q. Well, if a piece of the hill fell into the
- ocean and it didn't have a cottage on it, would the
- 24 Feoffees be mandated to deal with it?

- L to come in and straighten out a computer that's tied
- 2 into the wastewater system, which can be managed
- 3 from the office.
- 4 Q. How much are the Feoffees paying now for
- 5 the management of the property through payments to
- one of the Feoffees, do you know?
- 7 A. It should be somewhere a little in excess
- 8 of \$40,000.
- **9** Q. And who does that go to?
- 10 A. Peter Foote.
- 11 O. And how is it determined that Peter Foote
- would be paid that amount of money to manage the
- 13 land?
- 14 A. He was or he is, I believe, paid a fee for
- doing all the bookkeeping and QuickBooks kind of
- things and the other is \$25 an hour for his time
- 17 spent in the office, which he begins the day going
- 18 over the entire property, looking for any kind of
- 19 violations by builders, and makes them get
- 20 appropriate permits so people can't just do anything
- 21 they want, followed by spending time in the office
- 22 where he both receives and does the initial
- 23 bookkeeping, manual bookkeeping. People come in and
- 24 say, I think I paid. Well, look right here. You

- 1 A. We could be.
- 2 Q. Is there -- I mean, strictly speaking the
- 3 Feoffees don't have an obligation to preserve Little
- 4 Neck in exactly the condition that it's --
- 5 A. No.
- **6** Q. -- been in, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. They need to make sure that the investment
- 9 stays safe, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So whether to address erosion would depend
- on what the nature of the erosion was and what area
- 13 it affected, right?
- 14 A. Yes. It too could fall under the issue of
- 15 public safety.
- 16 Q. So was there some particular erosion that
- 17 took place at Little Neck that the Feoffees think
- 18 needs to be addressed?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What's the erosion that took place that
- 21 needs to be addressed?
- 22 A. It is the piece of Little Neck on the
- 23 front of the hill towards Ipswich Bay from where
- 24 that riprap was placed in the 1950's all the way

Page 181

- 1 around to Pavilion Beach.
- 2 Q. What was done to determine how to remedy
- 3 that?
- 4 A. An engineer was hired with that expertise
- 5 to look at it and determined what we'd have to do.
- **6** Q. Who hired the engineer?
- 7 A. I'm trying to think who actually -- I
- 8 think Peter hired the engineer because he had done
- 9 work for us before.
- 10 Q. And what was the result of the engineer's
- 11 report?
- 12 A. That we'd probably have \$1 million in
- 13 expenses in order to repair that and put it into a
- 14 safe and stable condition.
- 15 Q. Did he indicate when that work would need
- 16 to be done?
- 17 A. Should be done fairly soon.
- 18 Q. Apart from reserving for this, what you
- call \$1 million for the erosion, is there any
- 20 specific need to reserve at Little Neck for any
- 21 infrastructure repairs that you're aware of?
- 22 A. Not at this time. We --
- 23 Q. If the property is not sold, do the
- 24 Feoffees intend to address the erosion issue?

- 1 determine what the rental will be allowed.
 - 2 Q. Provided that the property can be rented
 - at fair market value, then you believe that the
 - 4 charitable intent can be fulfilled, correct?
 - 5 A. Yes.
 - 6 Q. When the Feoffees have their meetings who
 - 7 is invited to attend?
 - 8 A. It's open to the public. I believe all
 - 9 the selectmen are invited to attend, as well as the
 - 10 school committee. Most often it might be one or two
 - 11 members of the school committee, historically, and
 - 12 the superintendent of schools.
 - 13 Q. Have the Feoffees ever conducted meetings
- 14 in private?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Do the Feoffees still conduct meetings in
- 17 private?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you're referring to the annual meeting
- as being open to the public?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. But there's no requirement that all the
- business of the Feoffees be conducted at public
- 24 meetings, is there?

Page 182

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And that would be done over the, in the
- 3 next couple of years?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you have, did you have any indication
- 6 in the report how rapidly this problem is
- 7 progressing?
- 8 A. I haven't actually read the report. I
- 9 saw, I just got a summary of the report.
- 10 Q. Who did you get that from?
- 11 A. I'm trying to think who gave it to me the
- 12 summary. It may have been Bill. A document like
- 13 that I think resides with Bill.
- 14 Q. Bill Sheehan?
- 15 A. Yeah, yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Are you aware of any reason why it would
- not be feasible, if a sale is not approved, to
- 18 continue with the rental of the property?
- 19 A. Simple answer is no.
- 20 Q. And if the property were continued to be
- 21 rented, do you think the Feoffees would be able to
- 22 fulfill the original charitable intent of benefiting
- 23 the Ipswich schools?
- 24 A. I don't know, only because the court maybe

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. At least under your construction of the
- 3 law?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Because that's been an issue that's been
- **6** raised by tenants?
- 7 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 8 Q. Where does that stand, this issue of
- 9 whether the Feoffees are supposed to comply with
- 10 public meeting laws?
- 11 A. I believe there was a judge who rendered
- 12 an opinion that we were private and didn't need to
- 13 have public meetings other than the annual meeting.
- 14 Q. Was that decision by the judge, was that
- in a criminal case that was brought for the --
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. But it was not the superior court
- **18** litigation?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. It was a separate proceeding, right?
- 21 A. I believe so, yes.
- 22 Q. Are you aware of any meetings among the
- 23 four life Feoffees to conduct business at Little
- Neck to which selectmen were not invited?

Page 188

Page 185

- 1 A. Not since our last annual meeting.
- 2 Q. Right. But historically, selectmen were
- not invited to the meeting of life Feoffees, were
- they?
- 5 A. That's correct, because the life Feoffees
- were the Committee on Affairs to run Little Neck and
- they were not part of that.
- 8 Q. So there was a Committee on Affairs?
- 9 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and
- down.) 10
- 11 Q. Explain to me what the Committee on
- Affairs was?
- 13 A. Committee on Affairs, wherever the term
- came from, was just a committee to manage Little
- Neck. 15
- 16 Q. And how long did it exist?
- 17 A. As long as I am aware.
- **18** Q. During the time you have been a Feoffee
- there was always a committee called the Committee on
- Affairs? 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And that Committee on Affairs always
- 23 consisted of just the life Feoffees?
- 24 A. Yes.

- resigns, the other life Feoffees choose the departed
- member's successor, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you think that's a peculiarly good way
- of choosing Feoffees?
- 6 A. I don't really have an opinion on that
- that I can render.
- 8 Q. There's been some alternatives advanced by
- the school committee that says that the, instead of
- 10 life Feoffees, there should be Feoffees who were
- 11 appointed by and accountable to public bodies in
- Ipswich, right? 12
- 13 A. Uh-hum, yes.
- 14 O. Are you aware of that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Don't you think that's a good idea?
- 17 A. Basically, yes.
- **18** Q. And if we had that kind of a system, for
- 19 example, we probably wouldn't have a situation where
- -- Strike that. 20
- 21 Do you think that it's desirable to
- have Feoffees that don't have any personal interest 22
- in Little Neck, right?
- 24 A. Uh-hum. (Witness nodding head up and

- 1 Q. And the life Feoffees would meet as the
- 2 members of the Committee on Affairs to make various
- decisions concerning Little Neck?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Without the input of the selectmen?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Now, with regard to the selection of
- individuals to serve as Feoffees, am I correct that
- you replaced your uncle?
- 10 A. Right, uh-hum, yes.
- MR. SHEEHAN: You have to answer yes 11
- 12 or no.
- **THE WITNESS:** I'm sorry. 13
- 14 Q. And the lawyer that was on the board that
- was Mr.?
- 16 A. Hayes.
- 17 Q. He replaced his father?
- 18 A. Yes, he did.
- 19 Q. Do you think that the current Feoffees are
- particularly qualified to choose their successors?
- 21 A. To do this successfully, is that what you
- said? 22
- 23 Q. No, no. Under the way the trust works at
- present the life Feoffees, when one of the Feoffees

- down.)
- 2 Q. Yes?
- 3 A. I think so, yes.
- 4 Q. And historically, and this isn't meant to
- be casting aspersions at anybody, but there have
- been Feoffees who have had family members renting
- cottages, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that does make them trying to answer
- 10 to two different masters, doesn't it?
- 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 12 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 13 Q. You think that's a good situation?
- 14 A. I think it's an acceptable situation if
- the person is a morally sound individual.
- 16 Q. Do you agree with me that even if the
- 17 Feoffees were to raise the rent higher than the
- current amounts the lessees are paying, there would 18
- 19 be people out there with money who would be willing
- to pay it? 20
- 21 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 22 A. I would say unlikely.
- 23 Q. Do you think there's some people out there
- who would pay just about anything to get to Little

Page 189

- 1 Neck?
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 3 A. I think it's unlikely.
- 4 Q. What do you think would be the ideal
- 5 qualifications for a Feoffee if there were going to
- 6 be ongoing rental of property?
- 7 A. It's a little hard to summarize. I think
- 8 they should have some business background, not
- 9 necessarily legal -- No offense. If they were going
- to be managing, assuming that it's property to be
- 11 managed --
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. Somebody who had experience in that area
- 14 would obviously be, I think, good. I mean, the
- obvious things are sound character and good
- 16 recommendations would come into it, or should go
- 17 into it.
- 18 Q. So would you agree that you'd want to see
- 19 business acumen?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Financial acumen?
- 22 A. I think that's appropriate.
- 23 Q. Real estate knowledge is helpful?
- 24 A. I think that's helpful.

- 1 wouldn't necessarily come up with the current board?
 - 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
 - 3 A. I don't know. Different people have
 - 4 different abilities and I think to summarily answer
 - 5 that is a little difficult.
 - 6 Q. Do you personally see anything wrong with
 - 7 the school committee's proposal to change the
 - 8 governance of the trust?
 - 9 A. As a general answer, no.
 - 10 Q. But under the current rules, for example,
 - if you thought it was a good idea to change and if
 - you wanted to resign, it wouldn't actually
 - accomplish that objective, because it would be the
 - 14 remaining Feoffees that would choose your successor,
 - 15 right?
 - 16 A. That's true.
 - 17 Q. And in fact, if there's even one Feoffee
 - who thinks that the current system is a good one, it
 - 19 doesn't do any good if the other three all resign,
 - 20 correct?
 - MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
 - 22 A. I don't know the answer to that.
 - MR. PERRY: Okay. Let's go off the
 - 24 record.

Page 190

- 1 Q. Being of sound character?
- 2 A. Absolutely.
- 3 Q. And do you think there should be any kind
- 4 of ability to have people move on when they've
- 5 gotten to be -- Is there such a thing as being too
- 6 old to be a Feoffee? Let me withdraw that.
- 7 Under the current arrangement.
- 8 there's no age limit for the Feoffees?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Is that a good thing?
- 11 A. I'm not sure, to be honest.
- 12 Q. There's no mechanism now, if people fade
- with age, for them to be changed, right?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 16 Q. Correct?
- 17 A. Not that I know of, no.
- 18 Q. I mean, other than going to court, having
- 19 a petition filed?
- 20 A. Yes, yes.
- 21 Q. Will you agree with me that, and again,
- 22 this isn't meant to cast aspersions on the current
- 23 Feoffees, but if you were trying to find the people
- 24 who were most peculiarly fit to be Feoffees, you

- 1 (Discussion off the record.)
- 2 MR. PERRY: I have one more area
- 3 that I need to ask you about that I can think of
- 4 right now.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Maybe two, actually. I forgot this page.
- 7 Okay. When the concept of proposed sale of Little
- 8 Neck was seriously discussed did you at some point
- 9 have occasion to attend the meeting with the school
- 10 committee on that subject?
- 11 A. No, I did not.
- 12 Q. Who handled the discussions with the
- school committee about a proposed sale?
- 14 A. It was initially Mr. Sheehan and Jim
- 15 Foley.
- 16 Q. Did Mr. Foley attend the meetings that Mr.
- 17 Sheehan went to about the proposed sale?
- 18 A. I believe he attended one. I'm not sure
- 19 about the second one.
- 20 Q. Was the message to the school committee
- 21 that Mr. Sheehan was authorized to deliver that the
- proposed sale was a good deal for the schools?
- 23 A. I honestly don't know what he said.
- 24 Q. Right.

Page 193

- 1 A. I think he was looking for concurrence
- 2 that they would go along with the sale, which they
- 3 did.
- 4 Q. At the time that the school committee,
- 5 according to your testimony, went along with the
- sale, what appraisals are you aware that had been
- 7 done to support the idea that this was a good
- 8 opportunity?
- 9 A. I don't remember beyond LandVest what
- 10 appraisals were in at that time.
- 11 Q. And you're not aware of any appraisals
- that were available to the school committee in 2009
- and earlier other than the LandVest appraisals,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Do you disagree with the work that was
- done by Collier, Meredith and Grew?
- 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. He didn't
- 19 read it.
- 20 Q. You didn't read it, so you don't have an
- 21 opinion on that?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Who among the Feoffees would be
- 24 knowledgeable about the process that was followed

- 1 Q. Did you review any documents before
- 2 testifying today?
- 3 A. Yes.
- **4** Q. What did you review?
- 5 A. 2008 deposition.
- 6 Q. And am I correct that you were asked
- 7 questions in 2008 about whether you thought Little
- 8 Neck should be sold?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And do you recall that you testified at
- that time that you did not think it should be sold?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Because it's a good investment?
- 14 A. Yes, I saw that.
- 15 Q. Has there been anything that's happened
- since 2008, other than reading LandVest appraisals,
- that's changed your mind on that front?
- 18 A. Well, I certainly have changed my opinion,
- 19 yeah.
- 20 Q. Do you still think Little Neck a good
- 21 investment?
- 22 A. I think it's too vague a question.
- 23 Q. And what are the reasons you changed your
- opinion between July 8th, 2008 when you testified

Page 194

. .

Page 196

- with respect to providing the tenants with notices
- 2 to terminate their tenancy and notices to quit when
- 3 they didn't pay their taxes? Was that also Peter
- 4 Foote or Jim Foley?
- 5 A. Trying to think of who was chairman at the
- 6 time.
- 7 Q. Were you chairman in 2006 when the lawsuit
- 8 was filed?
- 9 A. I honestly can't remember. They blend
- 10 together.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar with there being a
- 12 reduction in the rate being charged for the
- wastewater system on a per gallon of water basis?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And at some point the rate was nine and a
- half cents and it went down to what, six and a half
- 17 cents?
- 18 A. Or seven, but yes, it did go down.
- 19 Q. What were the events that led to the
- 20 reduction in the amount being charged to tenants in
- 21 connection with their water usage?
- 22 A. I honestly don't know what precipitated it
- 23 other than the town agreeing to it, to the utilities
- 24 department agreeing to it being a reasonable fee.

- that Little Neck should not be sold because it's a
- 2 good investment and your signing a settlement
- 3 agreement in January -- December 2009 to sell Little
- 4 Neck?
- 5 A. Well, it's multifaceted answer. One, I
- can see seriously increased aggravation trying to
- 7 manage the property. People so close together, that
- 8 they complained about the littlest thing, and now
- 9 because they had the money, they would sue and maybe
- 10 even do something like try to name the Feoffees.
- which they haven't been able to do. But
- 12 nonetheless, you know, a couple little old ladies
- decide to go at it with lawyers and, you know,
- 14 there's more of it. And it's like nobody needs to
- 15 deal with that kind of aggravation and/or associated
- 16 cost that, you know, that you can't document as
- 17 normal operating expense.
 - I guess the other thing is in trying
- 19 to settle the superior court case, we went through
- 20 various iterations of negotiation, including a judge
- 21 who acted as a mediator and who, when he completed
- 22 it, was quite discouraged that we're probably going
- 24 looked at what other possibilities that were out

to get nowhere with solving this problem. And so we

18

23

Page 197

- 1 there and one was the possibility of selling it.
- 2 And the question is whether that would be something
- 3 good or not. My opinion changed to it probably
- 4 would be good, because there were management issues
- 5 that seemed to be cropping up that said managing
- 6 this is going to get to be a bigger job. And in
- 7 fact, when we priced professional management, it was
- 8 a lot more money than what we're paying now. And
- 9 that probably didn't -- It still left you with if
- 10 there's a problem, call the lawyer. If I don't
- to there sa problem, can the lawyer. If I don't
- 11 understand, call a Feoffee, you know, because they
- don't know the people. They could do the paperwork,
- 13 all the rote things.
- So we got 80% of the people who are
- opposed to paying rent, paying the rent that we want
- 16 to charge, which we thought was fair, and it seemed
- 17 like there had to be another way to try and solve
- 18 that problem, which ultimately, after several
- 19 iterations, you know, came to where it is today.
- 20 Q. Okay, thank you. I had a question about
- 21 the mediation and settlement discussions that took
- 22 place. Was there a point where you thought that the
- 23 negotiations had been successful in getting the
- 24 tenants to agree upon a new lease, but then they

- that back to the entire membership, there was some
- 2 sort of uprising and membership didn't go along with
- **3** it?
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 5 A. That's my understanding.
- 6 Q. Was this tentative agreement that was
- 7 reached acceptable to the tenant leaders, DiSalvo
- 8 and Gottlieb, as you understand it?
- 9 A. I do not know. I do not know.
- 10 Q. You would have thought that they would
- 11 have had to have blessed it?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 13 A. I would think so.
- 14 Q. And then the new lawyers came in and that
- 15 was Todd and Weld?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And did Todd and Weld indicate that they
- 18 were unwilling to negotiate a lease or what
- 19 happened?
- 20 A. I can't remember what happened initially
- with Todd and Weld. I remember we had a meeting
- 2 with Todd and Weld in Bill's office and we didn't
- 23 really accomplish anything. We were miles apart.
- 24 Q. Did you ever get a second opinion from any

Page 198

Page 200

- 1 changed their lawyers?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Tell me about that, please.
- 4 A. Well, I can only tell you summarily that
- 5 we were pleased that our attorney returned with,
- 6 They've agreed to something. I can't tell you
- 7 exactly what it was. In which case, the group
- 8 immediately fired their attorneys, hired a new law
- 9 firm. That wasn't a very good sign either.
- 10 Q. So the old lawyers were the Masterman
- people, Beth O'Neill and Jim Masterson, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And they had worked something out with
- 14 Bill Sheehan?
- 15 A. It had to have been.
- 16 Q. Was that at mediation or just separately,
- as you understand it, if you recall?
- 18 A. No, it was separate. It wasn't through
- 19 mediation. The mediation basically failed.
- 20 Q. So Bill Sheehan reported back to the
- 21 clients that he understood that there had been an
- agreement in principle of some sort reached, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. But then when the lawyers tried to take

- 1 lawyers about the litigation other than Mr. Sheehan?
- 2 A. No, not that I'm aware of.
- 3 MR. PERRY: Okay. I've left you a
- 4 few minutes, Bill.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: I have no questions.
 - (Whereupon, at 3:52 o'clock p.m.,
- 7 the deposition was concluded.)

Pages 197 - 200 (50)

	Page 201
1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, ALEXANDER MULHOLLAND, do hereby
3	certify under the pains and penalties of perjury
4	that I have read the foregoing transcript of my
5	testimony given on October 11, 2011, and I further
6	certify that said transcript is a true and accurate
7	record of said testimony (with the exception of the
8	following corrections listed below):
9	Page Line Correction/Reason
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Dated at, this
20	day of, 2011.
21	·
22	
23	
دے	
21	
24	ALEXANDER MULHOLLAND
24	
_	Page 202
1	
_	Page 202
1	Page 202 CERTIFICATE
1 2	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
1 2 3	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK
1 2 3 4	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and
1 2 3 4 5	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 202 CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK I, CYNTHIA F. STUTZ, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such testimony is a true and accurate record of my stenotype notes taken in the foregoing matter, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of October, 2011.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Attorney General of Massach	usetts		October 11, 2011
	1 (3)	147.2.10	02.15
	1 (3)	147:3,10	83:17
\$	15:21;83:17;160:2	1998 (10)	300,000 (1)
	1:30 (1)	83:21;84:1,5;89:12;93:23;	85:4
\$1 (9)	106:8	94:3,9,22;95:1,11	303 (1)
71:8,11;149:6,10;158:22;	10 (1)		1:22
162:22;163:6;181:12,19	57:10	2	350,000 (1)
\$1,000 (4)	10,800 (3)		85:4
28:15,19;29:10,12	80:6;92:7;102:16	2 (1)	38 (2)
\$10,000 (2)	10:07 (1)	15:21	110:21;111:1
	1:20	2% (1)	110.21,111.1
77:19;78:21	100,000 (1)	42:5	4
\$10,800 (1)	91:13	2:32 (1)	4
80:2	11 (1)		4.745
\$100,000 (1)		152:13	4 (1)
92:13	1:19	2:45 (1)	15:21
\$2,000 (1)	11/10/1942 (1)	152:13	40 (2)
171:5	6:11	20% (1)	112:11,21
\$200,000 (1)	11:33 (1)	6:1	400,000 (1)
118:12	64:13	200,000 (1)	118:17
\$22 (9)	11:40 (1)	90:22	42 (2)
160:21,24;161:5,12,16,18,18,	64:13	2000 (5)	110:21;111:1
24;162:21	12:37 (1)	14:16;65:19;66:3;67:11;	44 (1)
\$25 (1)	106:8	148:5	5:9
178:16	1-202 (1)	2000's (6)	4762 (1)
	1:2	14:12,17;29:5;38:15;63:5;	5:2
\$26,000,000 (2)	130,000 (1)		3.2
116:24;117:4	136:19	122:4	~
\$29 (2)		2001 (2)	5
111:9;116:19	137 (2)	31:16;37:24	
\$30 (1)	159:10,21	2003 (8)	5 (1)
11:21	15% (1)	32:5;77:15;83:22;94:24;	15:21
\$4,500 (2)	57:10	130:8,10,13,14	5% (7)
159:18,21	167 (8)	2004 (2)	162:20;163:3,9,16,21;164:14,
\$40 (1)	60:9,11;61:1,3,7;121:17;	130:7,9	18
11:22	151:23;159:6	2006 (5)	5,000 (1)
\$40,000 (1)	169 (1)	80:20;103:1;104:15;152:17;	77:2
178:8	61:4	194:7	50 (2)
\$5,000 (1)	18% (1)	2007 (2)	32:1,2
28:11	163:14	116:14;160:2	500 (1)
	1930's (1)	2008 (4)	
\$5,200 (1)	23:17		161:24
79:14	1950's (2)	195:5,7,16,24	500,000 (3)
\$5.3 (1)		2009 (3)	90:23,24;118:17
148:22	52:18;180:24	32:8;193:12;196:3	
\$50,000 (1)	1965 (1)	2010 (2)	6
171:7	8:20	89:21;108:7	
\$500,000 (2)	1966 (2)	2011 (1)	600 (1)
91:12;92:12	9:6;10:1	1:19	76:17
\$6,000 (1)	1976 (1)	21 (2)	600,000 (1)
79:17	10:1	13:20;14:3	159:23
§7 (1)	1978 (8)	22 (6)	63 (1)
139:18	16:13;29:22;63:3,4;65:18;	31:16,17,23;32:13,14;165:5	7:20
	66:2;67:10;148:4	24 (2)	65 (1)
§800 (1) 76:17	1979 (1)	31:19;32:5	9:20
	16:16	29 (5)	
\$9,700 (1)	1980's (1)		66 (1)
79:24	47:21	111:19;112:11,22;116:16,16	9:21
		2	69 (1)
0	1985 (2)	3	7:20
	8:13;17:15		
0 (1)	1987 (2)	3 (1)	7
1:3	32:4;33:9	15:21	
05832 (1)	1988 (1)	3% (1)	72 (1)
	17:ÌŹ	42:6	32:10
5:4	1/.1/		
5:4			
	1990 (1)	3:52 (2)	74 (1)
5:4			

		7.100	They General of Massachusetts
9:21	accountable (1)	131:24;132:3	alive (1)
78 (2)	187:11	advocated (1)	32:12
16:17;52:16	accountant (4)	103:11	allegation (2)
79 (1)	50:15;56:11;74:23;75:2	affairs (8)	145:3,11
11:3	accounting (1)	65:14;185:6,8,12,13,20,22;	allegations (3)
	171:20	186:2	144:21,24;154:14
8	accounts (1)	affected (1)	allow (3)
	111:9	180:13	20:3;62:21;101:3
8% (1)	accurate (1)	affidavit (2)	
104:2	159:16	162:10,15	allowed (8)
80 (2)	acquaintance (2)	affiliated (4)	35:19,23;52:8;100:23;
23:2,2	42:11,12	13:19;14:3;43:22,24	101:18;104:17;105:20;183:1
80% (3)	acquainted (1)	AFTERNOON (1)	almost (2)
5:24;113:3;197:14	179:8	106:13	39:6;58:2
87 (2)	acreage (1)		alone (1)
32:16,17	108:23	again (12)	118:16
8th (1)	acres (1)	13:11;74:16;77:12;86:16;	along (10)
195:24	23:5	102:6;104:6;131:23;132:22;	36:17;63:14;117:9;134:6;
193.24		157:8;161:7;167:2;190:21	153:4;172:10,21;193:2,5;199:2
9	across (3)	against (13)	alternative (1)
	17:2;36:3;53:4	113:24,24;115:15,16;153:19;	127:4
9 (2)	acted (2)	155:5,7,9,9,24;156:4;157:7;	alternatives (2)
	63:15;196:21	158:7	145:16;187:8
32:5;78:23	action (6)	age (3)	although (2)
9,000 (1)	77:5;153:22,24;154:9,14,21	31:23;190:8,13	115:11;147:17
77:19	actions (1)	agency (1)	always (10)
9,700 (5)	154:5	14:8	35:6;41:24;61:1,11;82:4,8;
80:6;92:7,14;102:16;152:5	actual (2)	aggravation (2)	105:2;159:10;185:19,22
	86:13;99:6	196:6,15	amenity (2)
A	Actually (17)	aggregate (5)	24:1,3
1 *11/4 /4>	8:11;13:11,11;47:14;50:9;	108:10;109:14;111:16;	among (10)
abilities (1)	71:18;80:24;103:13;104:24;	119:12;120:12	94:6,10;104:11;130:19;
191:4	113:7;149:13;164:6;174:16;	aggregated (2)	141:1;144:14;148:3;154:10;
ability (1)	181:7;182:8;191:12;192:6	110:20;111:3	184:22;193:23
190:4	acumen (2)	ago (19)	amongst (3)
able (14)	189:19,21	8:14,15;13:9;22:17;26:21;	49:2;114:13;146:21
52:6;71:4;104:13;111:23;	add (5)	31:16,17,19;32:6;47:19;66:15;	amount (19)
119:14;120:2;129:23;131:20;	91:23;93:7;109:8;112:9,20	69:21;74:2,17;75:3;86:9;105:3;	13:15;25:16;28:13,14,15;
158:3;165:20,22;171:2;182:21;	adding (1)	146:2,3	56:2;81:14;82:24;86:13;92:22;
196:11	121:6	agree (17)	116:8;119:7;156:19,24;158:17;
above (5)	addition (5)	24:2;103:3;117:11;118:10;	159:14;161:12;178:12;194:20
163:3,10,16;164:9,19	63:11;67:14;92:14;116:16;	119:5;151:23;159:22;161:4,11;	amounts (6)
Absolutely (4)	142:2	162:4;164:17;175:6,7;188:16;	92:1;152:8;158:19,19;160:1;
10:22;161:6;173:8;190:2	additional (4)	189:18;190:21;197:24	188:18
abutter (1)	79:15;91:23;92:17;105:10	AGREED (10)	analysis (6)
128:10	address (5)	4:2;18:11;43:14;50:17;63:21;	49:14;89:23;105:24,24;
abutting (1) 58:6	5:1;7:2;179:14;180:11;	76:20;117:4;120:16;142:18;	108:11;165:10
	181:24	198:6	analytical (1)
accelerating (1) 122:2	addressed (4)	agreeing (3)	144:18
	145:16;155:1;180:18,21	92:14;194:23,24	and/or (2)
accept (2) 109:1;152:8	addressing (1)	agreement (18)	122:10;196:15
•	122:7	23:20;108:21;116:12;119:8,	Anderson (1)
acceptable (3)	administrative (1)	11,12,21;120:14;124:6,10,20;	89:1
129:12;188:14;199:7	135:21	127:8;133:13;135:8;151:7;	announced (2)
access (1)	admit (1)	196:3;198:22;199:6	94:14;95:2
170:9	134:12	ahead (7)	announcement (1)
accommodates (1)	admitted (1)	36:20;78:18;86:21,24;98:14;	95:4
117:6	141:19	133:14;162:24	annual (12)
accomplish (3)	Advanced (6)	al (2)	24:18;65:20,21;66:2;67:6,10;
84:15;191:13;199:23	10:18,23;11:17;12:5;14:14;	1:8,13	74:14;83:8,9;183:19;184:13;
according (2)	187:8	Alex (2)	185:1
110:18;193:5	advantage (1)	29:16;99:17	annually (2)
Account (3)	99:23	ALEXANDER (4)	50:1;166:4
12:20;48:24;158:18	advice (2)	1:7,18;4:11,18	answered (1)
	<u></u>		

63:23 asserted (3) 50:11 57:9;91:14 anticipate (1) 102:3;155:23;156:3 audits (1) basically (21) 171:18 assess (3) 50:9 9:8;21:3;24:5;66:18;73:14; Apart (9) 102:7;128:10;129:17 aunt (3) 76:6;77:23;78:23;79:4;85:10; 29:12;46:2,12;50:23;52:11; assessed (1) 7:16,21;8:10 95:21;113:4;117:2;125:1; 173:21;176:6;181:18;199:23 22:16 authorized (3) 126:9;135:7;149:21;151:8; apologize (1) assessment (9) 97:8;141:9;192:21 179:6;187:17;198:19 28:16 52:5;85:20;86:1;129:11,11, available (4) basis (14) apparently (1) 15,18,24;130:15 12:11;149:7;150:22;193:12 82:9;103:8;105:14,15,21; 81:17 assessments (2) average (2) 109:15;118:16;130:17;141:16, appoint (1) 85:23;88:5 85:4;110:14 17;151:11;165:19;170:20; assets (5) 37:4 aware (48) 194:13 appointed (4) 113:20;149:9,10;160:10; 22:11;25:18;56:16;58:13,24; Bay (3) 16:18;18:10;19:24;187:11 162:20 61:5;62:5;66:7,19,22;70:24; 13:20;118:6;180:23 appointment (1) assignment (1) 71:9;73:24;75:15,17;78:1,6; BC (1) 18:11 88:22 81:1;83:24;84:4;86:3,8;90:10: 1:7 appraisal (8) assist (1) 93:18,22;96:1,6,8;98:20,23; beach (6) 89:20;93:20;107:20,23;108:4, 87:15 110:18,23;116:7;144:24;154:7; 51:14;52:20;169:12,13,15; 7;157:19,21 assisted (1) 157:21;163:8,22;164:6;174:21; 181:1 appraisals (10) 8:12 181:21;182:16;184:22;185:17; became (34) 107:13.18:110:19:121:21: associated (5) 187:14;193:6,11;200:2 11:10,12;20:8;24:14,15;25:1, 157:15;193:6,10,11,13;195:16 166:23;171:9;173:15;174:19; | awareness (2) 20;34:3;38:13,14,21;39:18; appraise (1) 196:15 16:7,8 40:2,23;41:13;62:22;63:3,5,6, 88:8 Associates (6) away (7) 14,14,16,17;64:14;65:15;67:6; appraised (1) 10:8,11,13,14;13:20;77:5 7:17;8:2,11,12;9:6;111:20; 69:7,9;82:23;83:4;129:11; 108:21 associations (1) 159:6 141:12;151:7;154:4 appraiser (1) 46:4 become (11) 89:4 16:12;17:7;66:19,22;73:24; assume (3) В appreciation (1) 71:14;110:4;176:13 83:24;86:3,8;121:24;154:20; 105:10 assuming (1) BA (1) 161:19 appropriate (8) 189:10 8:17 becoming (7) 33:20;103:1;121:3;129:13; assumption (1) back (21) 19:1,2;25:11;32:21,21;35:1; 135:9;157:16;178:20;189:22 130:18 26:20,24;32:13,14;46:1; 39:14 attempt (2) approved (8) 60:20;61:6,13;71:23;72:10; began (6) 63:12;109:18;115:23;116:12; 127:13;168:8 73:3;117:6,7;127:11;136:8; 7:15;11:7;69:18;76:7;98:6; 119:16,19;142:21;182:17 attempted (1) 149:19;150:3;152:21;164:5; 122:23 approximately (6) 106:24 198:20;199:1 begin (2) 8:9;60:9;69:21;74:2;159:20, attempts (1) background (11) 74:13;176:20 23 150:21 8:16;16:22;17:22;18:4;19:3; beginning (8) area (15) attend (5) 20:2;33:12,16;34:15;38:24; 28:24;29:2;63:17;73:5; 45:4,6;54:1,6;76:5;101:7,11; 24:18;183:7,9;192:9,16 189:8 122:18;123:1;147:22;160:2 134:14;143:21;164:24;176:18, attended (2) balked (1) begins (2) 19:180:12:189:13:192:2 124:3;192:18 79:4 178:17;179:19 areas (3) attention (4) ball (15) behalf (1) 26:7;110:1;172:7 17:3;73:17;75:12;135:24 15:11,18;51:12;52:12;54:2; 97:8 **ATTORNEY (9)** argument (1) 134:23;135:5,9;137:18,21; behind (1) 155:14 1:11;44:23;45:8,22;46:18; 138:7,18:139:13:176:15:179:3 146:8 armed (1) 48:4;74:15;130:22;198:5 belonging (1) ballpark (2) 8:24 attorneys (2) 170:22;171:3 7:16 around (24) 151:17;198:8 below (6) Bank (27) 7:22;8:13;16:13;17:14;19:11; attractive (1) 17:4,6,8;48:9,10,11,15,16,17, 84:2,5,9;90:22;164:14;170:7 22:24;23:6;32:4;35:19;37:24; 60:3 beneficiaries (1) 19,22;49:3,6,11,12,16,17; 52:22;54:6;57:7;61:1;77:15; attribute (1) 118:22;150:8,18;151:4,5,9,12, 161:2 119:17;120:2;121:24;130:13, 102:2 15,22;152:8 beneficiary (2) 14;152:3;159:5;160:21;181:1 attributed (2) banks (2) 25:5;165:11 arrangement (4) 96:2,7 49:3:58:5 benefit (1) 101:16;141:2,17;190:7 at-will (1) bare (1) 157:14 arrangements (2) 153:2 53:5 benefited (1) 141:15;160:3 auctioned (1) based (15) 78:16 arriving (1) 90:18 31:22;43:14;77:17;82:12; benefiting (1) 131:24 audited (1) 85:23;93:19;103:19;109:12,12, 182:22 aspersions (2) 49:24 14;117:15,24;121:19,20;162:6 benign (1) 188:5;190:22 auditing (1) basement (2) 114:9

October 11, 2011		Atto	rney General of Massachusetts
best (10)	148:17	5.2.4	40-4
	1	5:2,4	49:4
17:8;33:6;36:22;62:1;74:16;	borrowed (3)	B-u-r-k-e (1)	carry (1)
76:2;88:2;137:17;147:12;	17:17;49:5;148:20	5:4	34:17
175:19	Boston (5)	business (17)	case (23)
Beth (1)	1:23;10:15;11:14;17:1;	9:7,9,14,17;10:2;11:18,22;	69:23;70:1,9;71:14;77:4;
198:11	143:20	12:11,14;13:13;44:5;48:18,21;	78:23;80:13;81:15;91:17;
better (7)	both (4)	183:23;184:23;189:8,19	113:22;119:4;120:6;153:14;
63:20;121:16;133:15;165:10,	16:9;59:13;111:24;178:22	buy (5)	154:8,20,24;156:9;158:11;
20,22;179:10	bought (6)	15:7;89:24;120:16;121:13;	162:12;166:10;184:15;196:19;
betterment (9)	40:10;41:12;62:11,15;91:24;	161:24	198:7
130:5,15;131:3,5,13,21;	95:10	buyer (1)	cases (2)
132:13,17;133:1	boy (3)	121:9	59:22;71:11
beyond (1)	7:18;37:14,18	buying (3)	cash (2)
193:9	brand (1)	91:15;118:20;120:6	108:11;160:7
bid (3)	12:13	71.13,110.20,120.0	Casner (1)
140:15;143:1;144:8	break (6)	C	1:21
bidder (1)	57:19;63:4;64:7,12;152:11;		cast (1)
143:23	175:20	cable (2)	190:22
bidding (6)	bridge (1)		
122:15;140:18;142:8;143:2,	149:17	51:20,20	casting (1)
		cables (1)	188:5
10,13 Pia (2)	Brief (2)	23:19	casual (1)
Big (2)	64:13;152:13	calculation (1)	18:12
6:12;137:13	briefly (2)	32:4	casually (1)
bigger (1)	11:5;152:16	calendar (1)	66:6
197:6	BRIMNER (3)	83:13	category (3)
bill (10)	1:18;4:11,18	California (1)	128:22;176:12;179:21
71:20;73:7;132:7,9;182:12,	B-r-i-m-n-e-r (1)	10:16	causing (1)
13,14;198:14,20;200:4	4:20	call (8)	139:13
bills (3)	brings (1)	9:9;13:3;36:18;43:1;116:24;	cease (1)
69:23;71:7;72:4	46:1	181:19;197:10,11	31:9
Bill's (1)	broke (1)	called (6)	ceased (6)
199:22	142:16	48:13;55:13;87:18;128:11;	30:12,18,24;31:24;32:3;69:17
birth (1)	broker (4)	176:23;185:19	center (4)
6:10	16:5,10;17:23,24	calling (1)	51:10,11;52:12;172:18
bit (6)	brokers (2)	82:12	centralized (3)
17:19;91:18;101:10;151:17;	14:2,23	calls (1)	54:1;136:15;137:13
152:21;154:4	brought (9)	120:14	cents (2)
blend (1)	98:1;126:19;131:18;144:4;	Cambridge (2)	194:16,17
194:9	146:4,6;154:1,23;184:15	151:5,12	Century (4)
blessed (1)	brush (1)	came (18)	13:20;14:3;61:24;62:3
199:11	53:2	17:5;32:18;36:17;43:15,18;	certain (5)
blizzard (1)	budget (3)	44:1,16;54:15;67:14;108:10;	80:9;82:24;145:13;155:4;
52:15	139:18,23;166:8	144:9;146:11;158:20,22;	172:6
bluff (1)	build (1)	166:15;185:14;197:19;199:14	certainly (7)
118:6	85:1	can (28)	44:18;109:10;122:9;123:7;
board (11)	builders (1)	7:19;17:12;36:1,1;47:20;	136:2;161:15;195:18
30:7;36:15;46:3;48:1;65:4,	178:19	56:21;61:9;68:16;70:20;76:3;	cesspools (2)
10,13;97:13;135:16;186:14;	building (3)	91:16;103:23;117:14;122:21;	100:24;123:6
191:1	39:8;46:6;91:14	147:12;155:14;163:9,20;	chair (9)
boards (7)	buildings (1)	164:17;170:19;171:1;178:2;	28:20,22,23;29:2;38:11,12,
45:9,10,13;46:3,12,13,17	9:10	183:2,4;187:7;192:3;196:6;	13,14;121:24
bodies (1)	built (6)	198:4	chairman (14)
187:11	10:11;62:2;103:15;136:14;	capacity (1)	28:8;63:5,7,15,15,17,17,22;
bonds (2)	148:5,17	155:16	65:16;68:6;69:10;144:17;194:5,
163:24;164:7	bulk (1)	capitalize (1)	7
bookkeeping (3)	89:24	93:7	chairman's (1)
178:15,23,23	bunch (1)	capture (2)	97:12
books (7)	136:3	93:6;133:3	change (5)
49:24;50:11;56:6,12;69:1,5,	Burgess (1)	Capturing (2)	60:19;74:3;80:4;191:7,11
14	17:1	132:23,24	changed (13)
boring (1)	buried (1)	care (1)	60:14;75:24;91:6;102:19;
135:1	23:19	19:10	114:15,17;131:13;190:13;
borrow (1)	Burke (2)	careful (1)	195:17,18,23;197:3;198:1
	· *	` ′	, -,,,,

	T		
changes (4)	81:23	community (5)	conclusion (2)
29:21;30:4;74:7;80:8	clean (2)	23:10;51:10,11;52:12;172:18	123:16;132:1
changing (3)	101:7,11	companies (1)	concurrence (1)
107:4,6;114:20 character (2)	clients (1) 198:21	107:14	193:1
189:15;190:1	Cliff (5)	company (15) 10:19;13:1,12,18;54:8,11,23;	concurrent (1) 40:12
characterize (2)	27:23;118:8,12;119:5;120:7	55:12,20;87:18;88:4;106:24;	condition (4)
160:16;162:18	climate (1)	143:22;176:23;177:1	175:5,9;180:4;181:14
characterized (1)	160:17	comparable (1)	condo (2)
157:22	clip (1)	76:4	109:20;111:5
charge (9)	36:15	compare (2)	condominium (7)
11:11;34:2;77:22;100:20;	close (10)	22:21;105:23	109:22;111:11,23;112:10,20;
131:6,20;141:1;172:19;197:16	19:6;30:14;42:8,17;43:1;	compared (3)	119:20;120:23
charged (10)	90:24;91:15;121:23;123:3;	24:1;109:7;129:3	condominiums (1)
25:19;75:24;80:5;82:4;84:1;	196:7	compensation (1)	120:15
86:11;103:7;157:22;194:12,20	closed (1)	29:13	conduct (2)
charges (3)	35:6	competition (1)	183:16;184:23
157:15;158:8;172:24	closer (3)	140:17	conducted (3)
charging (3)	32:5;86:13;112:11	competitive (3)	74:4;183:13,23
77:19;78:21;129:13	closing (1)	13:13;140:18;142:8	Conductor (1)
charitable (4)	15:8	competitor (1)	12:13
73:15;74:9;182:22;183:4	code (1)	10:21	Conductors (1)
charities (1)	58:9	complain (1)	12:17
46:13	coffee (1)	133:20	confidential (1)
cheaper (1) 150:9	162:1	complained (2)	46:23
checking (1)	collect (1) 104:13	124:14;196:8 complaint (2)	Congress (1) 1:22
48:24	collected (2)	124:18,19	connect (1)
children (4)	116:21;117:20	complaints (2)	100:4
6:4,5,6;126:11	collecting (4)	126:5,24	connected (1)
chip (1)	116:13;117:1,12;153:10	complete (1)	99:24
10:19	collection (6)	99:18	connection (4)
choice (1)	72:1,1,2;117:15;118:1;127:12	completed (3)	49:10;74:5;162:11;194:21
101:5	collects (1)	99:3,19;196:21	Conomo (1)
choose (3)	56:14	compliant (1)	26:8
186:20;187:1;191:14	college (2)	123:8	conservation (1)
choosing (1)	9:12,20	complicated (1)	135:1
187:5	Collier (2)	47:3	consider (2)
chunk (1)	107:20;193:17	comply (1)	84:13;153:5
11:21 circumstances (2)	combine (1) 56:24	184:9	considerably (1) 22:23
6:17;11:16	coming (5)	component (1) 167:4	1
claim (3)	69:2;83:11;91:7;109:16;	composition (1)	consideration (5) 71:8,16;100:6;134:9;138:24
145:6;157:7,11	138:5	29:22	considered (4)
claimed (1)	commission (2)	computer (3)	21:23;36:2;146:12;151:16
153:22	15:22;135:1	72:13;177:24;178:1	consisted (2)
claiming (1)	commitment (1)	computerized (1)	65:2;185:23
154:13	100:18	72:20	consistent (1)
claims (10)	Committee (23)	concept (3)	156:19
97:1;113:24;115:16,24;	46:6,9;76:10;98:7;117:5;	161:4;162:4;192:7	consists (1)
154:10;155:4,23;156:3;158:3,7	162:5;183:10,11;185:6,8,11,13,	concern (7)	166:19
Clarification (1)	14,19,19,22;186:2;187:9;	81:17;97:18;115:7,15;126:8,	constructed (1)
69:9	192:10,13,20;193:4,12	8,14	53:8
clarify (2)	committee's (1)	concerned (7)	constructing (1)
68:16;115:2	191:7	114:3,19;115:19;135:14;	78:15
CLARK (3)	common (3)	141:12;156:5;179:18	construction (16)
1:18;4:11,18 Clasby (13)	110:1;156:8;172:7	concerning (7)	122:12,16;130:1,4,6,12,13;
49:19;50:3,7,14;56:9,11,13,	commonality (1) 154:9	25:11;73:12;94:10;98:10; 126:7;154:16;186:3	131:14,15,19;140:10;142:14,
24;75:1,6,7,8,12	COMMONWEALTH (2)	concerns (3)	24;143:3;144:7;184:2 consult (1)
class (7)	1:4,12	95:15;97:24;98:4	77:11
153:22,24;154:2,5,9,14,21	communicated (5)	concluded (1)	consultant (3)
clause (1)	42:24;125:9,11;132:11,14	200:7	87:14,22;88:1

October 11, 2011		Atto	rney General of Massachusetts
consulted (2)	167:4,7,9,10;171:3;172:3;	115:11	deceased (3)
78:20;131:2	196:16	cubic (1)	7:1;8:1;20:23
context (2)	costing (1)	144:5	December (1)
60:3;126:15	144:8	cup (1)	196:3
continue (5)	costs (13)	162:1	decide (2)
45:14;76:19;100:22;148:1;	95:22;111:21;133:24;134:21;		118:22;196:13
182:18	135:2;136:7;138:21;139:9;	13:8;76:11;120:24;134:13;	decided (5)
continued (6)	140:8,9;144:15;145:13;165:16	15.8,76.11,120.24,134.13,	
7:24;37:23;38:1,2;165:18;	cottage (50)	190:7,22;191:1,10,18	11:19;12:8;20:17;47:3;94:23
182:20	6:19;7:2,14,22;8:3,8;15:9;	currently (6)	decision (3) 132:12;156:7;184:14
continuing (2)	18:18;19:21;26:10;27:3,9,20;		decisions (1)
146:15,20	28:1,3;37:16,22;39:16,21,22;	147:6	186:3
contract (8)	40:3,4;41:18;42:13,18;52:8;	Cynthia (1)	declined (1)
140:21,22;141:5,6,7;143:22;	61:22;70:2,8,11,17,24;71:2,19;	1:24	153:8
144:2,7	78:8,12,13;84:22;87:5;91:12;	1.27	declining (1)
contracting (1)	92:13,23;109:24;112:1;120:15;	D	41:22
143:15	121:1;124:23;125:1,6;179:23		deed (1)
contractor (5)	cottages (52)	damage (1)	151:13
52:24;54:5;143:19;149:15;	7:11;14:21,24;15:4;16:5,9;	158:3	Defendants (1)
174:15	22:3,6,10,24;23:24;26:7;34:11;	damages (1)	1:14
contracts (2)	39:20;41:23;42:9;48:12;50:24;	158:4	definitively (1)
141:9;144:6	57:4,8,11,19;58:11,20,22;59:16,	Dan (6)	44:18
contractual (1)	21;60:9;61:7,16;69:24;71:8;	49:19;75:1,6,7,8,12	degree (2)
141:14	76:5;85:1,3;86:4,5,12,19;87:8,	dangerous (1)	8:21;60:1
contribute (6)	9;90:11,14,19;91:1,2;92:1;	91:10	deliver (1)
19:4;20:3;65:24;78:2,6,15	95:10;105:20;106:20;136:21;	dare (1)	192:21
contributions (2)	188:7	59:24	deliveries (1)
117:14,24	cottage's (1)	Darling (1)	57:23
control (1)	92:23	5:2	delivery (1)
21:16	COUNSEL (4)	Dartmouth (1)	136:1
controlled (1)	4:3;43:5;131:24;132:3	163:13	demographics (3)
21:8	couple (6)	data (2)	91:6;114:17,19
controversy (1)	12:12;64:9;170:14;171:5;	76:24;94:12	DEP (21)
139:11	182:3;196:12	date (10)	77:21;78:1;101:6,9,11,16;
conversation (1)	course (1)	6:10;16:17;38:1;45:20;84:8;	122:10,19;123:1,16,24;124:7;
18:13	100:22	99:5,6;116:14;130:7;160:4	127:8,11;135:1,8,13;141:20,21;
conversations (3)	COURT (15)	dated (1)	142:21;149:16
18:24;96:15,16	1:5,24;47:10,13;81:5,15;	16:15	departed (1)
conversion (1) 120:14	115:23;154:24;156:7,8;157:5;		187:1
converted (1)	182:24;184:17;190:18;196:19	46:7	department (1)
20:14	cousins (2) 21:1;47:1	Daughter (7)	194:24
cooperate (1)	cover (2)	27:12;39:23,24,24;40:7,7;	depend (1)
71:2	115:1;173:14	62:21 daughter's (1)	180:11 depending (2)
Cooperative (3)	covered (3)	27:13	81:2;105:11
48:14;49:16,17	53:2;95:21;174:1	David (2)	deponent (1)
coordinated (1)	crafted (1)	27:17,18	4:4
143:5	155:15	day (16)	DEPOSITION (5)
corner (1)	cranes (1)	65:17,17;69:6,6,8,8;71:24,24;	1:17;4:4,5;195:5;200:7
15:13	53:1	96:12;111:11;119:17;120:3;	depositions (1)
corporate (1)	Crane's (1)	121:18;145:21;178:17;179:5	96:13
21:7	52:20	days (6)	depreciated (1)
corporation (4)	created (2)	4:5;23:17;76:3;95:11;96:13;	85:18
21:7,14;47:4;106:15	51:15;114:12	147:9	depreciation (4)
corpus (4)	creation (1)	dead (3)	85:8,10,16,24
161:6,8,10;163:2	111:5	31:19,21,22	derive (1)
correspondence (1)	credentials (1)	deadbeats (1)	120:8
47:15	89:4	153:12	describe (2)
cost (28)	criminal (1)	deal (8)	9:3;62:23
78:15,19;84:24;85:2,8,24;	184:15	77:24;112:23;115:23;122:16;	described (6)
105:6;127:5,20;129:2,19,23;	cropping (1)	164:19;179:24;192:22;196:15	35:3;64:3;104:15,24;155:3;
130:3;133:20;134:20;141:17,	197:5	debt (2)	166:24
19;145:1;150:18;162:7;163:10;	crossed (1)	111:20;150:23	design (8)
			1

Attorney General of Massaci	ruserts		October 11, 2011
135:2;137:12;140:11,12;	directional (1)	1:9	68:7,18;79:12,12;82:5;86:3;
141:22;142:3,6,18	134:13	document (6)	97:7;130:1;131:14,15,19;
designed (1) 135:22	directly (2) 11:19;126:6	23:20;100:7;154:18;172:12;	145:19;147:3;159:11;169:7;
desirability (1)	director (1)	182:12;196:16 documentation (1)	175:20;177:15;185:18 duties (1)
22:22	11:12	84:19	24:16
desirable (2)	directors (3)	documents (5)	24.10
22:24;187:21	20:16,20,23	43:16;44:23;64:15;113:21;	${f E}$
desire (1)	disagree (2)	195:1	
104:8	94:13;193:16	dollar (2)	Eagle (1)
detail (6)	disagreement (1)	71:16,20	128:2
36:11;39:1;71:5;140:24;	94:6	dollars (9)	earlier (5)
148:9;177:19	DiSalvo (3)	83:2;116:20;118:22;139:21;	106:14;147:9;155:4;166:7;
detailed (1)	96:13;102:13;199:7	149:7;158:12,15,21;171:5	193:13
165:13	discharged (1)	Don (23)	earliest (2)
details (5)	123:10	26:15,23;27:4,8;37:5;40:20;	16:24;76:3
22:12;49:8;70:13;73:23;	discharging (1)	43:3,12;54:19;63:9,9,16,18;	early (4)
177:15 determination (2)	100:24 discount (1)	122:23;124:3,12;126:2;130:24;	14:12,16,17;62:2
130:3;132:13	108:22	132:4;141:4,8;147:15,23 Donald (6)	easier (1) 150:9
determine (11)	discounted (1)	16:20;69:10,13;73:10;79:8;	East (2)
87:24;89:17;90:5;91:11,21;	108:11	87:23	5:2,3
102:20;112:18;129:17;150:21;	discouraged (1)	done (35)	E-a-s-t (1)
181:2;183:1	196:22	4:4;45:12;48:12;52:19,23;	5:4
determined (7)	discuss (1)	53:3;58:16;73:18,19;86:2;	educated (1)
94:4,19;95:1;97:15;129:20;	67:1	100:12;104:7;107:23;108:4,7;	154:4
178:11;181:5	discussed (7)	121:21;122:13;124:15;132:5;	educational (2)
determining (1) 79:6	108:9;130:18,21;131:1; 146:21;152:16;192:8	138:16;141:16;151:2,9,10,13; 165:9;171:2;176:23;181:2,8,16,	8:16;33:15 Edwards (1)
develop (1)	Discussing (1)	17;182:2;193:7,17	1:21
175:17	66:24	Dorothy (2)	effect (2)
developed (1)	Discussion (14)	13:22;14:19	87:4;150:10
152:22	9:24;15:24;24:21;26:5;29:20;	down (40)	effectively (1)
Development (2)	65:24;106:7;125:13;145:20;	7:19;14:10;15:19;21:2;24:9,	161:19
46:9;122:12	146:1;148:3,8;150:14;192:1	23;37:13,14,14;43:21;51:3;	effort (4)
Devices (5) 10:18,24;11:17;12:5;14:14	discussions (6) 18:9;25:10,24;63:8;192:12;	53:22;55:15;57:19;62:14,16;	25:15;94:4;130:2;135:2
die (1)	197:21	63:4;68:21,24;92:5;97:20; 106:19;112:4;113:4,15;114:13;	efforts (1) 152:7
176:20	disgruntled (2)	119:24;126:21;134:14;142:17;	either (10)
died (5)	47:15;102:10	145:17;160:13;161:22;162:14;	33:3;64:8;100:19;101:5;
26:21;31:15,17;32:6;38:2	dispose (3)	169:12;171:23;185:10;188:1;	106:20;129:11;131:9;139:4;
difference (3)	9:14;21:3;134:5	194:16,18	153:13;198:9
104:3;109:5;158:18	disposed (1)	drafted (1)	elected (1)
different (14)	9:17	79:7	38:20
23:13;49:12;80:16;107:14, 15;134:5,10;141:10;145:19;	disposing (1) 123:5	drawings (1) 122:12	electric (2)
146:22;165:14;188:10;191:3,4	dissent (1)	drilling (2)	23:19;59:2 electrical (2)
differential (1)	94:10	134:13,16	172:16,17
117:5	distance (2)	drive (2)	electricity (1)
differentiating (3)	61:11;134:16	57:7;137:7	172:19
96:9,10;101:14	distribute (1)	driver (1)	electronic (1)
difficult (4)	163:6	135:11	10:11
58:2;91:22;160:17;191:5	distributing (1)	drives (1)	electronics (1)
difficulties (3) 19:7,7;63:13	162:6 district (1)	179:5 due (1)	13:5
difficulty (2)	11:9	140:10	else (11) 11:10;27:8,11,24;30:20;
33:22;91:24	divide (2)	dug (2)	91:14;97:23;101:5;138:14;
digital (1)	5:20,23	23:18;128:5	165:21;170:1
11:13	divided (1)	duly (1)	elsewhere (2)
DIRECT (3)	49:2	4:12	20:5;138:22
4:15;11:20;173:14	dock (2)	During (30)	embarrass (1)
directed (1)	52:15,16	7:9,13;12:4;27:4;29:9;35:5;	88:16
155:6	Docket (1)	36:12;41:1,8;42:7;60:21,24;	employed (1)
			

12:21 1:11 exclusive (1) exterior (1) emptied (1) escalated (1) 35:21 58:16 Excuse (1) 137:9 136:7 extremely (1) empty (1) escrow (3) 98:13 91:22 79:15,18;158:17 60:3 executed (2) encourage (1) especially (1) 141:5,8 F 81:22 122:13 exempt (1) end (15) essentially (1) 73:15 face (2) 70:5;77:9,14;109:17,19; EXHIBITS (1) 123:6 52:17;80:12 111:11,15;114:10;116:13; ESSEX (2) 1:3 facility (2) 121:17;134:11;140:13;144:7. 1:5;26:8 exist (3) 49:10;78:16 17;164:4 established (1) 20:20:57:3:185:16 fact (14) ended (2) 66:9 existed (2) 34:16;43:15;65:13;66:1: 11:7;140:3 estate (11) 24:4;114:14 70:10;78:21;89:14;93:18; ending (1) 12:5,9;13:18;14:8,23;16:4,10; existence (1) 98:23;107:3;112:19;120:22; 123:17 76:7;98:24;172:7;189:23 95:12 191:17:197:7 endowment (1) estimate (12) existing (4) fade (1) 163:13 17:13,14;31:23;57:22;72:21; 81:5;105:13;115:13,14 190:12 enforced (2) 118:15;147:12;158:16;170:20, expect (9) fail (1) 36:6,10 20;171:3;175:19 73:4;104:23;105:6,14;166:12, 167:18 engineer (6) estimation (1) 14;168:6,10;175:24 failed (1) 125:13,15,19;181:4,6,8 17:9 expectancy (5) 198:19 engineering (4) et (2) 167:14,15;168:2,6,21 fair (50) 140:10;142:13;167:1,5 1:8.13 expectation (4) 16:3;21:23;40:11;84:2,5,9,13; engineers (1) evaluate (1) 59:20;130:14;156:17;168:23 86:11;89:17;91:8;94:5,16,18, 134:18 121:4 expected (10) 20,24;95:16;96:9,10;98:24; engineer's (1) Even (13) 63:1;86:12;114:23;129:10; 102:17;103:3,10,19;104:15; 181:10 23:1;41:20;50:6;103:14; 144:8;165:17;166:16;167:17, 105:24;106:24;109:6;110:6,15; enlisted (1) 123:9;136:2;146:12,19;149:2; 20:169:4 112:1,6,9,23;116:9,13,21; 76:22 154:20;188:16;191:17;196:10 expecting (4) 117:12,15,20;118:1,24;119:5; event (1) enough (3) 36:19;101:21;157:6;169:7 133:4;134:1;156:18,18,24; 40:11;88:19;161:20 31:2 expenditure (1) 157:23;183:3;197:16 ensued (1) events (2) 72:8 fairly (6) 125:13 77:17:194:19 expenditures (1) 50:21;64:3;83:2;104:9; Eventually (1) entailed (2) 72:6 150:24;181:17 62:24:63:2 142:18 expense (9) fall (1) enter (1) everybody (4) 133:10;148:18;166:23;171:9; 180:14 71:4 23:8,9;125:2;134:18 174:19:176:7:177:21:179:14: falls (1) entered (1) exact (3) 196:17 179:20 56:4;77:16;84:8 116:3 familiar (11) expenses (6) entering (1) exactly (6) 68:22;171:17;172:14;173:10, 16:4;43:2;57:4;71:9,10; 148:6 8:10;47:9;60:11;94:1;180:4; 15;181:13 84:21;109:2,2;164:1;165:16; entire (7) expensive (1) 194:11 97:13;101:7,11;121:11,13; **EXAMINATION (1)** 170:12 familiarity (1) 178:18:199:1 4:15 experience (3) 19:9 entirely (3) examined (1) 23:13;37:12;189:13 FAMILY (22) 48:17;53:10;77:22 4:13 experienced (1) 1:5;7:10;19:5;20:4;21:2.8: entirety (1) example (7) 143:20 26:13;27:8,24;28:5;34:13; 121:9 47:24;60:2;71:3;96:6,12; expert (5) 37:23;38:2;39:16,19;40:3,4; entrance (1) 187:19;191:10 93:16;94:12;143:19;162:9; 47:2,5,6;106:23;188:6 34:6 excavating (2) 165:2 family's (1) entry (1) 9:9:143:19 expertise (4) 21:16 138:3 excavation (2) 44:4;45:22;164:24;181:4 far (7) environment (1) 9:9:10:2 explain (4) 11:6;61:6;71:23;72:10;73:3; 126:10 exceedingly (1) 56:21;122:6;134:4;185:11 125:2,4 equal (5) 175:21 exposure (1) fashion (2) 56:2,4,5;87:2;162:20 except (2) 155:12 72:20;100:19 equipment (1) express (2) 4:6;158:14 father (10) 11:13 exception (1) 95:14;97:24 4:23;9:6;20:24;26:16,17; erosion (10) 59:1 expressed (1) 27:1,1,5;38:2;186:17 52:18;173:21;179:13,18; excess (6) 104:8 father's (2) 180:11,12,16,20:181:19,24 90:23,24;91:8;92:23;162:7; extensive (1) 10:2;18:7 ES09E0094QC (1) 178:7 96:14 favor (2)

147:18,19	165:17;166:4;172:6,7,14;	fire (2)	73:4;169:3
favorable (1)	173:20;174:7,14;175:2,3;	52:7;174:13	forgive (1)
151:6	176:14;177:10;178:4,6;179:14,	fired (1)	48:10
favoring (2)	17,24;180:3,17;181:24;182:21;	198:8	forgot (2)
49:3;147:24	183:6,13,16,23;184:9,23;185:3,	fireplace (1)	63:16;192:6
feasible (2)	5,23;186:1,8,19,24,24;187:1,5,	59:1	form (13)
148:1;182:17	10,10,22;188:6,17;190:8,23,24;	firm (7)	4:6;21:7;54:18;59:7,10;74:8,
federal (1)	191:14;193:23;196:10	18:7;24:7,10;143:15,15,17;	10,14;81:2;130:15;156:20;
75:18	Feoffees' (2)	198:9	159:15;164:3
fee (9) 20:8,15,21,22;72:2;141:16;	43:9;156:16	first (27)	formed (9)
173:14;178:14;194:24	festered (1) 102:9	9:18;15:11;17:5,8;30:13; 32:18;33:6;43:8;48:9,19,21;	54:9,16;55:3,3,3,4;56:23;
feedback (3)	few (10)	49:6,11;66:12;73:24;81:15;	119:20;120:23 forms (5)
125:5,9;127:10	83:2;102:9;116:19;139:18,	90:3;98:1,4;100:14;122:23;	58:13,22;74:18,21;80:16
feel (4)	21;152:12,14;153:12;169:22;	124:6;145:18,24;151:14;	forth (2)
9:13;23:10;135:22;145:14	200:4	173:23;179:17	111:10;123:17
feelings (1)	fewer (2)	fiscal (2)	forties (4)
147:1	159:7,9	83:14,15	6:8;7:22;9:8;61:13
fees (6)	fiberglass (2)	fit (1)	Foster (1)
56:2;166:5,9;171:20;174:2;	168:14,22	190:24	108:5
177:3	field (16)	five (14)	found (1)
fell (1)	15:11,18;51:12;52:12;54:2;	74:16;75:3;77:3,9,14;83:20,	23:20
179:22	122:11;134:24;135:5,9;137:18,	21;90:11,15;92:1;93:21;94:20,	Four (20)
fellow (1)	21;138:7,19;139:13;176:15;	21;95:5	12:18;20:24;55:18;65:3,3,5,6,
88:24	179:4	fix (1)	8,9,11;72:21;136:18;137:9;
felt (9)	fifteen (3)	123:2	147:2,5,9;168:4;170:3,4;184:23
89:16;128:21;134:19;135:20,	86:9;146:2,3	fixed (5)	frame (1)
21;137:17;146:24;147:17; 158:10	fifties (1)	78:19;141:16,23;144:1,3	122:21
Feoffee (73)	20:10 Fifty (3)	flat (2)	freezing (1)
7:9;16:12,18;18:11,13,14,19,	50:8;167:18;168:24	103:8;104:9	175:18
22;19:1,2,13;24:14;25:1,2,11,		flow (2) 108:11;136:21	friends (3)
16,20;29:15;30:13,18,24;31:24;	13:10;14:10;108:10;110:15;	Foley (21)	12:12;42:8;43:1 friendships (1)
32:3,21,21,24,24;33:20;34:3;	115:9;148:23;149:1	30:2,6,9;32:18,21;37:2,5,9;	42:17
35:2,5;37:5,9,24;38:5,21;39:14,	figuring (1)	38:17;39:10,14;40:2,18,23;	front (6)
18;40:2;41:2;42:8;44:16;60:22,	140:11	41:9;68:11;144:18;147:17;	15:12;36:4;52:19;127:21;
23,24;61:3;62:22;63:2,3,7;	file (3)	192:15,16;194:4	180:23;195:17
64:14;67:7;68:8,19;69:7,10;	55:9;75:18,21	Foley's (4)	fruition (1)
73:18;76:1;82:6,23;83:4;86:4;	filed (13)	37:15,23;38:23;40:3	135:19
87:24;97:7;145:20;155:16;	74:9,11,12,14,18,19;115:14;	followed (2)	fulfill (1)
163:15;165:19;185:18;189:5;	152:19;153:19,19;159:8;	178:21;193:24	182:22
190:6;191:17;197:11	190:19;194:8	follows (1)	fulfilled (1)
Feoffees (171)	filing (2)	4:14	183:4
16:19;17:21;18:10;19:17;	4:5;74:13	follow-up (1)	full (4)
24:19,20;25:11;26:1;28:8;	filings (2)	136:9	5:17;57:9;92:24;136:24
29:22;30:1,5,12;31:10;36:6,10;	74:24;75:3	Foote (31)	fully (1)
38:9;39:19;40:16;42:15,16; 43:6,14;44:15,21;45:1,15;46:3;	final (4) 49:14;148:23;149:1;156:7	30:2,6,9;32:18,19,20,24;	85:17
48:18;49:6,21;50:4,12,15,17;	finally (2)	33:19;34:8,17;35:1;36:23;37:6; 38:5;40:20;41:6;56:8,12,14;	function (1)
53:11;54:3;55:18;63:10;64:15,	122:15;135:7	68:12,13;69:6,7,18;72:15;	34:18
19;65:3,14;66:2,9,24;67:1,5,10;	finances (1)	147:16;173:6;178:10,11;179:5;	fund (1) 163:13
68:15,18;69:2,15;70:1,2,6,7,17,	160:6	194:4	funding (1)
23;71:23;73:12,13,18;74:4,13,	financial (8)	Foote's (2)	162:5
24;75:3,18;87:15;92:3;94:6,10,	50:1;68:14,16;69:8,11;	33:11;34:15	furnace (1)
22;97:9,23;98:10,19;102:15;	165:13,14;189:21	force (1)	57:24
104:13;110:6;112:23;113:13,	financially (1)	11:20	further (2)
23;114:1,8;116:13;117:21;	165:11	forced (1)	132:5;153:14
125:12;129:1,8;130:19;137:20;	financing (4)	128:19	future (3)
141:1,6;143:9;144:14;146:18,	48:12;150:8,18,22	forcing (1)	97:9;115:10;128:19
22;147:3,5,9;148:4,13;149:3,11,	find (4)	145:15	
12;150:3,7,22;152:17,22;	49:18;64:22;127:1;190:23	foreclosure (1)	\mathbf{G}
153:14,20;154:15;155:5,9,22,	finish (1)	62:6	
24.156.4 10.150.6 11.160.22.		Fan-11 (7)	11 /45

24;156:4,10;158:6,11;160:23;

70:18

forever (2)

gallon (1)

October 11, 2011		Atto	rney General of Massachusetts
194:13	Croonaugh (20)	harand (2)	24 22 41 12 60 4
gallons (1)	Greenough (20)	hazard (2)	24:22;41:12;60:4
136:19	43:3,12,18;44:6,7,11,14,24;		homes (1)
	45:1,14,21;48:3;50:22;79:8;	head (18)	9:9
gas (1)	87:23;126:2;130:24;131:2;	24:8;43:20;51:2;55:14;68:20,	honest (4)
58:15	132:4,5	23;92:4;97:19;106:18;112:3;	14:10;25:21;140:1;190:11
gate (7)	Greenough's (2)	113:14;119:23;160:12;161:21;	honestly (7)
36:11,14;134:23;138:3,5,6;	45:4;54:19	162:13;171:22;185:9;187:24	54:16;147:16;166:6,11;
169:21	grew (6)	hear (2)	192:23;194:9,22
gave (8)	37:14;40:20;41:15;53:5;	70:4;95:19	hook (2)
11:20;26:24;68:5;88:19;	107:21;193:17	heard (12)	99:4;128:19
96:14;127:9;162:10;182:11	ground (4)	44:9;95:23,23;96:16,19,24;	hooked (3)
GENERAL (8) 1:11;84:23;87:7;105:8;	78:20;123:11;168:23;170:7	108:16;124:18,19;126:5;	100:8;101:17;136:20
128:21;147:18;177:23;191:9	group (1) 198:7	144:20;168:21	hook-up (1)
generally (3)		hearing (1)	127:14
16:4;84:21;105:5	groups (1) 46:17	22:18 heat (11)	hopefully (1)
General's (2)	grown (4)	heat (11)	174:1
46:18;74:15	6:7,8;37:18;39:15	57:20,23;58:4,11,13,22;59:2,	hospitals (1)
generate (2)	guarantee (2)	7,10,14,22 heated (1)	48:1
161:2;163:9	81:21;163:18	57:12	hour (1)
generated (1)	guess (26)	heating (2)	178:16
98:18	7:17,19,20;15:17;17:11;	57:13,16	house (4)
generation (2)	18:23;20:16;30:16;41:20;42:2;	heavily (1)	15:12;36:18;58:6;63:11 houses (3)
21:2,17	52:2;58:2,9;59:24;61:24;74:16;	84:19	22:3;53:21;128:18
George (6)	88:3;96:8;110:23;114:18;	help (1)	housing (1)
16:21;18:1;24:6;28:3;43:10;	135:8;159:24;170:14,17,17;	9:14	77:7
68:9	196:18	helpful (3)	Hum (2)
given (7)	guessing (1)	70:22;189:23,24	34:21;50:19
77:20;97:4;100:6;135:24;	50:21	HEREBY (1)	hundred (1)
138:24;147:21;174:9	guidance (2)	4:2	
	guidance (2)	4.2	83:2
goal (1)	76:23;162:9	1	83:2 husband's (1)
	76:23;162:9	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13	83:2 husband's (1) 27:16
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7)		high (4)	husband's (1) 27:16
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21;	76:23;162:9 H	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17	husband's (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6	76:23;162:9 H half (2)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28)	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6;	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24;	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2;	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13;	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18,	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20;	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3)	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17;
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6,
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1)	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22;	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1)	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1)	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13;	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13; 127:7;134:4;153:18;195:15;	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1)	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13; 127:7;134:4;153:18;195:15; 199:19,20	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18;	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1)	H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13; 127:7;134:4;153:18;195:15; 199:19,20 hard (3)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22	76:23;162:9 H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13; 127:7;134:4;153:18;195:15; 199:19,20 hard (3) 46:6;99:5;189:7	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4 history (11)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22 gravity (2) 134:11;170:2 Great (23)	H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13; 127:7;134:4;153:18;195:15; 199:19,20 hard (3)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4 imagine (2)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22 gravity (2) 134:11;170:2	H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13; 127:7;134:4;153:18;195:15; 199:19,20 hard (3) 46:6;99:5;189:7 Harry (5)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4 history (11) 9:4;11:5;75:23;79:10;82:11,	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4 imagine (2) 134:15;175:22
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22 gravity (2) 134:11;170:2 Great (23) 5:13,14;20:4,6,6,7,12;21:6, 19;22:14,21,23;23:11;24:1;	H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13; 127:7;134:4;153:18;195:15; 199:19,20 hard (3) 46:6;99:5;189:7 Harry (5) 6:21;7:11,13;18:17;67:3 hate (1) 41:20	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4 history (11) 9:4;11:5;75:23;79:10;82:11, 13;117:12,13,19,24;133:8	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4 imagine (2) 134:15;175:22 immediately (4)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22 gravity (2) 134:11;170:2 Great (23) 5:13,14;20:4,6,6,7,12;21:6, 19;22:14,21,23;23:11;24:1; 26:8;47:2;61:10;106:14;107:8;	H half (2)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4 history (11) 9:4;11:5;75:23;79:10;82:11, 13;117:12,13,19,24;133:8 hold (3)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4 imagine (2) 134:15;175:22
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22 gravity (2) 134:11;170:2 Great (23) 5:13,14;20:4,6,6,7,12;21:6, 19;22:14,21,23;23:11;24:1; 26:8;47:2;61:10;106:14;107:8; 122:16;128:1,2;164:19	H half (2) 194:16,16 Hamilton (1) 39:5 Hampshire (1) 10:9 hand (5) 57:1,1;72:22,23;86:18 handled (4) 43:16;67:17,18;192:12 happen (6) 30:14,21;47:18;59:23;81:22; 120:22 happened (11) 8:3;19:17;26:17;45:22;77:13; 127:7;134:4;153:18;195:15; 199:19,20 hard (3) 46:6;99:5;189:7 Harry (5) 6:21;7:11,13;18:17;67:3 hate (1) 41:20	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4 history (11) 9:4;11:5;75:23;79:10;82:11, 13;117:12,13,19,24;133:8 hold (3) 17:16;49:1;168:23	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4 imagine (2) 134:15;175:22 immediately (4) 112:6,10;120:7;198:8
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22 gravity (2) 134:11;170:2 Great (23) 5:13,14;20:4,6,6,7,12;21:6, 19;22:14,21,23;23:11;24:1; 26:8;47:2;61:10;106:14;107:8; 122:16;128:1,2;164:19 greater (1)	H half (2)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4 history (11) 9:4;11:5;75:23;79:10;82:11, 13;117:12,13,19,24;133:8 hold (3) 17:16;49:1;168:23 holding (10)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4 imagine (2) 134:15;175:22 immediately (4) 112:6,10;120:7;198:8 imperative (1)
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22 gravity (2) 134:11;170:2 Great (23) 5:13,14;20:4,6,6,7,12;21:6, 19;22:14,21,23;23:11;24:1; 26:8;47:2;61:10;106:14;107:8; 122:16;128:1,2;164:19 greater (1) 162:20	H half (2)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4 history (11) 9:4;11:5;75:23;79:10;82:11, 13;117:12,13,19,24;133:8 hold (3) 17:16;49:1;168:23 holding (10) 136:15,17,18,22;137:13,14; 138:2,8;166:20;168:13 holds (1)	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4 imagine (2) 134:15;175:22 immediately (4) 112:6,10;120:7;198:8 imperative (1) 161:6 important (2) 70:15,23
goal (1) 25:12 goes (7) 15:16,18;92:18;102:21; 111:8;176:7;179:6 good (28) 19:10;23:7;45:10;52:2;64:6; 95:11;101:24;132:20,24; 167:21;173:6;187:4,16;188:13; 189:14,15;190:10;191:11,18, 19;192:22;193:7;195:13,20; 196:2;197:3,4;198:9 Gottlieb (3) 96:13;102:12;199:8 governance (1) 191:8 government (1) 10:12 graduating (1) 9:4 grass (1) 176:22 gravity (2) 134:11;170:2 Great (23) 5:13,14;20:4,6,6,7,12;21:6, 19;22:14,21,23;23:11;24:1; 26:8;47:2;61:10;106:14;107:8; 122:16;128:1,2;164:19 greater (1)	H half (2)	high (4) 9:12;90:16;150:24;166:13 higher (3) 118:12;119:6;188:17 highly (1) 143:20 Hill (11) 5:2,9;15:11;52:20,22;128:2; 169:14,21;179:19,22;180:23 Hilltop (5) 15:13,16,17,17,18 hindsight (2) 135:13;136:2 hire (1) 54:5 hired (6) 44:7,11;181:4,6,8;198:8 hiring (1) 12:14 Historically (7) 82:22;103:8;104:7;177:18; 183:11;185:2;188:4 history (11) 9:4;11:5;75:23;79:10;82:11, 13;117:12,13,19,24;133:8 hold (3) 17:16;49:1;168:23 holding (10) 136:15,17,18,22;137:13,14; 138:2,8;166:20;168:13	husband's (1) 27:16 hydrants (1) 52:7 hypothetical (1) 121:12 I ice (1) 175:17 idea (14) 37:8;38:6;45:24;54:17; 126:20;127:11;131:12;146:4,6, 19;164:8;187:16;191:11;193:7 ideal (1) 189:4 identified (1) 4:12 identify (1) 158:3 illegal (1) 101:4 imagine (2) 134:15;175:22 immediately (4) 112:6,10;120:7;198:8 imperative (1) 161:6 important (2)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
impossible (2)	16;121:5,18;136:21;151:11;	inter-family (1)	items (1)
101:15;151:19	188:15	71:12	139:16
impression (1)	individually (2)	internal (1)	iterations (3)
128:17	21:20;115:21	94:9	140:12;196:20;197:19
improve (2)	individuals (7)	internally (1)	T
35:2;59:22	22:5;88:6;102:3,10;155:10,	131:1	J
improvement (1)	22;186:8	into (23)	7
133:8	industrial (2)	8:12;12:5;23:24;63:4;91:7;	January (1)
improvements (8) 50:24;51:6;52:14;62:17;	9:10;46:9 inflation (12)	100:24;103:15;116:4;123:10; 128:9,12,19;134:10;136:22;	196:3
84:22;85:1,7,21		148:6;150:12;176:9;178:2;	Jerome (4)
	77:7;105:6,10,15;161:14;		16:20;17:20;26:10;67:3
improving (1)	163:4,17,21,24;164:5,10,19	179:20,22;181:13;189:16,17	Jim (14)
34:18	information (3)	invest (1)	30:2;37:15,18,23;68:11;
include (1)	75:11;144:19;177:7	160:11	88:14,21;89:3;144:18,18;
69:23	informed (2) 70:3,8	invested (5)	147:17;192:14;194:4;198:11
included (1) 172:20	infrastructure (1)	13:12,15;161:1,5;165:5	job (3)
includes (1)	181:21	investigate (5)	52:19;53:6;197:6
116:17	inherited (2)	129:14;134:20;138:2,21; 139:9	jobs (1)
Including (2)	7:17,21	investigated (3)	42:24
14:5;196:20	initial (2)	134:5,24;141:10	joined (9)
income (6)	139:18;178:22	investigation (2)	29:22;30:17,23;64:19;65:15;
75:19;151:9,12;161:2,17;	initially (2)	134:19,21	68:15;69:13;73:12;148:4 joining (1)
162:6	192:14;199:20	investing (1)	25:16
increase (7)	input (1)	160:7	JR (5)
76:8,16;80:12;81:18;104:24;	186:5	investment (7)	1:8,18;4:11,18,21
114:11;140:9	inquiries (2)	84:18;105:13;160:17;180:8;	judge (4)
increased (1)	81:8,11	195:13,21;196:2	157:8;184:11,14;196:20
196:6	inside (1)	investments (3)	judgment (1)
increases (2)	58:8	163:9,12,19	162:11
105:5;162:7	insisted (1)	invited (4)	Judith (1)
increasing (1)	101:11	183:7,9;184:24;185:3	6:3
23:4	install (2)	involved (12)	July (4)
23:4 incur (2)	install (2) 32:24:124:22	involved (12) 15:3;46:22;63:13:93:24:94:2:	July (4) 83:17:160:2:179:1:195:24
incur (2)	32:24;124:22	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24
	32:24;124:22 installation (4)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17	32:24;124:22	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2)	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1)	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12,	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19,	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,49,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9,	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4,
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1)	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21;
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29)	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite(1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite(1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1) 75:12	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1) 181:24	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14; 135:20;136:11;137:12,14,15,	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1) 19:11
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1) 75:12 indication (1)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1) 181:24 intended (2)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14; 135:20;136:11;137:12,14,15, 16;154:19;155:1;157:4;166:3;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1) 19:11 Kim (2)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1) 75:12 indication (1) 182:5	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1) 181:24 intended (2) 130:5;168:17	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14; 135:20;136:11;137:12,14,15, 16;154:19;155:1;157:4;166:3; 180:14;181:24;184:5,8	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1) 19:11 Kim (2) 27:14,18
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1) 75:12 indication (1) 182:5 indirectly (1)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1) 181:24 intended (2) 130:5;168:17 intent (5)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14; 135:20;136:11;137:12,14,15, 16;154:19;155:1;157:4;166:3; 180:14;181:24;184:5,8 issues (11)	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1) 19:11 Kim (2) 27:14,18 kind (38)
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1) 75:12 indication (1) 182:5 indirectly (1) 126:6	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1) 181:24 intended (2) 130:5;168:17 intent (5) 9:13;62:20;81:4;182:22;	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14; 135:20;136:11;137:12,14,15, 16;154:19;155:1;157:4;166:3; 180:14;181:24;184:5,8 issues (11) 19:11;67:13;75:13;78:1;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1) 19:11 Kim (2) 27:14,18 kind (38) 11:22;22:13,16;23:22,22;
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1) 75:12 indication (1) 182:5 indirectly (1) 126:6 individual (23)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1) 181:24 intended (2) 130:5;168:17 intent (5) 9:13;62:20;81:4;182:22; 183:4	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14; 135:20;136:11;137:12,14,15, 16;154:19;155:1;157:4;166:3; 180:14;181:24;184:5,8 issues (11) 19:11;67:13;75:13;78:1; 87:16;126:13,17;154:8;156:23;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1) 19:11 Kim (2) 27:14,18 kind (38) 11:22;22:13,16;23:22,22; 25:19;47:8;54:14;55:19;57:12
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1) 75:12 indication (1) 182:5 indirectly (1) 126:6 individual (23) 8:4;13:13,18;43:2;88:11;	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1) 181:24 intended (2) 130:5;168:17 intent (5) 9:13;62:20;81:4;182:22; 183:4 interest (9)	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14; 135:20;136:11;137:12,14,15, 16;154:19;155:1;157:4;166:3; 180:14;181:24;184:5,8 issues (11) 19:11;67:13;75:13;78:1; 87:16;126:13,17;154:8;156:23; 165:14;197:4	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1) 19:11 Kim (2) 27:14,18 kind (38) 11:22;22:13,16;23:22,22; 25:19;47:8;54:14;55:19;57:12 16;66:13;83:13;91:10;103:11;
incur (2) 134:18;165:17 incurred (3) 145:14;149:20;172:14 incurring (2) 68:22;171:18 indebtedness (2) 160:21;171:18 indefinite (1) 167:21 indefinitely (1) 168:18 indeterminate (1) 168:5 index (1) 102:23 indicate (3) 87:10;181:15;199:17 indicated (1) 36:21 indicating (1) 75:12 indication (1) 182:5 indirectly (1) 126:6 individual (23)	32:24;124:22 installation (4) 124:7;127:9;138:22;166:24 installed (3) 13:4;124:21;128:6 instances (1) 106:23 instead (2) 120:22;187:9 Institution (3) 48:13;151:10,18 insulation (2) 59:14,22 insurance (5) 113:23;114:24;115:5;177:9, 10 insure (2) 73:19;163:21 Intel (1) 10:21 intend (1) 181:24 intended (2) 130:5;168:17 intent (5) 9:13;62:20;81:4;182:22; 183:4	15:3;46:22;63:13;93:24;94:2; 107:15;124:4;125:17;126:2; 127:20;132:7;135:2 involvement (2) 14:20;124:15 involving (1) 134:18 Ipswich (44) 5:9,21,24;8:5;12:22,23,24; 13:20;14:3;17:6,8;18:8;21:4; 24:7;25:9,13;33:15,19;34:9; 38:18;40:13,17;41:1,4,9,11,12, 19;44:11;46:5;48:9,11,14,19, 22;49:6,11,15;73:16;118:6; 177:2;180:23;182:23;187:12 Island (1) 128:3 issue (29) 45:23;46:1,22,24;47:2;52:6; 74:1;77:21;101:19;120:10; 124:1;126:12;128:4;132:6,14; 135:20;136:11;137:12,14,15, 16;154:19;155:1;157:4;166:3; 180:14;181:24;184:5,8 issues (11) 19:11;67:13;75:13;78:1; 87:16;126:13,17;154:8;156:23;	83:17;160:2;179:1;195:24 June (1) 83:17 K keep (7) 34:6;68:1;72:4;100:24;105:7, 15;134:1 keeping (3) 33:22;69:14;179:21 keeps (1) 56:12 kept (19) 23:4;26:1;38:3;67:23;68:4, 14;69:5,7;71:24;72:12,20,22 73:1;77:23;95:8,21;133:21; 161:13,18 key (1) 146:7 kids (1) 19:11 Kim (2) 27:14,18 kind (38) 11:22;22:13,16;23:22,22; 25:19;47:8;54:14;55:19;57:12

		12000	They conclude the first state of the state o
143:17,18;146:11;162:5;165:2,	11:13;27:15,17;66:15;	15:12,19;20:22;26:22;32:2;	line (5)
9;167:11;175:17;176:6;177:9;	163:14;168:17;169:4;176:24;	33:6;36:22;37:2;52:9;68:10;	, ,
178:15,18;187:18;190:3;196:15	185:1	83:6;169:22;197:9;200:3	128:23;138:4;172:12;173:11; 176:1
kinds (1)	lasts (1)	legal (13)	
90:13	169:3		lines (4)
		44:20,22,24;45:15,23;46:21,	9:10,10;139:4,5
knew (5)	late (5)	24;113:21;129:15;166:5,8;	list (3)
19:5;95:11;146:9;154:19;	7:22;11:3;14:14;62:22;76:12	171:20;189:9	51:5,5;177:14
177:2	Lately (1)	legally (1)	listed (1)
knock (1)	174:8	129:12	52:11
62:16	later (3)	Leith (1)	lists (1)
knowing (1)	83:24;113:10;124:4	17:1	70:11
37:12	law (6)	lend (2)	litigated (2)
knowledge (6)	18:6,7;24:7,10;184:3;198:8	149:2,5	156:10;158:11
28:1;40:5;42:16;45:10;61:8;	lawful (1)	lengthy (2)	litigation (12)
189:23	129:18	37:12;168:11	79:13;81:6;82:14;99:7;
knowledgeable (3)	lawn (1)	less (6)	152:15;156:16,23;166:3,9,15;
134:14;144:15;193:24	36:4	56:4,5;77:22;85:8,24;91:13	184:18;200:1
known (3)	laws (1)	lessees (12)	litigation's (1)
39:15;45:23;66:17	184:10	59:17;80:5,11;81:9;117:7;	159:11
	lawsuit (14)	156:19;157:23;159:2,5,7,17;	Little (105)
${f L}$	45:18,21;47:14,17;114:4,20;	188:18	6:13,18;7:14,18;9:12;12:3;
	115:14;131:16;145:4;153:19;	level (2)	13:12;14:20,24;16:1,6,9;17:18;
labor (1)	155:3,15;159:8;194:7	76:7;77:2	18:12;19:6;22:21;23:3,7,11,15,
23:21	lawyer (7)	levels (1)	
LaChance (1)	18:5;43:9,13;44:10;79:5;	97:18	23;26:11,18;34:5;35:2,6,10,18; 36:8;37:14,18;39:15;50:23;
107:24	186:14;197:10	Levesque (4)	
Lack (1)	lawyers (6)		53:16;54:13;56:6;57:5;58:14;
175:19	196:13;198:1,10,24;199:14;	13:22,23;14:19;16:1	59:1;60:2;61:7,11;62:6;65:23;
ladies (1)	200:1	L-e-v-e-s-q-u-e (1)	66:9,14;72:19;84:22;89:18;
	1	13:24	90:11,14;91:18;93:19;95:16,20;
196:12	leaders (4)	liability (6)	98:24;101:10;103:4;104:16;
lamb (1)	96:2,4,5;199:7	54:8;55:12;114:4,21;115:24;	105:13,19;107:14;117:13;
17:24	leadership (2)	177:10	118:3;123:17;136:2,4;139:1,12;
land (26)	38:8;102:3	liable (2)	145:22;146:14,19;147:10;
19:5,6;20:4,4,8,15,18,18;	leading (2)	115:8,21	148:24;151:17;152:21;154:4;
21:13;51:1;76:23;88:5;89:24;	14:23;23:24	license (1)	160:19;174:20;175:4,13,17,21,
91:2;92:18;93:1;105:11;	leads (1)	13:19	22;176:9,23;178:7;180:3,17,22;
106:24;108:20,20;109:14;	6:9	licensed (1)	181:20;184:23;185:6,14;186:3;
111:24;118:19,23;176:16;	learn (4)	12:6	187:23;188:24;189:7;191:5;
178:13	66:12;129:22;131:23;150:8	lies (1)	192:7;195:7,20;196:1,3,12
landlord (1)	learned (1)	156:7	littlest (1)
165:15	131:20	life (30)	196:8
landowner (1)	lease (19)	12:10;16:19;17:21;18:10;	live (1)
78:7	20:8,18;35:20;70:13;79:3,7;	39:19;40:14;42:16;55:18;65:3,	62:21
landowners (2)	80:21;81:2,17,22,23;82:1,3;	6,9;146:21,147:2,5,9;155:5;	lived (3)
45:9;78:1	152:9,22;153:4;158:20;197:24;	166:16;167:13,15;168:2,6,21;	17:2;40:13;61:10
landscape (1)	199:18	184:23;185:3,5,23;186:1,24;	
54:5	leased (3)	187:1,10	4:23;5:17;6:24;8:12;12:9;
landscaping (4)	19:6;20:4,14	life-long (4)	48:7;57:14;105:6;162:7;163:10
176:7,14,21;177:4	leases (17)	40:17;41:4,7,8	LLC (6)
LandVest (18)	79:21,23;80:16,24;81:5;92:2,	lifetime (3)	55:3,7,17;56:1,15,17
76:22;77:12,18;78:20;84:20;	6;99:13,20;103:14;148:6;	27:4;60:14;169:8	LLP (1)
87:19,20,21;88:1,7,12;107:17;	150:10,16,16;152:1,4;153:8	lift (1)	1:21
108:7,21;109:6;193:9,13;	least (15)	53:1	LN (1)
195:16	16:3;33:21;66:4;84:11;91:22;	likelihood (1)	54:11
large (9)	104:8,15;105:14;146:2;152:5;	158:10	loan (4)
49:9;104:3;108:20,23;	159:10;160:10;162:22;168:6;	likely (2)	149:14,14,17;151:16
126:11;136:17;167:4;170:11;	184:2	156:11,14	
171:10	1		loaned (1)
largely (2)	leaving (1) 11:17	limit (1)	6:19
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	190:8	loans (1)
50:16;102:9	led (2)	limited (2)	49:9
largest (1)	31:2;194:19	54:8;55:11	local (1)
11:18	left (19)	Lincoln (1)	12:6
last (9)	11:7,23;12:19;14:13,13;	108:5	located (2)

10:16;169:10	LP (1)	21:23;76:11,21;77:7;84:2,5,9,	196:21
location (1)	58:15	13;86:11;89:18;90:17;91:8;	meet (2)
22:22	lump (1)	94:5,16,18,20;95:1,16;96:9;	141:20;186:1
locations (2)	131:9	98:24;102:17;103:4,19;104:15;	meeting (20)
169:23;170:1	Lunch (1)	105:11;106:24;109:6;110:6,15;	24:18;63:16;65:20,22;67:6,
logic (1)	106:8	112:1,6,9,17;116:9,13,21;	21;74:5;83:6,7,8,9;97:5;122:10;
157:9	3.5	117:12,15,20;118:1,24;119:5;	183:19;184:10,13;185:1,3;
Lombardo (11)	M	133:4;156:18;183:3	192:9;199:21
125:17,20;133:24;140:14,18,		Mass (2)	meetings (18)
22;141:2,6,15,24;143:7 long (30)	main (4) 10:16;128:8,9,10	18:8;39:4	63:9;66:2;67:9,10,17,23;68:1;
8:14,15;10:23;12:16;22:17;	maintain (5)	MASSACHUSETTS (6)	122:19,22,23;123:24;183:6,13,
26:17,19;28:22;37:1;40:8;	161:6,7,12;163:2;175:14	1:4,12,23;5:6,12;12:6 massive (1)	16,24;184:13,22;192:16
42:21;45:14;50:3,11;51:24,24;	maintained (1)	179:19	melting (1) 175:18
60:13;72:19;75:8;84:9;114:9;	168:8	Masterman (1)	members (14)
161:20;162:19;167:13;168:17,	maintaining (1)	198:10	7:10;34:13;35:10;39:19;
20;169:4;175:8;185:16,17	161:10	masters (1)	55:13,16;65:4,6,9,10,13;183:11;
longer (1)	maintains (1)	188:10	186:2;188:6
168:7	54:2	Master's (1)	member's (1)
long-term (4)	maintenance (4)	8:20	187:2
105:14;148:6;150:16;165:19	173:19,20;176:1,12	Masterson (1)	membership (2)
long-time (1)	major (3)	198:11	199:1,2
40:24	10:21;136:11;137:12	materials (1)	memory (3)
look (12)	majority (1)	144:4	28:19;31:4;33:6
23:2;44:23;45:20;84:18; 100:17;106:1;112:6;117:3;	104:8	mathematics (1)	mention (2)
118:6;147:19;178:24;181:5	makes (1) 178:19	8:17 matter (1)	97:17;113:12 mentioned (7)
looked (18)	makeup (2)	104:1	20:2;46:21;48:8;87:14;116:6;
12:5;42:24;52:21;61:12;66:6;	64:23;65:1	maximize (2)	139:16;145:13
77:1;78:20;84:16,16;85:20;	making (2)	25:16;107:4	Meredith (2)
88:3;93:10;98:19;107:17;	39:10;115:16	may (30)	107:21;193:17
133:23;142:15;175:5;196:24	man (2)	17:10;24:21;28:18;33:24;	merit (3)
looking (12)	42:20;44:8	34:19,22;44:22;47:17;60:5;	145:10;147:22;156:1
12:10;52:21;61:21;84:15;	manage (3)	61:4;73:21;86:14;89:21;96:19;	message (1)
101:6;120:11;121:4;135:19; 140:23;172:13;178:18;193:1	178:12;185:14;196:7	97:4;101:15;105:9,9;113:9;	192:20
looks (1)	managed (2) 178:2;189:11	118:16;129:20;130:9,10;132:7; 144:3,18;145:8;153:14;156:8;	methodologies (1) 121:21
133:24	management (3)	182:12	methodology (6)
lose (1)	178:5;197:4,7	Maybe (6)	89:7,15;93:13;108:15,18;
156:5	manager (5)	39:11;91:16;159:24;182:24;	110:12
lot (25)	11:9,11,14;12:20;69:10	192:6;196:9	Micro (5)
14:20;17:2;19:10;21:20,22;	managing (3)	mean (23)	10:18,23;11:17;12:5;14:14
22:1;35:21,24;52:17;85:11;	172:4;189:10;197:5	55:1,2,2;66:15;68:16;84:14;	mid (4)
89:22;90:5;106:17,17;109:8,19,	mandated (1)	85:13;88:18;118:20,21;127:15;	29:5;38:15;63:5;148:4
20;110:7,15;121:5,6;128:20; 144:8;169:11;197:8	179:24 manholes (2)	132:9;144:5;155:14;157:9;	mid- (1)
lots (25)	170:11,11	161:16;163:15;169:17;173:13; 174:12;180:2;189:14;190:18	20:9 Middle (2)
20:16;21:19;22:3;23:1;35:19;	manner (3)	Meaning (1)	15:15;52:22
89:18;103:4,5,19;106:16;	74:3;104:17;161:1	140:11	might (17)
108:10;109:13;110:14,20;	manual (1)	means (1)	35:24;60:2;71:3;87:10;114:8;
111:2,16;118:3,11;119:4,6,16;	178:23	55:12	115:7;127:4;129:22;131:20;
120:3,13,16,24	manufacturer (1)	meant (8)	132:16;139:4;150:22;156:5;
loud (1)	167:23	23:2;44:1;65:5,9;107:12;	158:15;161:24;172:3;183:10
101:10 low (11)	many (8)	115:12;188:4;190:22	miles (1)
25:22,23,23;26:1,4;42:5,6;	14:1;57:12,22;59:21;152:1; 153:7;159:1;170:3	mechanic (1) 13:4	199:23
90:18;95:11,21;97:24	marine (1)	mechanical (1)	military (1) 33:17
lower (3)	13:4	19:7	million (37)
140:3,5;150:18	marked (1)	mechanism (1)	11:21,22;110:21;111:2,9,19;
lowering (1)	35:13	190:12	112:11,11,21,22;116:16,17,19,
87:4	markedly (1)	mediation (4)	20;139:18,18,21;148:22;149:6,
lowest (2)	103:5	197:21;198:16,19,19	7,11;158:22,24;160:21,24;
143:23;159:14	market (45)	mediator (1)	161:5,13,17,18,19,24;162:21,

22;163:6;165:5;181:12,19 mortgage (1) nature (6) 85:12,14 millions (4) 149:9 33:24;34:1;36:7;45:7;46:24; Newmarch (1) 118:21;158:12,15,20 mortgaged (1) 180:12 134:11 mind (4) 149:10 near (7) next (6) 102:8,19;115:11;195:17 most (16) 7:8;52:16;134:23;135:4; 10:17;12:2;21:17;119:17; minimal (7) 48:12;58:10;73:7;84:17; 169:12.13.15 120:3;182:3 24:17;35:4;63:8;64:4;81:14; 89:20;93:19;118:4;121:22; necessarily (4) nice (2) 166:7;172:18 144:3,14;147:14,15;166:23; 132:20,24;189:9;191:1 24:1.3 minor (1) 167:7;183:10;190:24 necessary (1) nine (1) 176:4 mostly (3) 148:17 194:15 minus (2) 22:6;88:21;172:16 Neck (103) nineties (4) 61:7;111:19 mother (1) 5:13,14;6:13,18;7:14;14:20, 14:15;76:13;84:11;116:8 minute (3) 9:14 24;16:1,6,9;19:6;20:4,6,6,7,12; Nobody (3) 9:23;170:23,24 motion (1) 21:6,19;22:14,21,22,23;23:3,7, 8:5:128:9:196:14 minutes (8) 162:11 11,11,15,23;24:1;26:8,11,18; nodding (18) 67:23;68:1,4;73:1,3;83:10; motions (1) 34:5;35:2,6,10,18;36:8;39:15; 24:8:43:20:51:2:55:14:68:20. 152:12:200:4 4:7 23:92:4;97:19;106:18;112:3; 47:2;50:23;53:16;54:13;56:6; mismanaged (2) move (3) 57:5;58:14;60:2;61:7,10,11; 113:14;119:23;160:12;161:21; 144:22;145:7 94:23;145:19;190:4 62:6;66:9,14;72:19;84:22; 162:13;171:22;185:9;187:24 misspoke (2) moved (8) 89:18;90:11,14;93:19;95:16,20; nominal (1) 65:11;155:8 21:1;31:3,5,6,15;43:10,10; 99:1;103:4;104:17;105:13,19; 161:12 misstatement (1) 94:16 106:15;107:8,14;117:13;118:3; None (3) mow (2) 139:22 123:17;128:1,2;136:2,4;139:1, 46:15;58:24;135:5 mistake (2) 54:5,6 12;145:22;146:14,19;147:11; nonetheless (1) 100:11;133:17 mowing (2) 160:19;174:20;175:4,17,22; 196:12 misunderstanding (1) 176:22;177:5 176:9;180:4,17,22;181:20; non-profit (1) 107:6 much (17) 184:24;185:6,15;186:3;187:23; 55:4 mitigate (1) 32:20;63:20;72:3;77:19;79:9; 189:1;192:8;195:8,20;196:1,4 normal (1) 52:17 102:2;135:24;140:5,8;142:11, need (16) 196:17 mixed (1) 13;148:9,20;149:5;166:4;174:8; 40:6;45:22;100:18;126:9; Northeastern (3) 147:1 178:4 129:21;151:17;152:11;161:11, 8:18,23;9:5 moments (1) **MULHOLLAND (5)** 13;171:12;175:13;180:8; notable (1) 152:14 1:7.18:4:11.18:55:1 181:15,20;184:12;192:3 23:23 Monahan (6) multifaceted (1) needed (5) Notary (1) 88:14,21;89:17;90:4;93:13,18 196:5 69:1;71:2;73:19;151:22; 4:13 Monahan's (1) multiply (1) 176:17 Note (2) 89:3 159:21 needless (1) 86:20;109:21 municipal (1) money (37) 151:14 notice (1) 13:12,15;17:17;20:17;25:12; 127:15 Needs (5) 153:1 47:8;49:1,5;54:15,15;55:2;56:1, Munro (7) notices (4) 46:6;74:8;180:18.21:196:14 14;79:15,18;118:18;127:5; 6:21,22;7:11,13;18:17;19:19; negative (1) 152:17;153:15;194:1,2 129:10;132:17;134:20,21; 67:4 127:19 notion (1) 135:3;138:21;139:9;141:13; M-u-n-r-o (1) negotiate (1) 85:24 145:15;146:9,12;148:17;149:2, 6:23 199:18 nowhere (1) 11;153:10;171:13;178:12; negotiated (2) must (4) 196:23 188:19;196:9;197:8 26:21;32:14;123:21;179:8 110:11,13 number (11) month (5) myself (2) negotiating (2) 22:18,20;41:20;50:13;60:13, 28:15,19;29:10,13;82:9 13:11;21:1 79:6;141:2 14;74:10;78:22;110:23;126:11; months (1) negotiation (1) 174:10 82:16 N numbered (1) 196:20 monumental (1) negotiations (1) 15:21 151:24 name (16) 197:23 numbers (4) morally (1) 4:17;12:24;15:20;24:6,10,12; neighbors (1) 36:16;111:1;142:16,16 188:15 27:13,15,16,17;54:11;62:13; 36:3 more (35) 88:19,24;143:14;196:10 nesters (1) O 11:9;20:17;22:24;23:6,10; named (2) 60:3 45:11,22;56:4,5;57:3;75:3;78:1; 43:2;155:16 net (2) object (1) 81:1;82:2;84:17;86:5;87:9; Nashua (1) 160:24;162:19 171:1 95:12;104:2;105:3;118:17; 10:9 New (10) objecting (1) 119:13;127:5;139:22;140:9; National (7) 10:9;12:14;61:18,19;85:12; 86:23 141:19;144:8;145:1;151:17; 99:20;103:14;197:24;198:8; 17:6,8;48:9,19,22;49:6,11 Objection (54) 159:7,18;171:6;192:2;196:14; natural (2) 199:14 34:19;60:5,15;73:21;86:14, 197:8 12:9;51:15 newer (2) 20;87:6,12;92:19;93:9;100:13;

179:3 102:5;103:22;104:4,19;105:17; 98:3 5:5,15;7:14,24;24:12;27:20; opposition (2) 106:3;109:21;110:2,8,16; officially (1) 28:3;39:22;42:9;56:15,17;87:5; 111:13,18;112:2,24;114:22; 137:22;146:23 35:6 102:8;108:17;109:24;111:17; 116:23;117:17;118:14;119:9, often (2) order (6) 119:1;121:6;133:10;157:18; 18;120:4;156:20;157:24; 176:20;183:10 34:6;99:23;100:8;141:19; 176:16 159:15;161:23;162:2;163:5; 163:1;181:13 oil (5) owned (24) 164:11,15,21;167:3,16,22; 57:20,23;58:3,4,11 organization (6) 6:12;7:3,11;18:18;20:11,12, 168:19;188:11,21;189:2; old (12) 17:4;47:7;54:14,18;74:10; 21,22;21:6,7;22:6,9;28:1;37:13, 190:15;191:2,21;193:18;199:4, 31:14,15;32:1;50:7;61:15,20, 16;39:16,21;40:7,8;106:16,20; 22;85:14;95:11;190:6;196:12; organizations (1) 108:20;120:24;172:7 objections (3) 198:10 75:16 owner (5) 4:6;95:15;128:7 older (2) original (5) 19:21;27:9;78:8;121:5;125:1 objective (1) 109:15;139:23;140:7;141:7; 61:20:63:19 owners (15) oldest (1) 191:13 182:22 41:18;42:13,18;55:12;70:11, obligation (3) Originally (3) 23:1 18,24;71:2;78:12,13;119:16; 124:22,24;180:3 once (7) 36:15;131:4;148:21 120:2,16;121:1;125:6 obligations (2) 63:16;111:22;115:3;119:15; others (5) owners' (1) 24:14,17 132:16;137:9;157:8 71:8,15;106:21;110:1;177:1 124:24 obtain (3) one (72) otherwise (2) ownership (6) 8:19;150:18;160:24 6:12:10:14:15:5:17:20:18:1: 71:3:150:19 20:8,15;70:2,8;107:4,7 owning (2) obvious (2) 23:22;24:15;26:19;27:22;28:7; out (61) 104:20;189:15 30:9;32:23;33:21;36:2;39:6; 7:16;11:21;13:10;14:11;31:3; 39:19;109:19 obviously (4) 47:1;48:23;49:3;57:1;58:24; 33:23;34:5,17;36:20;43:10; 88:18;114:24;135:13;189:14 P 60:15,17;66:4;67:21;73:13; 47:13;50:23;52:5,19,21;54:15; occasion (1) 80:20;81:1;82:18;86:18;88:21; 56:2;57:4;58:3,19;62:6;64:22; 192:9 89:21;91:16;111:9;118:5;134:6, 69:3;80:16;81:23;82:1;98:17; page (1) Occasionally (5) 8;135:11,11,24;137:10,13; 99:21;101:10;113:5;115:9; 192:6 24:20;43:16;45:8;53:4;85:22 143:15;144:17;145:21;146:7; 118:5,6;119:11;125:22;126:15; PAGES (1) occupancy (4) 147:14,15;151:18;156:23; 128:2;129:10;134:23;135:11; 1:2 79:14,17;157:1;158:8 158:23,24;164:17;165:2,5; paid (31) 136:2;137:3,4,7;138:3,5,7; occupational (3) 169:11,17,19,20,20;170:9; 140:11;141:21;142:2;143:1; 22:14;28:11,19;44:22,24; 9:4;33:11;38:23 171:10;173:18;178:6;183:10; 149:22;152:17;153:1;159:22; 52:3;71:18;82:8,13;91:11,12, occupy (3) 186:24;191:17,18;192:2,18,19; 161:17;178:1;188:19,23; 19;92:23;98:23;116:8;119:7; 87:5;101:3,18 196:5;197:1 196:24;198:13 121:4;135:24;149:15,19;150:2, occurred (1) O'Neill (1) Outboard (2) 2;152:9;156:19;158:20;161:17; 152:15 198:11 12:22,24 172:3;178:12,14,24;179:1 occurring (2) ones (7) outcome (1) palatable (1) 76:12;122:22 61:20;85:12,12,14,15;118:4,5 127:18 82:2 ocean (2) ongoing (2) outside (5) paperwork (2) 179:20,23 171:17;189:6 35:23;46:10;58:7,8;97:23 44:16:197:12 oceanfront (1) only (29) Pardon (4) 105:11 13:14;30:15;39:1;41:21;44:8; 9:7,13;15:16,19;18:7;21:1; 22:15;66:21;86:22;96:3 o'clock (1) 61:4,9,21;62:13;63:15;65:20; 41:23;44:23;45:17,17;47:7; parents (2) 200:6 67:21;71:19;80:18;94:17; 52:20;63:21;75:24;77:3;83:20; 37:13,15 108:19;114:10,12;123:1; October (1) 89:12;90:11,14;93:20;94:19; Park (1) 1:19 137:19;151:4;153:7;155:9,16; 95:5;101:7;104:24;114:9; 128:3 Off (14) 162:6;174:10;177:2;182:24; 120:19;121:13;122:17;131:10; parking (1) 198:4 9:22,24;21:10;29:19,20;52:9; 134:18;136:8;160:9;161:18; 169:11 onto (1) 89:24;106:5,7,17;113:6;121:14; 163:3,10,16,21;164:18;168:10, part (25) 191:23;192:1 118:6 12;178:18;182:2 12:22;20:2;35:20;52:19; offense (1) open (3) overall (1) 69:22:90:3:100:3.17:101:12: 189:9 21:4:183:8.20 142:11 106:15;109:22;115:22;116:3; operating (4) offered (1) over-eager (1) 124:10;127:24;160:6;167:9,10; 95:22;104:16;108:24;196:17 79:3 158:15 169:6;172:21,22,23,23;179:11; opinion (13) office (22) Oversaw (1) 185:7 11:12,15;13:21;14:1;15:2; 76:6;99:2;118:5;128:21,21; 143:11 participate (6) 24:11;43:15,19;44:2,3,19; 135:5;184:12;187:6;193:21; oversee (1) 49:13,15,16;65:14,18,21 46:19;54:6;74:15;172:18; 195:18,24;197:3;199:24 143:9 particular (5) 177:21;178:3,17,21;179:2,3; 76:9;88:11;96:24;170:13; opportunity (2) oversight (1) 199:22 12:13;193:8 46:18 180:16

33:13,16,17;34:16;39:2,10

officer (6)

official (1)

owe (1)

179:1

own (21)

opposed (3)

opposite (1)

53:24;147:15;197:15

147:18;156:5;166:12;171:10;

particularly (5)

186:20

October 11, 2011		Atto	rney General of Massachusett
parties (4)	29:12;80:2;144:5;152:5;	139:1,12	5.0
22:9;35:3;71:17;107:15			5:9
	159:18;162:22;163:3,6;164:18;	picking (1)	P-l-o-v-e-r (1)
Partly (3)	194:13	135:4	5:9
13:4,4;162:19	perceive (1)	pick-up (4)	plowed (1)
partner (2)	35:24	53:16;134:23;138:4,14	174:12
17:1;49:18	perceived (1)	pick-ups (1)	plowing (3)
partnership (1)	133:6	138:18	174:9,14,19
44:6	percentage (7)	picture (3)	plus (4)
parts (1)	41:18,22;42:2,3,5;57:8,11	53:4;91:7;92:24	61:7;141:17,19;164:5
168:1	performed (1)	pictures (1)	pm (4)
party (5)	107:13	53:3	1:20;106:8;152:13;200:6
8:4,6;33:24;89:23;121:12	performing (2)	piece (9)	point (25)
pass (1)	44:20,24	11:18;21:4;108:19,20;118:9,	23:6;26:8;28:7;30:18,23;
128:4	perhaps (6)	23;179:19,22;180:22	38:17;64:1;69:17;88:4;98:6,9;
passed (12)	73:18;77:4;93:24;131:10;	pieces (2)	104:22;123:2;124:6;132:8;
7:17;8:1,11,12;9:6;23:4;	133:4;150:18	118:19;119:11	134:10;140:16;142:23;143:1;
32:20;127:21,24;128:2;149:21;	period (27)	pier (2)	149:2;175:24;179:9;192:8;
172:21	7:13;12:4,7;18:21;20:7;	7:8;19:11	194:15;197:22
passing (2)	38:11;41:9;82:5;83:17,20,21;		points (1)
128:14;172:9	84:5;94:19;95:6;114:9;116:7,	47:20	77:1
past (7)	14;120:20;121:14;130:1;	pipe (2)	police (12)
15:18;74:11,19;90:11,14;	159:11;160:2;164:4;167:21;	138:11,22	33:13,16,17,19;34:15;36:11,
92:1;93:21	168:11,12,20	pipes (3)	14;39:2,10;71:5;177:15,18
Pavilion (2)	periodically (1)	167:11,13;168:3	policy (1)
169:13;181:1	126:21	piping (1)	162:5
pay (28)	periods (1)	166:19	1
17:2;79:2;87:3,5;89:24;91:8,	63:4		position (6)
21;92:6,14;93:3;100:18;110:6;	permanently (2)	PL (1) 125:17	11:6,8,13;12:19;38:20;133:15
113:4;118:18,23;119:1;121:12;			positive (4)
128:23;129:6,8;131:9;133:14;	permits (1)	place (13)	40:12;50:21;146:23;147:14
150:19;156:24;177:10;188:20,	178:20	37:13;74:7;83:6;93:20;102:9;	possession (1)
24;194:3	PERRY (9)	111:22;114:13;119:21;120:1;	73:8
paying (18)		170:5;180:17,20;197:22	possibilities (1)
79:13,23;82:15;92:12;94:24;	4:16;29:19;64:8,11;106:5;	placed (2)	196:24
104:14;110:14;118:21;153:9,		23:16;180:24	possibility (3)
	person (13)	places (5)	115:20;145:21;197:1
13;158:19;159:17;172:6;178:4;	15:7;19:10;33:20;35:20;	6:2;62:14;90:18;128:5,14	possible (4)
188:18;197:8,15,15	39:15;45:11;47:1;62:15;76:9;	Plaintiffs (1)	58:24;114:21;120:9;147:10
payments (5)	146:7;173:6;176:24;188:15	1:9	Possibly (2)
100:8;131:13;142:12;152:5;	personal (14)	plan (2)	101:6;105:2
178:5	26:5;33:24;42:8,11,12,17,17;		potential (3)
pay-out (1)	55:22;113:20;114:4,21;115:24;	planning (1)	114:3,21;158:6
160:21	160:6;187:22	62:16	potentially (1)
pays (2)	personality (1)	plant (2)	155:7
56:2;104:2	34:1	10:16;134:8	potholes (2)
peak (1)	personally (7)	plausibly (1)	175:1,17
36:12	34:5;97:3;115:8,17;147:1;	158:4	practice (7)
peculiarly (2)	155:6;191:6	played (2)	18:6;43:22;69:24;82:11;
187:4;190:24	personnel (1)	49:21,23	97:14;108:24;172:9
pedestrians (1)	23:18	playing (1)	precautions (1)
135:12	Peter (19)	150:12	135:10
pendency (1)	30:2;37:6;40:20;56:8,12,14;	please (10)	preceded (1)
79:12	68:12,13;69:6,7,18;147:16;	4:17;5:8;17:13;75:23;76:19;	75:7
people (37)	173:6,18;177:8;178:10,11;	102:6;117:18;132:22;161:7;	precipitated (1)
11:11;14:1,2;33:23;37:12;	181:8;194:3	198:3	194:22
42:4,23;52:5;87:4;91:7,7,24;	Peterson (1)	pleased (3)	predecessor (1)
92:12;114:13;118:18;123:5,9;	107:24	45:24;77:5;198:5	50:6
125:10;127:22;128:11,17,20;	petition (1)	pledge (1)	premises (2)
135:11;137:22;151:23;178:20,	190:19	151:8	71:4;157:1
23;188:19,23;190:4,12,23;	physics (1)	pledged (4)	present (4)
191:3;196:7;197:12,14;198:11	8:17	150:11,17;151:11,11	29:7,23;152:2;186:24
people's (1)	pick (2)	pledges (1)	presented (1)
35:19	135:9;138:6	152:8	89:10
per (10)	picked (2)	Plover (1)	preserve (1)
			preserve (1)

	_ ·		
180:3	24:23;122:1,8;139:14;177:24	protected (2)	76:21
president (3)	proceed (1)	155:19;163:24	quarter (1)
17:6,7;48:9	160:23	provide (3)	52:20
pressed (2)	proceeding (1)	45:15;53:18;174:14	quarterly (2)
46:7;99:5	184:20	provided (5)	82:13,15
pressure (4)	proceeds (2)	49:24;50:3;76:24;149:11;	QuickBooks (3)
76:8;128:8,9,10	73:15;160:24	183:2	72:13,17;178:15
pressured (1)	process (15)	providing (2)	quickly (1)
76:16	83:19;89:22;108:8;111:15;	25:12;194:1	77:8
presumably (1)	122:15,18;124:4;140:18;142:8,	provision (1)	quiet (1)
71:12	24;143:2,10,13;144:11;193:24	66:8	114:13
presume (1)	produced (1)	Public (20)	quit (2)
96:19	69:23	4:13;35:7,9,10;52:6;98:3;	153:15;194:2
pretty (7) 24:17;72:3;79:9;103:5;104:2;	professional (6) 18:4;52:24;75:13;76:22;	126:8;135:14,20;139:13;	Quite (9)
176:2;179:8	171:20;197:7	174:12,22;176:20;180:15; 183:8,20,23;184:10,13;187:11	8:14,15;26:21;47:19,22; 61:22;92:20;103:20;196:22
prevail (3)	professionally (1)	publicly (1)	quote (2)
156:11,15,17	16:23	94:14	36:4;162:19
prevent (1)	professionals (1)	pump (3)	30.4,102.17
36:7	130:21	126:10;134:6;168:5	R
previously (2)	profit (3)	pumping (5)	
28:10;108:9	21:11;54:23;150:5	126:14,15,18;166:21,21	raise (6)
price (11)	profitable (1)	pumps (12)	59:13;81:4;83:20;87:11;94:4;
91:11;109:11;111:8,9;	104:17	137:3,4;168:4,9;169:8,10,24;	188:17
112:22;116:19;117:1;121:4,7;		170:3,12,13;171:4,10	raised (2)
141:23;144:1	120:8	purchase (2)	98:4;184:6
priced (1)	progressing (1)	116:19,24	raising (2)
197:7	182:7	purchased (1)	87:2;95:15
prices (5)	project (15)	110:7	ramifications (1)
16:5,9;71:7;76:23;90:13	29:1,3;49:1;63:18;100:4;	purchaser (1)	147:20
pricing (1) 121:11	122:7;133:24;136:7,12;139:17,	21:20	random (1)
principal (5)	24;140:13;144:16,21;145:1 promises (1)	purchases (2)	126:9
13:21;161:8,11,17;164:5	97:8	14:24;15:3 purported (2)	range (5)
principally (1)	propane (2)	154:2,14	61:6;76:16;110:21;112:21; 148:5
166:19	58:15,17	purpose (2)	ranged (1)
principle (2)	proper (1)	25:1;107:3	90:22
173:13;198:22	146:24	purposes (1)	rapidly (1)
prior (8)	properly (2)	79:6	182:6
33:17;80:12;82:14;83:9;	154:8;168:7	pursue (1)	rata (1)
93:23;94:3;99:7,9	properties (2)	146:19	131:6
Private (8)	106:1;108:5	purview (1)	rate (8)
35:16;36:7;51:14;53:10;	property (28)	97:11	102:15;150:24;151:6;162:19;
169:15;183:14,17;184:12	23:6;35:16;36:7;52:7;62:8;	put (12)	163:3;164:9;194:12,15
pro (1) 131:6	84:18;88:9;106:16;107:9;	34:2;52:17;60:16;84:8;101:4;	rates (2)
Probably (37)	109:7;119:1;120:12;121:11,13; 160:19;165:18;171:19;172:4;	128:24;133:9;143:1;167:11;	104:15;158:20
5:24;7:18,20;8:13;9:21;	178:5,18;179:21;181:23;	168:22;175:10;181:13 putting (3)	rather (4)
11:22;13:9,14;15:10;23:2;	182:18,20;183:2;189:6,10;	79:14,18;139:4	20:18;53:6;138:6;173:4 rationalize (1)
24:17;26:6;65:23;67:3;71:14;	196:7	PVC (3)	113:8
76:14;77:2;90:17;91:13;	property's (1)	167:12,13;168:3	Raytheon (2)
100:15;123:7,13;141:7;146:2;	35:13		10:15;16:14
150:13;151:24;165:21;167:24;	proposal (1)	Q	reach (1)
168:5,7;170:14;176:11;181:12;	191:7		95:16
187:19;196:22;197:3,9	proposed (8)	qualification (1)	reached (4)
PROBATE (2)	38:7;59:16;105:19;126:7;	42:19	127:8;133:13;198:22;199:7
1:5;81:5	192:7,13,17,22	qualifications (4)	reaction (1)
problem (16)	proposing (1)	88:2,8;125:22;189:5	126:23
65:12;91:24;102:2,20;	137:20	qualified (4)	read (7)
104:16;123:5;132:17;134:15;	Proprietors (2)	77:20;88:7;153:23;186:20	89:6,11;90:8;107:20;182:8;
136:4;146:10;151:6;153:10;	20:11;47:2	qualify (1)	193:19,20
	•		1 (0)
182:6;196:23;197:10,18 problems (5)	protect (1) 113:24	92:20 qualms (1)	reading (3) 4:3;154:17;195:16

			incy General of Massachusetts
real (14)	recite (1)	7:10	rep (1)
12:5,8;13:18;14:7,23;16:4,10;	125:11	released (1)	11:20
76:7;80:21;88:8;91:12;98:24;	recited (1)	116:1	
172:7;189:23	125:12	relevant (3)	repair (3)
realized (1)	recollection (3)	112:13,15,16	170:9;171:13;181:13
77:8	17:9;36:22;146:5	Relied (1)	repaired (1)
really (34)	recommendation (5)	84:19	13:5
11:9;13:3,12,15;23:24;24:4,	50:16,20;84:19;125:24;126:3	1	repairing (1)
22;26:24;31:13;38:8;45:6;	recommendations (3)	rely (1) 91:11	171:9
54:22;57:2;59:12;63:12,17;	77:17;125:21;189:16	relying (2)	repairs (4)
65:24;67:20;75:5;76:7;80:19;	recommended (3)	93:16;94:12	173:19,20;176:11;181:21
81:3;89:19;108:13;113:22;	50:18;87:23;177:2	remaining (1)	repaved (2)
115:12;117:3;123:12;141:18;	record (11)	191:14	175:11,12
151:13;164:1;173:3;187:6;	9:22,24;29:19,20;71:19,19;	remedy (1)	repay (1) 149:12
199:23	86:24;106:5,7;191:24;192:1	181:2	1
realtor (1)	records (19)	remember (41)	repeat (3) 70:4;86:16;117:18
12:7	50:12;68:14,17;69:1,5,8,12,	8:10;14:9;15:5,5,7;22:18;	repeatedly (1)
reason (10)	14,22;71:17,22,24;72:8,12,19,	25:21;30:15,16;32:22;47:16;	134:2
13:14;17:19;39:13;91:15;	24;73:6,7;74:11	50:5;62:13;75:5;82:17;89:19;	rephrase (1)
104:6;116:3;139:17;148:13;	recover (4)	90:1;94:17;98:5,10;126:1,19;	34:23
175:16;182:16	129:18,23;157:6;158:4	127:6;129:5;132:8;140:20,23;	replace (6)
reasonable (4)	recovered (1)	143:14;147:16;148:2;150:12,	33:3;150:23;168:10,24;
16:17;126:24;177:3;194:24	130:3	13;153:11;154:17;158:5;	169:7;170:10
Reasonably (3)	recovering (2)	162:23;166:6;193:9;194:9;	replaced (6)
57:6;89:16;179:10	156:17;158:12	199:20,21	30:10,10;36:23;37:2;186:9,17
reasoned (1)	reduction (2)	remembering (1)	Replacement (5)
92:8	194:12,20	48:10	52:15;85:2,8,24;171:13
reasoning (4)	referred (1)	removed (1)	replacing (2)
37:11;39:9;147:23;163:7	162:17	60:21	19:13;30:5
reasons (5)	referring (2)	render (1)	replicate (2)
33:21;54:21;140:7;174:23;	116:18;183:19	187:7	85:1,5
195:23	reflect (1)	rendered (1)	replies (1)
		I Chiaci ca (I)	Lephes (1)
rebates (2)	83:10	184:11	
rebates (2) 111:10;117:7			143:11
111:10;117:7 rebel (1)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4	184:11	
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1)	184:11 rent (51)	143:11 report (14)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8;
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3,	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6,
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13;	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13;	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18,	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9;	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11,	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21;	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12,	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13;	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24;	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12;	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11)	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1)	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3)	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2)	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11 receives (1)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11 related (1)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6 rents (52)	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1) 88:3
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11 receives (1) 178:22	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11 related (1) 55:20	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6 rents (52) 22:13,16;26:7;68:18;72:1;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1) 88:3 request (1)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11 receives (1) 178:22 receiving (1)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11 related (1) 55:20 relation (1)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6 rents (52) 22:13,16;26:7;68:18;72:1; 75:24;76:3,4,11,16;77:14,18,23;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1) 88:3 request (1) 151:14
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11 receives (1) 178:22 receiving (1) 29:12	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11 related (1) 55:20 relation (1) 90:17	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6 rents (52) 22:13,16;26:7;68:18;72:1; 75:24;76:3,4,11,16;77:14,18,23; 79:10;80:5;81:4;82:4,20,20,23;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1) 88:3 request (1) 151:14 require (1)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11 receives (1) 178:22 receiving (1) 29:12 recent (6)	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11 related (1) 55:20 relation (1) 90:17 relationship (4)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6 rents (52) 22:13,16;26:7;68:18;72:1; 75:24;76:3,4,11,16;77:14,18,23; 79:10;80:5;81:4;82:4,20,20,23; 83:5,16,20;84:1,9;94:4,15;95:5,	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1) 88:3 request (1) 151:14 require (1) 70:1
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11 receives (1) 178:22 receiving (1) 29:12 recent (6) 62:5;82:11,13;84:17;89:20;	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11 related (1) 55:20 relation (1) 90:17 relationship (4) 86:18;114:7,9;165:15	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6 rents (52) 22:13,16;26:7;68:18;72:1; 75:24;76:3,4,11,16;77:14,18,23; 79:10;80:5;81:4;82:4,20,20,23; 83:5,16,20;84:1,9;94:4,15;95:5, 11,15,20;97:9,14,24;99:20;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1) 88:3 request (1) 151:14 require (1) 70:1 required (7)
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11 receives (1) 178:22 receiving (1) 29:12 recent (6) 62:5;82:11,13;84:17;89:20; 93:19	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11 related (1) 55:20 relation (1) 90:17 relationship (4) 86:18;114:7,9;165:15 relationships (1)	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6 rents (52) 22:13,16;26:7;68:18;72:1; 75:24;76:3,4,11,16;77:14,18,23; 79:10;80:5;81:4;82:4,20,20,23; 83:5,16,20;84:1,9;94:4,15;95:5, 11,15,20;97:9,14,24;99:20; 102:15,17;103:7,15,18;104:9,	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1) 88:3 request (1) 151:14 require (1) 70:1 required (7) 50:1;53:1;74:14;92:2;134:24;
111:10;117:7 rebel (1) 101:22 rebellion (1) 102:8 rebuild (1) 62:17 recall (23) 30:24;31:8;37:8;46:15;83:21; 89:21;94:9;95:6,7;108:8,12,13; 116:9;137:11,23;140:1;145:24; 148:15,21;149:1;162:12; 195:10;198:17 recapture (2) 131:3;132:18 recapturing (2) 131:12;132:17 receive (2) 111:11;125:5 received (5) 29:9,13;75:16;81:8,11 receives (1) 178:22 receiving (1) 29:12 recent (6) 62:5;82:11,13;84:17;89:20;	83:10 refuse (1) 113:4 refused (1) 79:20 regard (17) 19:11;54:7;56:10;77:13; 84:20;87:15;88:5;93:13; 118:20;122:7,13;125:13;126:9; 151:3;154:10;157:19;186:7 regarding (3) 33:22;63:10;74:9 Regardless (1) 123:15 regional (2) 11:10,14 regular (2) 55:4;67:9 reimbursed (1) 172:14 reinvent (1) 13:11 related (1) 55:20 relation (1) 90:17 relationship (4) 86:18;114:7,9;165:15	184:11 rent (51) 25:19;26:1,4;76:23;80:12; 83:11;84:2,13;86:11,18;87:3, 11,16;92:14;93:4;94:7,10,23; 96:9,10;97:18;98:24;100:19; 102:21;103:4;113:4;114:11; 116:9;117:6,7;129:13;132:18, 23;133:3,4;146:15,20;153:11, 13;154:16;156:18,18;157:12, 15,22;159:18;172:22,23; 188:17;197:15,15 rental (11) 26:8;93:6;105:23;106:1; 151:9;165:12;173:22;174:20; 182:18;183:1;189:6 rentals (1) 16:1 rented (3) 165:18;182:21;183:2 renting (2) 148:1;188:6 rents (52) 22:13,16;26:7;68:18;72:1; 75:24;76:3,4,11,16;77:14,18,23; 79:10;80:5;81:4;82:4,20,20,23; 83:5,16,20;84:1,9;94:4,15;95:5, 11,15,20;97:9,14,24;99:20;	143:11 report (14) 57:1;88:24;89:10,11;90:8; 98:9,16,17,18,21;181:11;182:6, 8,9 reported (1) 198:20 Reporter (1) 1:24 reporting (2) 55:19;74:5 reports (3) 89:6,12;133:23 represent (1) 11:19 representations (1) 154:15 representatives (2) 122:11;154:2 represented (1) 45:8 reputation (1) 88:3 request (1) 151:14 require (1) 70:1 required (7)

102-22			114.15.115.110.410.444.4
183:22	retained (1)	risk (3)	116:15;117:4;121:6,18;146:14;
requirements (2)	87:15	163:20;164:20;165:15	147:10,24;150:23;160:24;
74:5;141:20	retirement (1)	River (15)	165:11;182:17;192:7,13,17,22;
requiring (1)	60:4	7:6,7,8;52:16;118:7,9,10,11;	193:2,6
100:6	retrospect (2)	119:4;120:7;134:9,17;139:7;	sales (19)
reserve (2)	100:11;133:18	169:11,17	11:9,11,12,14,20;14:2,20,24;
171:13;181:20	return (9)	rivers (1)	15:3;22:5,8;88:5;90:10,19;91:1;
	84:18;162:20,21;163:3,9,21;	123:17	
reserved (1)			93:20;109:17;119:20;120:1
4:7	164:9,18;165:2	Road (27)	salesman (1)
reserving (1)	returned (2)	5:2,9;7:5,6,7,8;15:13,14,17,	11:10
181:18	163:13;198:5	21;27:23;52:16;118:8,9,9,10,11,	same (15)
reside (4)	returns (4)	12;119:4,5;120:7,7;134:6;	39:7;43:15,18;44:2,3,4;45:12;
6:2;34:8;41:9,11	55:9,22;75:19;163:16	169:11,17;175:1;176:1	61:12;77:6;80:9;117:23;
residence (1)	revenues (2)	roads (16)	135:22;147:5;151:9;153:17
6:12	107:4;173:9	15:19;35:11;51:8;52:12;53:7,	sanctions (1)
residences (2)	review (7)	10,14;174:5,6,7;175:4,8,14,16,	75:16
5:5,15	56:13;64:15,16;107:23;	18,20	Sanders (4)
resident (5)	144:11;195:1,4	rock (1)	10:8,11,13,14
35:18;40:24;41:4,7,8	reviewed (4)	52:17	satisfactorily (1)
residential (1)	83:5,7;107:13;108:4	role (15)	4:12
5:1	reviewing (1)	24:14;28:11;38:8;49:21,23;	satisfied (1)
residents (4)	122:11	56:22;62:23;63:2,6,7,23;88:2;	102:17
36:16;40:17;52:10;174:11	revolution (1)	97:12;122:6,9	Savings (5)
resides (1)	78:24	room (1)	48:14;151:5,10,12,18
182:13	Richardson (16)	87:10	saw (6)
resign (3)	16:20;17:20;19:18;26:10;	rote (1)	53:3;87:8;88:24;175:4;182:9;
	30:5,10,13,17;31:5,6,11,13;	197:13	
30:20;191:12,19		l .	195:14
resigned (6)	33:4,5,6;36:22	rough (3)	saying (9)
19:16,23;30:12,22;31:5,6	Richardson's (1)	7:20;122:21;171:3	37:15;55:24;85:16,17;
resigning (1)	17:21	roughly (6)	102:24;109:3;127:10;135:23;
12:1	Right (153)	9:20;10:1;31:10;69:20;79:14;	162:23
resigns (1)	4:22;10:3;15:12;21:8;31:7;	158:24	scenario (2)
187:1	32:11;33:1;35:21;39:9,16,20;	round (8)	165:12;173:22
resolution (1)	40:11;42:22;45:19;51:16;	37:20;52:10;79:17;80:2;	school (15)
80:13	52:23;53:7,21,24;55:4;60:4;	102:16;105:21;106:1;174:11	9:12;46:6;76:10;98:7;117:5;
resolve (1)	62:3;65:12;67:7;69:3;77:13;	route (1)	162:5;183:10,11;187:9;191:7;
124:1	79:1;80:10,13,17,22;81:20,24;	134:7	192:9,13,20;193:4,12
resolved (5)	82:4;83:3,4;85:19;87:5;90:1;	rule (1)	schools (11)
77:23;81:15;154:24;157:4;	91:23;92:15;93:1;94:22;95:8,	35:9	25:9,13,17;49:1;73:16;
166:10	12;97:18;100:1,20;101:1,5,8,	rules (1)	104:18;117:15,24;182:23;
respect (2)	13;102:22;103:16;104:3;	191:10	183:12;192:22
35:3;194:1	105:11,21;106:21;107:5;109:4;	run (4)	sea (1)
respected (1)	110:3;111:6,12;112:1;113:12;	47:7;83:16;139:5;185:6	52:21
88:4	114:16;116:18,22;117:2;118:1;	run-away (1)	season (1)
respective (1)	119:2,3,17,22;120:3,16,20;	77:6	6:1
42:23	121:1,13,14;122:2;123:9,10,17;	running (1)	seasonal (5)
response (1)	124:8;126:14;127:7;128:16;	17:4	59:18;79:13,24;92:7;102:16
153:7	133:1,11;134:1;136:15;137:4,6,	17.4	1
		S	seasons (1)
responsibilities (1)	14;138:20;142:19;145:4;147:2,	S	36:12
179:12	3;149:23;153:2,5;154:1,16,21;		second (4)
responsibility (6)	155:17;156:9;157:3,12,16;	safe (3)	106:6;134:8;192:19;199:24
53:11;100:7;175:2;176:15,	158:8;159:17;160:3,11,22;	170:14;180:9;181:14	seconds (1)
17;179:18	161:2,3,20;162:1,3,8,22;163:4;	safely (3)	64:9
responsible (1)	165:1,16;167:1;169:4;171:21;	163:9,16,20	secret (1)
173:21	173:7;174:1,2,4;175:22;176:12;	safety (11)	95:8
rest (2)	178:24;179:3;180:9,13;184:20;	52:6;126:8,12,13;135:14,20;	secretary (5)
63:10;159:6	185:2;186:10;187:2,12,23;	139:13;174:12,22;176:20;	68:5,7,9,11,12
restricted (1)	188:7;189:12;190:13;191:15;	180:15	
59:18		l .	security (6)
	192:4,24;198:11,22	sale (39)	33:22;34:2,18;35:2;152:9;
restriction (3)	rights (2)	15:6,22;16:8;20:16;59:16;	164:4
66:13,20,23	36:2;110:1	60:3;62:6;66:13;69:23;71:7,18,	seeking (1)
result (4)	riprap (2)	20;72:4;105:19,24;109:11;	113:19
59:20;138:1;143:12;181:10	52:23;180:24	111:8,15;112:7,10,21,22;	seem (3)

October 11, 2011		Attorney General of Massachusetts	
71:15;118:19;147:21	41:1;46:12;48:8;147:6	71:7,8,18	soils (1)
seemed (4)	SESSION (1)	showed (2)	134:14
12:9;128:11;197:5,16	106:13	23:20;53:4	sold (30)
seems (1)	set (8)	showing (3)	
71:17	64:16;82:20,21;83:16;89:22;	15:5;69:2;117:14	8:4,6,9,11;10:5;16:6;20:17;
selected (6)	99:20;102:15;113:5	1	21:3,10,13,19,20,22;27:2,4;
43:12;50:14;87:21;125:19,	settle (1)	side (2)	38:4;41:23;66:10;90:14;
	196:19	169:14;176:23	106:16;111:7;119:14;121:8,9;
21;143:12		sign (12)	160:19;171:19;181:23;195:8,
selection (1)	settled (1)	35:14;79:20;81:23;82:2;92:2;	11;196:1
186:7	47:13	99:15;100:7;101:1,5;152:23;	solution (11)
selectman (2)	settlement (10)	153:8;198:9	125:7;126:7;127:1,4;129:3,7,
38:17;41:13	115:22;116:4,12;119:8,10,12,		8;132:21,24;137:17,19
selectmen (9)	21;120:14;196:2;197:21	79:23;81:1,2;162:15	solutions (1)
65:4,7,10,13;66:1;183:9;	seven (2)	significant (8)	129:4
184:24;185:2;186:5	64:20;194:18	21:4;52:19;53:6;56:22;66:15;	solve (1)
sell (10)	seventies (1)	77:21;81:18;168:12	197:17
86:12,19;89:24;106:24;	62:23	signing (2)	solving (1)
111:23;119:17;120:2;121:14;	several (5)	4:3;196:2	196:23
147:14;196:3	125:10;135:3;140:12;157:14;	signs (1)	somebody (10)
seller (1)	197:18	35:16	14:19;23:19;24:21;36:1,17;
121:10	severe (1)	similar (2)	118:24;136:24;165:21;177:24;
selling (7)	103:20	26:6,7	189:13
86:5;87:8;107:9,11;145:22;	sewage (2)	similarly (1)	somebody's (1)
146:19;197:1	136:21;137:5	151:15	63:11
sell-off (1)	sewer (19)	simple (5)	somehow (1)
120:19	9:10;19:8;28:24;29:3;63:18;		78:2
Semi (2)	114:10;127:14,15;128:4,5,11,	simply (4)	Sometime (13)
12:13,16	15,18,23;129:2,8;134:23;138:4;	36:3;56:20;123:10;131:6	14:12;16:16;29:5;30:17;33:9;
Semiconductor (2)	143:20	sister (1)	47:21;84:11;99:7,19;130:1;
10:15;12:11	sewerage (10)	26:23	131:13,15,19
senior (5)	134:6,7;135:4;136:1;138:6,	sisters (1)	Sometimes (2)
11:14;65:3,10,12;66:1	12;139:1,5,12;168:23	20:24	83:9;176:19
sense (12)	sewers (1)	sit (2)	
45:11;53:22;54:14;61:15;	49:10	14:10;113:8	somewhat (1)
84:24;85:3,6;135:6;146:8;	shall (1)		149:17
156:8;171:17;173:13	4:4	situation (5)	somewhere (6)
sent (5)		102:7;113:3;187:19;188:13,	16:12;32:4;63:14;138:14;
99:11,20;152:17;153:1,15	share (1) 131:6	14	139:2;178:7
		situations (2)	son (1)
separate (5)	SHEEHAN (87)	22:8;125:23	26:23
48:16,17;96:13;184:20;	9:22;17:11;29:16;34:19,22;	six (6)	Soon (4)
198:18	36:19,20;45:16,17,23;46:22;		89:10;99:19;120:1;181:17
separated (1)	60:5,15;64:6,10;65:8;70:20;	194:16	sorry (12)
119:13	73:9,21;86:14,20,23;87:6,12;	sizes (1)	5:10;29:18;39:24;56:10;
separately (2)	92:19;93:9;96:20;99:17;	23:4	65:11;72:7;78:4,7;165:24;
110:11;198:16	100:13;102:5;103:22;104:4,19;	sketch (1)	166:2;172:2;186:13
septic (4)	105:17;106:3;109:21;110:2,8,	11:5	sort (4)
123:9;125:22;126:20;136:3	16;111:13,18;112:2,24;114:22;	skewed (1)	47:15;109:2;198:22;199:2
sergeant (2)	116:23;117:17;118:14;119:9,	94:21	sought (1)
33:13,19	18;120:4;132:9;137:8;156:20;	skews (1)	160:1
serious (4)	157:24;159:15;161:23;162:2;	91:9	sound (3)
137:24;147:21;154:7,19	163:5;164:11,15,21;167:3,16,	Ski (1)	188:15;189:15;190:1
seriously (2)	22;168:19;170:16,19,23;	6:1	sounds (1)
192:8;196:6	171:24;182:14;186:11;188:11,	Slater (1)	83:23
serve (4)	21;189:2;190:15;191:2,21;	89:1	space (3)
33:20;43:8;98:6;186:8	192:14,17,21;193:18;198:14,	slide (1)	21:5;22:24;23:6
served (10)	20;199:4,12;200:1,5	179:20	speak (1)
8:24;43:5;46:4,13,16,17;	Sheehan's (2)	small (8)	70:20
47:24;68:7;74:23;75:2	36:18;73:8	13:15;41:21,24;42:1;44:18;	
service (1)	shorter (1)		speaking (2)
53:19	168:2	83:2;118:19;177:1	146:9;180:2
services (3)		smallest (1)	special (1)
	shortly (1)	23:1	100:19
9:1;45:15;50:3	120:23	smelliness (1)	specialty (2)
serving (4)	show (3)	126:17	45:5,6
		l	

Attorney General of Massac
specific (1)
181:20 specifically (1)
62:9
speculate (1) 173:4
spell (2) 6:22;13:23
spend (2)
166:4;177:4 spending (2)
141:13;178:21
spent (5) 23:7;122:16;131:7;141:13;
178:17 Spoke (1)
12:11
spread (1) 77:3
spring (2)
98:17;175:20 squabble (2)
47:5,6 SS (1)
1:5
stable (1) 181:14
stage (1) 151:20
stand (3)
34:6;118:16;184:8 standard (1)
108:24
standing (1) 146:15
standpoint (1) 85:7
start (6)
13:13;43:8;87:2;90:3;109:16; 130:6
started (11) 7:16;9:8;13:17;28:24;44:14;
59:9;130:4,12,13;141:4;142:24
state (5) 4:17;17:4;31:3;74:9;135:16
stated (2) 111:9;112:22
statement (1)
162:17 statements (4)
50:1;96:1,7,8 stations (2)
166:21,22
status (2) 73:12,20
stay (1)
6:17 stayed (4)
6:15;9:16;21:14;76:6 staying (1)
9:15
stays (1) 180:9

iusetts
steep (1) 52:19
stepped (1) 123:1
steps (1)
59:21 Steve (3)
64:6;70:21;108:5 still (15)
20:20;21:16;27:20;28:23; 44:15;58:9;68:13;69:10;80:6,9; 91:19;112:21;183:16;195:20;
197:9 STIPULATED (1)
4:2 stockbroker (1)
16:24 stone (3)
52:23;53:1,5 Stoneham (1)
40:21
stood (2) 146:20;147:9
stop (4) 123:19,22;153:9,13
stopped (5) 8:21;13:9;45:16;134:20;
149:16 story (1)
44:9
straighten (1) 178:1
Strangely (1) 143:14
stratification (2) 103:15,20
stratify (1) 103:18
Street (7) 1:22;15:12,15;17:2,4;118:7;
134:11
streets (2) 53:23;126:21
strictly (1) 180:2
strike (8) 4:7;34:16;39:11;40:23;
136:12;145:18;179:12;187:20 strong (2)
34:1;146:23
strongly (2) 103:11;147:24
structure (1) 98:19
study (1) 8:20
Stutz (1) 1:24
subcontract (1)
141:21 subcontracted (1)

subcontracted (1)

subcontracting (1)

142:5

142:2
subcontracts (1) 142:9
subdivided (3) 108:23;111:4,11
subject (4)
46:18;145:19;147:10;192:10 submit (2)
50:2;140:14 subsequent (2)
89:12;141:8
substantial (3) 104:18;114:10;120:8
substantially (4) 87:9;118:12;119:6;164:14
successful (1) 197:23
successfully (1) 186:21
successor (2) 187:2;191:14
successors (1) 186:20
suddenly (1) 9:7
sue (1)
196:9 sued (9)
47:4,4;113:5,13,13;114:20, 23,24;115:3
sufficient (1) 150:16
suggest (1) 167:24
suggested (1) 101:15
suggestion (1) 56:23
suing (1) 155:21
suit (3)
115:1,10;152:19 Suitable (2)
57:14;59:10 suited (1)
63:20 sum (2)
131:3,10 summarily (2)
191:4;198:4 summarize (2)
63:1;189:7 summary (4)
76:2;162:11;182:9,12 summer (4)
26:7;61:22;76:4;177:16
Summers (3) 37:20,21;41:16
summertime (1) 61:11
sums (2) 79:9;104:18
superintendent (1)

```
183:12
superior (2)
  184:17;196:19
supplies (1)
  177:23
support (1)
  193:7
supposed (5)
  35:12;64:20,24;101:10;184:9
Sure (27)
  9:6;11:8,8;13:17;51:7;52:4,4;
  54:13;59:23;77:11;84:16;
  88:18;101:9;103:17;105:3;
  113:7,8;115:18;128:7;136:10;
  155:19;159:4;160:4;161:13;
  180:8;190:11;192:18
surprise (1)
  164:13
surveying (1)
  111:21
sworn (1)
  4:12
system (38)
  51:16;52:13;54:7;56:18;
  57:13;99:3,24;100:9,18;101:4;
  122:1,7;127:12;129:19,23;
  133:21;134:11;142:12;148:5,
  16;166:17,19,24;167:5;168:1,4;
  169:6;171:14;172:17,20;
  173:10,15,24;175:10;178:2;
  187:18;191:18;194:13
systems (3)
  10:12;123:8;125:22
             T
Taiwan (2)
```

```
12:13,16
talk (1)
   152:14
talked (3)
   83:19;94:17;134:7
talking (6)
  37:22;113:11;146:10,14;
   171:7;173:17
tank (8)
  57:23;58:17;125:7;126:7,16;
   136:15,17;137:13
tanks (25)
  58:19,21;123:10;124:7,21,23;
  126:10,21;127:9;129:7;133:10;
  135:18;136:18,22,24;137:3,9,
  14,21;138:2,5,8;166:20;168:13,
  22
task (1)
  151:24
tax (7)
  55:9,19,22;73:12,14,20;75:19
taxes (6)
  72:2;153:9,11,14;172:6;194:3
```

technically (1)

technician (1)

103:4

terribly (1) 13:3 token (1) tried (6) technology (2) 94:21 117:23 49:3;76:5;77:24;135:3; 134:13;136:20 test (1) told (10) 156:10;198:24 telephone (1) trimming (1) 135:1 12:12:39:11.13:62:24:64:23: 36:16 testified (7) 67:5;70:10;80:11,14;136:8 176:17 television (1) 4:13;28:10;96:17;106:14; trouble (1) tonight (1) 51:20 117:19;195:10,24 36:18 134:17 telling (1) took (14) testify (2) truck (2) 46:23 165:20,22 9:7;11:21;18:7;38:8;45:16, 58:3;137:5 ten (6) testifying (2) 17;77:5;93:20;102:9;135:18; trucks (3) 9:15,16,18;66:16;69:21;74:2 116:10;195:2 141:1;180:17,20;197:21 53:21;136:1,3 tenancies (2) testimony (5) true (9) 152:18;153:2 96:14,22;116:6;155:4;193:5 15:16;23:8;105:10 124:16;132:21;133:2,18; tenancy (1) thereafter (1) total (4) 137:22;150:13,15;154:11; 194:2 120:23 12:8;136:18;142:16,16 191:16 tenant (14) therefore (2) toward (3) trust (7) 26:18;96:2,3,4,5;99:23;104:1, 101:18;117:23 78:15;94:5,16 25:1,6;71:13;73:15;148:18; 2;109:18;110:5;111:23;126:14; thinking (9) towards (3) 186:23;191:8 165:15:199:7 31:14;33:18;42:4;87:1;91:10; 8:20;52:20;180:23 trustee (1) tenants (119) 98:16;101:9;119:10;153:16 town (35) 25:3 45:18;59:17;70:7;78:9,10; third (4) 12:9;21:4;23:3;25:13;33:14; trusts (5) 79:13;80:23;82:5,15;86:11; 8:6;71:17;89:23;121:12 38:18;39:3,10;43:11;45:9,10; 45:6,7;46:3,13;48:7 87:3;90:1;94:15,24;95:2,5,10; thirty (4) 46:5,10,11,12;50:2;51:22,24; try (12) 97:4;98:23;99:4,13,21;100:4,7; 4:5;152:3,4;159:5 53:13,18,18;73:16;86;2;98:9, 34:6;52:17;76:20;89:6;113:9, 101:21;102:4;103:8,11;104:11, though (1) 16,17;108:22;127:19,20,24; 10;126:24;127:14;132:12,18; 14;105:20;108:2;109:12,13,18; 146:19 128:15;174:16,17,22;194:23 196:10;197:17 110:14;111:10,17;113:4,12,19; thought (17) track (2) trying (22) 19:3,9;39:6;63:20;76:4; 114:8;115:15,23;116:8:117:13: 16:11:113:6 13:10;21:3;39:7;47:19;76:21; 119:21;121:14,17;124:8,14; 77:10;82:12;101:24;132:16; trading (1) 83:19;90:4;105:23;110:22; 155:13,24;157:11;191:11; 125:5;126:6;127:4,9;129:6,11: 164:9 115:9;128:9;146:7;150:7; 130:15;131:6,21;132:11,14; 195:7;197:16,22;199:10 traffic (2) 163:1;171:16;181:7;182:11; 133:9,14,20;134:22;135:20; thoughts (1) 175:19,21 188:9;190:23;194:5;196:6.18 144:20;145:3,17,22;146:6,13; 146:22 traipse (1) tunnelling (2) 148:7,11;150:10,17;151:8,20, thousand (2) 35:19 134:9;139:2 21;152:15,18,19,23;153:1,5,7, 170:15;171:5 transaction (6) turn (5) 13,15,18,19;154:2,10,13; three (11) 109:17;111:22;119:15,16,19; 52:8,9;61:24;119:17;120:2 155:24;156:4,18,24;157:7; 19:23;23:5;40:16;65:5,7,10, 120:13 turn-arounds (1) 158:2,7,12,19;159:1,11,19,22; 12;66:1,5;72:21;191:19 transfer (2) 135:4 160:2,3;166:4,9;172:10,15,21; throughout (3) 70:2,8 turned (2) 179:9;184:6;194:1,20;197:24 38:11;176:18,19 transferred (1) 32:1,2 tenants' (4) tie (3) 69:24 twelve (1) 104:7;114:4;157:18,21 102:21;128:9,12 transfers (2) 174:10 tend (2) tied (1) 71:12,13 twentieth (1) 16:11;87:4 178:1 transparent (1) 62:2 tens (1) tight (9) Twenty (6) 54:14 118:21 124:7,21,22;125:6;126:7; Traverso (2) 11:1,4;52:2;86:9;146:3;168:7 tension (3) 127:9;129:7;133:9;135:18 98:2,3 twice (1) 114:11,12,12 times (5) treasurer (1) 117:4 tentative (1) 33:23;43:5;159:21;160:7; 69:11 two (34) 199:6 175:20 Treasury (1) 6:6;10:9;12:7;13:9;14:14; timing (2) tenure (2) 163:23 30:9;31:9,19,21,22;32:6;38:4; 60:21:63:22 77:17;141:20 treatment (1) 39:18;46:5;56:24;60:16,21; term (3) **TIP** (1) 134:8 61:5;62:14;63:4;66:5;80:19; 25:2;96:10;185:13 163:23 tree (1) 90:18;135:10;153:19;154:1; terminate (1) Title (1) 176:24 166:21;168:4;169:23;170:1,5; 194:2 123:7 trees (1) 183:10;188:10;192:6 terminated (1) today (4) 176:17 two-part (1) 152:19 150:15;163:8;195:2;197:19 trenches (2) 108:8 termination (1) Todd (4) type (3) 23:18;128:5 153:2 199:15,17,21,22 Trespassing (1) 84:18;111:12;166:15 terms (9) together (5) 35:14 types (1) 19:4;22:22;25:12;79:6;121:5; 44:5;98:2;121:6;194:10; trial (3) 48:6 135:3;146:23;165:11;174:9 196:7 4:8;45:8,21 typically (3)

i			
15:20;126:11;144:5	199:18	value (47)	wait (5)
TT	up (100)	21:23;76:8,21;84:6,9,21;85:6,	29:17;99:17;137:8;170:23,23
U	7:8;9:14;11:7,24;12:14;13:8,	17;86:5,13;89:18;91:8,12;	waited (1)
IIb bum (25)	14;15:10,16,18;24:8,21;29:12;	92:17,24;93:8;94:5,16,18,20;	161:20
Uh-hum (25)	35:10;36:8;37:14,18,24;39:15;	95:1,17;102:17;103:19;107:1;	waived (1)
10:4;18:20;24:8;43:20;51:2;	40:21;41:15;43:20;45:20;	108:22;109:6,6,7,16;110:7,11,	4:6
55:14;68:20,23;92:4;97:19;	48:11;49:2;51:2;52:16;53:21;	13,15,19;111:2,16;112:1,19;	walked (1)
106:18;112:3;113:14;119:23;	55:14;64:1,16;67:14;68:20,23;	116:21;118:11,24;119:6;	36:3
145:5;147:4;160:12;161:21;	76:11;79:9;88:19;92:4;94:19;	120:11,12;121:16;183:3	warfare (1)
162:13;171:22;176:10;185:9;	95:5;97:19;98:1;99:4;100:8; 101:7,11,17;105:2,7,15;106:18;	valued (1) 108:9	10:12
186:10;187:13,24 ultimately (6)	109:8,16,19;112:3,9,20;113:14;	values (8)	waste (3)
49:14;106:16;138:16,18;	116:13;119:23;121:6,17;	76:23;89:22;90:5;91:21;	53:16;123:6,16
157:22;197:18	123:17;126:19,21;128:19;	93:19;105:4;112:6,9	wastewater (37)
unbelievable (1)	133:21;134:1,2;135:4,4,9;	varied (2)	49:10;51:16;52:12;54:7,13;
175:5	136:20;138:6;139:1,12;140:3,8;	90:16;123:13	56:7,17;72:2;78:16;99:3,24;
uncertainty (1)	144:7;146:4,6,11;148:23,24;	varies (1)	100:3,9,17;122:1,7;129:19,23;
77:20	152:21;158:18;160:12;161:14,	103:5	133:21;142:12;144:15,21;
uncle (12)	21;162:13;166:15;169:21;	various (12)	145:1;148:5,16;166:17;171:14;
6:19,20;7:21;18:13,14,16,18,	171:22;173:11;175:20;179:21;	43:5;45:9,13;50:24;76:24;	172:17,20;173:10,14,15,23;
21;19:1,19;67:3;186:9	171:22;173:11;173:20;179:21; 185:9;187:24;191:1;197:5	43:5;45:9,13;50:24;76:24; 96:14;98:19;107:13;110:19;	174:2;175:10;178:2;194:13
uncomfortable (3)	upgrade (1)	147:20;186:2;196:20	wasting (1) 145:15
9:13;63:19;134:19	59:22	vary (2)	watch (1)
Under (27)	upon (7)	61:17;122:9	135:11
6:17;24:12;59:16;66:8;81:5;	43:14;109:12,12,14;117:4;	vehicles (1)	water (13)
92:13;105:19;110:13;119:7,20;	142:18;197:24	135:10	9:10;19:8;51:22,24;52:9,9;
120:13;128:17;129:7;134:9,17;	uprising (1)	Vermont (4)	91:16,16,18;100:24;172:24;
137:17,21;139:7;152:9;160:3;	199:2	5:4,18,20;6:1	194:13,21
173:22;176:11;180:14;184:2;	usage (2)	versa (1)	way (29)
186:23;190:7;191:10	172:24;194:21	158:13	26:20;34:17;52:22;67:18,19;
underground (6)	use (11)	versus (4)	84:16;91:11;92:21;93:7,11;
23:16;51:18;52:13;122:14;	35:21;48:3;58:23;59:18;	120:12;159:1;165:11;173:10	103:3;121:16;129:15,18;
168:15,16	72:17;79:24;92:7;105:20;	vice (2)	132:12;133:6;135:12,22,22;
underlying (2)	110:1;156:24;158:7	63:14;158:13	144:5;146:15,20;151:9,13;
103:19;123:5	used (6)	view (6)	155:15;180:24;186:23;187:4;
underneath (1)	15:21;48:14;58:14;78:22;	23:7;88:4;156:9,13,14;171:12	197:17
91:2	93:13;121:22	viewed (1)	ways (1)
understood (7)	using (5)	109:14	134:5
45:5;78:14;115:16;125:2;	79:5;91:21;123:14,15;125:15	violation (1)	wealthy (2)
155:6;165:2;198:21	Usually (2)	36:2	91:7;146:8
undertaking (1)	63:10;66:5	violations (1)	Weatherall (5)
164:19	utilities (6)	178:19	76:9;77:4;93:23;97:17;98:2
undesirable (1)	23:15;51:18;52:13;122:14;	virtually (3)	weekends (2)
33:23	172:13;194:23	59:6;120:15,24	33:23;177:15
unfortunately (1)	utility (1)	visit (1)	Weld (4)
94:21	172:13	36:18	199:15,17,21,22
unhappy (1)		visitors (1)	Wenham (6)
149:16	\mathbf{V}	36:7	39:4,5,5,6,8,11
uniformity (1)		vocal (1)	weren't (14)
103:12	vague (1)	127:23	24:22;40:12;52:10;63:12;
unit (2)	195:22	voiced (1)	77:9,10;79:2;92:3;101:17,21;
111:23;120:2	Vaguely (1)	126:6	123:11;128:8;151:21;155:19
units (4)	162:24	VOLUME (1)	What's (10)
111:12;112:10,20;121:18	valid (3)	1:1	8:16;20:22;27:13,15;28:14;
University (1)	128:8;154:20;157:11	vote (1)	31:16,17;111:7;145:24;180:20
8:18	validate (1)	37:4	Whereas (1)
Unless (3)	76:5	voted (1)	133:8
101:16;133:10;166:14	validity (2)	32:24	Where's (1)
unlikely (2)	110:24;111:3	vs (1)	179:2
188:22;189:3	valuable (2)	1:10	Whereupon (2)
unusual (1)	45:11;118:4		4:10;200:6
125:22 unwilling (1)	valuation (2) 121:19,20	\mathbf{W}	wherever (1) 185:13

whichever (1) 140:15,19;141:15,22,24;142:3, 60:17 6;143:3,18,20;149:15;179:6; \mathbf{Z} Whiston (32) 181:9,15;193:16 16:20;17:7;19:18;26:15;30:2; worked (11) zero (2) 32:23;37:6,10;38:7,8;39:21; 9:11;10:14;12:6,22;14:1; 85:10,17 40:20,24;42:20;48:8,24;63:9,9; 44:3;45:1;57:1;88:12;151:5; zoning (1) 69:10,13;73:10;95:14,19,20; 198:13 23:4 working (4) 96:16;97:4;122:24;124:3,12; 141:4,8;147:15 10:1;15:2;45:10;88:22 Whiston's (6) works (1) 16:22;27:4,8,24;40:4;147:23 186:23 whole (6) worldwide (1) 24:4;109:7;144:11;149:20, 11:13 24:150:1 worry (2) Who's (4) 114:14;160:7 13:21;14:19;81:11;165:19 worth (8) whose (6) 87:10;91:3,13;92:13;93:1,7; 37:8;38:6;54:17;57:6,6; 118:16;119:13 124:21 worthless (1) widely (3) 161:19 122:9;123:13;146:21 wound (3) wife (2) 9:14;11:24;12:14 6:3;8:1 WPA (2) wife's (1) 23:17,18 7:16 wrong (4) willing (6) 17:10;28:18;98:15;191:6 49:17;119:1;121:9,10; 147:19;188:19 Y wills (1) 45:7 yard (1) wind (1) 144:5 13:14 yards (1) winter (2) 169:22 59:11;175:22 year (54) wires (1) 10:14;12:4;28:12;31:17; 23:23 37:20;38:3;52:10;55:20;56:1; wish (1) 65:19;66:16;67:6,21;68:2; 165:1 74:21;76:17;77:19;78:21;79:14, withdraw (2) 17;80:2,2;82:21;83:2,5,11,13, 94:1;190:6 14,15,20,21;92:7,7,15;95:5; within (5) 97:15;102:16;105:3,21;106:1; 4:5;21:7;64:9;97:11;133:4 152:5;159:18,21,23;162:22; Without (8) 163:3,6,10,14,16;164:18,18; 26:5;46:23;124:15;139:13; 174:11;177:5 154:24;163:20;164:19;186:5 | year-round (1) Witness (23) 57:14 24:8;29:18;34:21;43:20;51:2; years (62) 55:14;68:20,23;86:22;92:4; 7:20;9:15,16,18;10:9;11:1,4; 97:19;106:18;112:3;113:14; 12:7,18;13:9;14:7,9,14;16:24; 119:23;160:12;161:21;162:13; 31:16,17,19,19,21,22,23;32:1,6, 13,14;41:23;50:13;52:2;66:16; 170:18;171:22;185:9;186:13; 187:24 68:7,13;69:21;72:21;74:2,16; wood (1) 75:3;77:3,9,14;83:6;84:17;86:9; 59:1 89:12;90:11,15;92:1;93:21; wool (3) 94:20,21;120:20;121:14;146:2, 17:23,24,24 3;160:9;161:24;166:7;167:18; words (5) 168:7,11,12,24;182:3 63:6;85:2;92:22;108:17; year's (1) 149:23 83:10 work (31) yield (1) 10:8,18;11:8;12:16;13:16; 160:20 16:14;17:3;24:21;44:11,15,20, young (3) 22;45:1;75:9;125:22;132:6; 42:20;44:8;61:10